

# Advancing ENGLISH LANGUAGE TEACHING

*Principles, Practices, and Classroom Innovation*



Ince Rezky Naing, M. Pd. | Aqzhariady Khartha, M. Pd. | Happy Cruzia Rini, M. Pd. | Rezky Atri Oktarin, M. Pd. | Tania Syafutri, M. Pd. | Pahrozi Ahmad, M. Pd. | Novita Pratiwi, M. Pd. | Ejontomi Afrizon, M. Pd. | Muhammad Fathur Rahman Khalik, M. Pd. | Siti Kiftiah, M. Pd.

Edited By Rawuh Yuda Yuwana

# **Advancing English Language Teaching Principles, Practices, and Classroom Innovation**

Ince Rezky Naing, M. Pd. | Aqzhariady Khartha,  
M. Pd. | Happy Cruzia Rini, M. Pd. | Rezky Atri  
Oktarin, M. Pd. | Tania Syafutri, M. Pd. | Pahrozi  
Ahmad, M. Pd. | Novita Pratiwi, M. Pd. |  
Ejontomi Afrizon, M. Pd. | Muhammad Fathur  
Rahman Khalik, M. Pd. | Siti Kiftiah, M. Pd.

**Edited By Rawuh Yuda Yuwana**



**2026**

# **Advancing English Language Teaching Principles, Practices, and Classroom Innovation**

Authors:

Ince Rezky Naing, M. Pd. | Aqzhariady Khartha, M. Pd. |  
Happy Cruzia Rini, M. Pd. | Rezky Atri Oktarin, M. Pd. |  
Tania Syafutri, M. Pd. | Pahrozi Ahmad, M. Pd. | Novita  
Pratiwi, M. Pd. | Ejontomi Afrizon, M. Pd. | Muhammad  
Fathur Rahman Khalik, M. Pd. | Siti Kiftiah, M. Pd.

ISBN:

978-634-04-9637-6

Editor:

Rawuh Yuda Yuwana

Publisher:

PT. Akselerasi Karya Mandiri

St. Jati-Jati, Rimba Jaya, Dist. Merauke, Merauke, Papua  
Selatan

Phone: 08-2242-6626-04

Mail: official.pt.akm@gmail.com

Member IKAPI, No. 001/PAPUASEL/2024

First published in print format, 2026 – English edition

## **Copyright is protected by law.**

This publication is in copyright. Subject to statutory exception and to the provision of relevant collective licensing agreements, no production of any part may take place without the written permission of PT. Akselerasi Mandiri.

*Copyright by PT. Akselerasi Mandiri*

# LAW OF THE REPUBLIC OF INDONESIA

## NUMBER 28 OF 2014 ON COPYRIGHT

### Article 2

This Law applies to:

- a. All creations and related rights products of Indonesian citizens, residents, and legal entities;
- b. All creations and related rights products of non-Indonesian citizens, non-residents of Indonesia, and non-Indonesian legal entities that are first announced in Indonesia;
- c. All creations and/or related rights products and users of creations and/or related rights products of non-Indonesian citizens, non-residents of Indonesia, and non-Indonesian legal entities, subject to the following provisions:
  1. their country has a bilateral agreement with the Republic of Indonesia regarding the protection of Copyright and Related Rights; or
  2. their country and the Republic of Indonesia are parties or participants in the same multilateral agreement regarding the protection of Copyright and Related Rights.

## CHAPTER XVII

### CRIMINAL PROVISIONS

### Article 112

Any person who, without authorization, commits the acts referred to in Article 7 paragraph (3) and/or Article 52 for commercial use shall be subject to a maximum imprisonment of 2 (two) years and/or a maximum fine of IDR 300,000,000 (three hundred million rupiahs).

### Article 113

- (1) Any person who, without authorization, infringes economic rights as referred to in Article 9 paragraph (1) letter i for commercial use shall be subject to a maximum imprisonment of 1 (one) year and/or a maximum fine of IDR 100,000,000 (one hundred million rupiahs).
- (2) Any person who, without authorization and/or without the permission of the creator or copyright holder, infringes the economic rights of the creator as referred to in Article 9 paragraph (1) letters c, d, f, and/or h for commercial use shall be subject to a maximum imprisonment of 3 (three) years and/or a maximum fine of IDR 500,000,000 (five hundred million rupiahs).
- (3) Any person who, without authorization and/or without the permission of the creator or copyright holder, infringes the economic rights of the creator as referred to in Article 9 paragraph (1) letters a, b, e, and/or g for commercial use shall be subject to a maximum imprisonment of 4 (four) years and/or a maximum fine of IDR 1,000,000,000 (one billion rupiahs).

Any person who fulfills the elements referred to in paragraph (3) and commits the act in the form of piracy shall be subject to a maximum imprisonment of 10 (ten) years and/or a maximum fine of IDR 4,000,000,000 (four billion rupiah)

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We would like to express our profound gratitude to God Almighty for the guidance and inspiration that have accompanied the completion of this book. It is our sincere hope that *Advancing English Language Teaching: Principles, Practices, and Classroom Innovation* will serve as a valuable contribution to the field of English Language Teaching and support educators, researchers, and learners in their academic and professional endeavours.

We extend our sincere appreciation to our esteemed lecturers, colleagues, and fellow scholars in the domain of English language education. Their insights, academic dialogue, and collaborative engagement have significantly enriched the perspectives and discussions presented in this work. We also wish to convey our gratitude to the publisher for their trust and professionalism in providing the opportunity and platform for this book to be published.

Furthermore, we acknowledge the support of our respective institutions and academic communities for fostering an environment conducive to research, reflection, and innovation. Their encouragement and provision of resources have been instrumental in the successful completion of this manuscript.

Best regards.

[The Authors]

# CONTENTS

Title Page.....	i
Reverse Title Page .....	ii
Copy Right Law.....	iii
Acknowledgments.....	iv
Contents .....	v

## CHAPTER 1

The Contemporary Landscape of English Language Teaching .....	1
<i>Ince Rezky Naing, M. Pd.</i>	

## CHAPTER 2

Foundational Principles for Effective ELT .....	27
<i>Aqzhariady Khartha, M. Pd.</i>	

## CHAPTER 3

Modern Perspectives on Language Learning Theories.....	53
<i>Happy Cruzia Rini, M. Pd.</i>	

## CHAPTER 4

Designing and Managing Effective English Lessons.....	70
<i>Rezky Atri Oktarin, M. Pd.</i>	

## CHAPTER 5

Teaching Listening and Speaking in Modern Classroom.....	90
<i>Tania Syafutri, M. Pd.</i>	

## CHAPTER 6

Teaching Reading and Writing for Academic Success.....	112
<i>Pahrozi Ahmad, M. Pd.</i>	

**CHAPTER 7**

Vocabulary and Grammar Instruction in Context ..... 134

*Novita Pratiwi, M. Pd.*

**CHAPTER 8**

Innovative Approaches: Task-Based, Project-Based, and Collaborative Learning ..... 154

*Ejontomi Afrizon, M. Pd.*

**CHAPTER 9**

Digital Pedagogy and Technology Integration in ELT ..... 177

*Muhammad Fathur Rahman Khalik, M. Pd.*

**CHAPTER 10**

Professional Development and Future Directions in ELT ..... 196

*Siti Kiftiah, M. Pd.*

# CHAPTER 1

## The Contemporary Landscape of English Language Teaching

---

---

*Ince Rezky Naing*  
Universitas Cenderawasih

This chapter explores the contemporary landscape of English language teaching by examining global developments, shifting pedagogical paradigms, evolving roles of teachers and learners, curriculum transformation, and the growing influence of technology in educational contexts.

### 1.1 English Language Teaching in a Globalized World

The unprecedented spread of English across geographical, cultural, and institutional boundaries has profoundly reshaped the landscape of English Language Teaching (ELT). In the contemporary era of globalization, English functions not merely as a foreign language but increasingly as a global lingua franca, serving as a primary medium of communication among speakers from diverse linguistic backgrounds (Crystal, 2003; Jenkins & Panero, 2024). This global status has positioned English at the center of international education, professional mobility, digital communication, and academic exchange, thereby redefining the goals, practices, and expectations of English language instruction worldwide.

Globalization has accelerated the demand for English proficiency in various domains, including higher education,

international trade, tourism, and digital media. As a result, English is no longer learned solely for interaction with native speakers but predominantly for communication in multilingual and multicultural contexts (Seidlhofer, 2013). This shift challenges traditional native-speaker norms that historically dominated ELT and calls for pedagogical orientations that recognize diverse English varieties and communicative practices. Consequently, contemporary ELT emphasizes intelligibility, pragmatic competence, and intercultural communication rather than exclusive adherence to native-like accuracy (Kirkpatrick, 2010).

In educational contexts, globalization has influenced curriculum design and learning outcomes in significant ways. Many national curricula have adopted competency-based and outcome-oriented frameworks that align language learning with global standards and real-world communicative needs. Frameworks such as the Common European Framework of Reference for Languages (CEFR) have gained international recognition, providing shared benchmarks for proficiency levels and assessment practices (Division, 2001). These developments reflect a broader trend toward standardization and comparability across educational systems while simultaneously raising questions about contextual relevance and local adaptation.

The globalized ELT landscape has also transformed the roles of teachers and learners. Learners are increasingly expected to become autonomous, strategic, and reflective users of English who can navigate diverse communicative environments. Meanwhile, teachers are required to respond to heterogeneous classrooms characterized by varying linguistic backgrounds, learning goals, and exposure to English outside formal instruction. This complexity necessitates

pedagogical flexibility and a deep understanding of sociocultural factors influencing language use and learning (Block, 2010)

Furthermore, the expansion of digital technologies has intensified the global reach of English. Online platforms, social media, and open educational resources have increased learners' exposure to authentic English use beyond the classroom. These developments reinforce the idea that English learning is no longer confined to formal educational settings but occurs across multiple spaces and modalities. As a result, ELT in a globalized world must account for informal learning practices and digitally mediated communication, which further blur the boundaries between local and global language use (Warschauer & Kern, 2000)

Overall, English Language Teaching in a globalized world is characterized by dynamic interactions between global demands and local realities. While globalization promotes the widespread use of English as a shared communicative resource, it also requires educators to critically examine whose English is taught, for what purposes, and in which contexts. Understanding these global dimensions is essential for situating contemporary ELT practices and for preparing learners to participate effectively in an interconnected world.

## **1.2 Changing Profiles of Learners and Teachers**

The current landscape of English Language Teaching (ELT) reflects substantial transformations in the profiles of both learners and teachers, shaped by sociocultural, technological, and pedagogical developments in the 21st century. Learners today are markedly different from those of previous generations, not only in terms of

access to information and digital resources but also in their expectations of language learning. Contemporary learners often prioritize communicative competence, critical thinking, and autonomy, reflecting broader educational goals associated with global citizenship and lifelong learning (Motteram & Dawson, 2014)

In parallel, teachers are adapting to complex classroom environments characterized by heterogeneous learner backgrounds, diverse proficiency levels, and varied learning goals. The traditional model of the teacher as the primary source of knowledge has given way to more dynamic roles in which teachers act as facilitators, designers of learning experiences, and mentors of autonomous learners (Melvina et al., 2025). This shift underscores the necessity for English teachers to possess not only linguistic and pedagogical competencies but also skills in technology adoption, collaborative instruction, and reflective practice.

Research into teacher perceptions of 21st-century pedagogical competencies highlights that effective language educators must integrate innovative methodologies aligned with the 4C skills—communication, creativity, collaboration, and critical thinking—into classroom instruction. Such integration is seen as vital for equipping learners with the complex skillset required in modern contexts (Bedir, 2019). However, studies also reveal disparities in how teachers conceptualize and implement these competencies, often linked to varying levels of professional development and institutional support.

Significantly, the pervasive influence of technology has reshaped both learner profiles and teacher roles. Digital tools, online platforms, and multimodal resources expand opportunities for authentic communication and self-regulated learning, positioning

learners as active agents in their own language development. Teachers, in turn, are increasingly expected to function as curators of digital content, designers of technology-enhanced tasks, and ethical mediators of digital literacy (Almegren et al., 2025a). This evolution demands ongoing professional learning and adaptability, as roles once associated primarily with content delivery now encompass broader responsibilities related to digital pedagogy and learner engagement.

Another notable trend concerns identity formation among language teachers. Contemporary research suggests that teachers continuously reconstruct their professional identities in response to contextual demands, such as those imposed by global crises like the COVID-19 pandemic and the rapid adoption of online instructional practices (Zhang & Hwang, 2023). Teachers negotiate tensions between traditional practices and emerging educational paradigms, reinforcing the importance of reflective and contextually responsive approaches to professional growth.

In summary, the changing profiles of learners and teachers in ELT reflect the broader educational evolution of the 21st century. Learners are increasingly autonomous, digitally literate, and communicatively oriented, while teachers are required to adopt multifaceted roles encompassing facilitation, technology integration, and continuous professional development. Understanding these evolving profiles is crucial for designing responsive pedagogies and fostering effective language learning environments in an interconnected, rapidly changing world.

### **1.3 Curriculum Shifts and Educational Policy Influences**

Curriculum development in English Language Teaching (ELT) has undergone significant transformation in response to evolving educational policies, global benchmarks, and shifting societal demands. In contemporary education systems, language curricula are increasingly shaped by outcome-based and competency-oriented approaches that prioritize demonstrable skills and real-world applicability over the mere accumulation of linguistic knowledge. This shift reflects broader global trends in education policy that emphasize learner performance, employability, and lifelong learning (Curran, 2014)

One of the most influential developments in curriculum reform is the widespread adoption of competency-based education (CBE). Within ELT, this approach foregrounds learners' ability to use English meaningfully across academic, professional, and social contexts. Rather than focusing exclusively on grammatical mastery, contemporary curricula integrate communicative competence, intercultural awareness, and critical language use as core learning outcomes (Richards et al., 2023). Such orientations align English instruction more closely with the communicative demands of a globalized world, where English functions as a flexible resource rather than a fixed system of rules.

International policy frameworks have played a central role in shaping these curricular shifts. The Common European Framework of Reference for Languages (CEFR), particularly its updated Companion Volume, has become a widely referenced guide for curriculum design, assessment, and alignment across educational levels. By providing clear proficiency descriptors and emphasizing mediation, plurilingual

competence, and communicative strategies, the CEFR reflects contemporary views of language use as dynamic and socially situated. Its influence extends far beyond Europe, informing curriculum reforms in diverse EFL contexts worldwide.

In addition to international frameworks, global policy agendas such as the OECD's *Education 2030* project have reinforced the integration of 21st-century skills into language curricula. These policies advocate for curricula that develop learners' capacity for critical thinking, collaboration, creativity, and communication—skills that are increasingly viewed as inseparable from language education. Consequently, ELT curricula are expected to support not only linguistic development but also broader educational goals related to citizenship, sustainability, and digital participation.

However, curriculum shifts are not solely driven by global influences; they are also mediated by national education policies and local institutional contexts. Governments and educational authorities often adapt international models to align with local priorities, sociocultural values, and systemic constraints. This process of localization highlights the tension between global standardization and contextual relevance in ELT curriculum design (Wedell & Malderez, 2013). Teachers, therefore, play a critical role as curriculum interpreters who translate policy intentions into classroom practices, balancing prescribed outcomes with learners' actual needs.

Recent research further suggests that effective curriculum implementation depends on policy coherence and teacher preparedness. Curriculum reforms that emphasize innovation and competency development require sustained professional support, clear assessment guidelines, and institutional commitment (Priestley

et al., 2021). Without such support, curricular changes risk remaining symbolic rather than transformative. In ELT contexts, this underscores the importance of aligning curriculum design, teacher education, and assessment practices to ensure meaningful learning outcomes.

In sum, contemporary ELT curricula are shaped by interconnected global and local policy influences that promote competency-based, learner-centered, and skills-oriented education. Understanding these curriculum shifts is essential for situating classroom practices within broader educational agendas and for recognizing the role of teachers as key agents in enacting curriculum reform. This policy-driven landscape provides an important foundation for examining pedagogical approaches and instructional practices discussed in subsequent chapters of this book.

#### **1.4 Curriculum Shifts and Educational Policy Influences**

Over the past two decades, English Language Teaching (ELT) has experienced notable pedagogical paradigm shifts that reflect broader changes in educational philosophy, learner needs, and societal expectations. Traditional approaches that positioned teachers as the primary authority and emphasized discrete language forms have gradually given way to learner-centered pedagogies that prioritize meaning-making, interaction, and contextualized language use. These shifts signal a reconceptualization of language learning as a dynamic, social, and purposeful process rather than a linear accumulation of grammatical knowledge (Richards & Rodgers, 2014)

One of the most significant transformations in contemporary ELT is the move from teacher-centered to learner-centered

instruction. In learner-centered classrooms, students are encouraged to take an active role in constructing knowledge through collaboration, reflection, and problem-solving. This approach aligns with constructivist views of learning, which emphasize learners' prior knowledge, agency, and engagement in authentic communicative tasks (Burns & Richards, 2018). Teachers, consequently, function as facilitators and designers of learning environments rather than sole transmitters of content.

Alongside this shift, ELT pedagogy has increasingly moved away from strictly form-focused instruction toward meaning-oriented and use-based approaches. While attention to linguistic form remains important, it is now commonly embedded within communicative contexts that reflect real-world language use. Research in second language acquisition supports the integration of form and meaning, suggesting that learners benefit most when grammatical features are taught in relation to communicative purpose and discourse context (Ellis, 2015). This perspective underpins contemporary approaches such as Communicative Language Teaching (CLT) and task-based instruction.

Communicative Language Teaching continues to influence modern ELT practices, emphasizing interaction as both the means and goal of language learning. However, recent interpretations of CLT extend beyond fluency-oriented classroom activities to include pragmatic competence, intercultural awareness, and strategic communication. These expanded goals respond to the realities of English as a global language, where effective communication often occurs among speakers with diverse linguistic and cultural backgrounds (Littlewood, 2014). As such, pedagogical paradigms

increasingly value intelligibility, adaptability, and negotiation of meaning over native-like accuracy.

Task-Based Language Teaching (TBLT) represents another important pedagogical shift within ELT. Grounded in the principle that language is best learned through purposeful use, TBLT organizes instruction around tasks that mirror real-life communicative activities. Recent research highlights the potential of task-based approaches to promote learner engagement, interactional competence, and meaningful language use when tasks are well-designed and contextually relevant (Long, 2014). These characteristics make TBLT particularly compatible with contemporary curriculum goals that emphasize competence and performance.

Furthermore, collaborative and experiential learning models have gained prominence in response to the growing recognition of social interaction in language development. Pair and group work, project-based learning, and problem-based activities are now commonly integrated into ELT classrooms to foster communication, negotiation, and shared meaning-making. Such approaches not only support linguistic development but also cultivate essential soft skills, including teamwork and critical thinking, which are increasingly valued in educational policy frameworks (Thomas, 2000).

Overall, pedagogical paradigm shifts in ELT reflect a broader movement toward flexible, learner-centered, and context-sensitive teaching practices. These shifts challenge educators to critically examine their instructional beliefs and adapt their pedagogical choices to evolving learner profiles and curricular demands. Understanding these paradigms provides an essential foundation for exploring

innovative instructional approaches and classroom practices discussed in subsequent chapters of this book.

### **1.5 Technology and Innovation in Contemporary English Language Teaching**

Technological advancement has become one of the most influential forces shaping contemporary English Language Teaching (ELT). The integration of digital technologies into language education has not only transformed instructional tools but has also redefined pedagogical practices, learning environments, and teacher–learner interactions. In the context of rapid digitalization, technology is increasingly viewed not as a supplementary resource but as an integral component of effective language pedagogy (Hockly, 2016)

One prominent development in contemporary ELT is the shift from traditional face-to-face instruction toward blended and online learning models. Blended learning environments combine in-class interaction with digital platforms, enabling flexible access to learning materials and extended opportunities for language exposure beyond classroom boundaries. Research indicates that well-designed blended ELT courses can enhance learner engagement, autonomy, and communicative competence by allowing learners to interact with language content at their own pace while maintaining meaningful teacher guidance (Graham, 2018)

Digital technologies have also expanded opportunities for authentic language use. Online discussion forums, learning management systems, video-conferencing tools, and social media platforms provide learners with access to real-world English communication and multimodal input. Such environments facilitate

interaction with diverse interlocutors and promote pragmatic and intercultural competence, which are increasingly central goals of ELT in a globalized world (Kohnke & Moorhouse, 2022). Consequently, innovation in ELT involves not only adopting new tools but also designing tasks that exploit these tools to support meaningful communication.

More recently, artificial intelligence (AI) and adaptive learning technologies have emerged as influential innovations in ELT. AI-driven applications, such as automated feedback systems, intelligent tutoring tools, and language learning chatbots, offer personalized learning experiences and immediate feedback on learners' language performance. Studies suggest that AI-supported ELT can enhance learners' motivation and self-regulated learning when integrated thoughtfully into pedagogical frameworks (Almegren et al., 2025b). However, scholars caution that pedagogical judgment remains essential to ensure that technological innovation supports, rather than replaces, meaningful human interaction in language learning.

The growing emphasis on technology has also reshaped teachers' professional roles and competencies. Contemporary English teachers are expected to demonstrate digital pedagogical competence, including the ability to select appropriate tools, design technology-enhanced tasks, and critically evaluate digital resources. Frameworks such as Technological Pedagogical Content Knowledge (TPACK) highlight the need for teachers to integrate technology, pedagogy, and subject matter knowledge in coherent and context-sensitive ways (M. J. Koehler, Mishra, & Cain, 2013; M. J. Koehler, Mishra, Akcaoglu, et al., 2013; M. Koehler & Mishra, 2009). This perspective underscores that

effective technology integration in ELT depends on pedagogical alignment rather than technological sophistication alone.

Despite its potential, technology-driven innovation in ELT also presents challenges. Issues related to digital equity, access to infrastructure, teacher preparedness, and ethical considerations remain significant concerns, particularly in under-resourced contexts. Research emphasizes that innovation must be accompanied by sustained professional development and institutional support to ensure that technological integration leads to pedagogical improvement rather than superficial change (Howard et al., 2021)

In summary, technology and innovation play a central role in shaping contemporary ELT practices. Digital tools, blended learning models, and emerging AI-based applications have expanded the possibilities for language teaching and learning, while simultaneously redefining teachers' roles and pedagogical responsibilities. Understanding the pedagogical implications of technological innovation is essential for designing effective ELT classrooms and provides a conceptual foundation for the more detailed discussion of digital pedagogy presented in Chapter 9 of this book.

## **1.6 Challenges and Opportunities in Contemporary English Language Teaching**

Despite significant pedagogical and technological advancements, contemporary English Language Teaching (ELT) continues to face a range of persistent and emerging challenges. These challenges are multifaceted, encompassing issues related to equity, teacher preparedness, curriculum implementation, assessment

practices, and the rapid pace of educational change. At the same time, these challenges present valuable opportunities for innovation, reflection, and the re-envisioning of ELT practices in diverse educational contexts.

One of the most pressing challenges in contemporary ELT concerns unequal access to educational resources and learning opportunities. While digital technologies have expanded the possibilities for language learning, disparities in infrastructure, internet connectivity, and access to digital devices remain significant, particularly in under-resourced and geographically remote contexts. Such inequities can exacerbate existing gaps in language proficiency and educational outcomes, limiting the effectiveness of technology-enhanced ELT initiatives. As a result, educators and policymakers must critically consider issues of digital inclusion when designing and implementing ELT programs.

Teacher preparedness represents another major challenge in the current ELT landscape. The evolving demands of learner-centered pedagogy, competency-based curricula, and technology integration require teachers to continuously update their professional knowledge and skills. However, research indicates that many English teachers experience difficulties adapting to new pedagogical expectations due to limited professional development opportunities, insufficient institutional support, or misalignment between policy reforms and classroom realities (Darling-Hammond, 2020). This gap between policy and practice often leads to surface-level implementation of innovations rather than meaningful pedagogical transformation.

Assessment practices further complicate the implementation of contemporary ELT approaches. While curricula increasingly

emphasize communicative competence, critical thinking, and real-world language use, assessment systems in many contexts continue to prioritize standardized testing and discrete linguistic knowledge. This misalignment can constrain teachers' pedagogical choices and discourage the adoption of innovative instructional strategies (Fulcher, 2024). Addressing this challenge requires a shift toward more authentic, formative, and performance-based assessment models that better reflect contemporary learning goals.

In addition to structural challenges, sociocultural factors also shape the effectiveness of ELT practices. Learners bring diverse linguistic backgrounds, identities, and learning experiences into the classroom, which can influence their engagement and participation. In multilingual contexts, tensions may arise between monolingual norms of English instruction and learners' use of their first languages as learning resources. While such diversity poses pedagogical challenges, it also offers opportunities to adopt inclusive approaches—such as translanguaging—that recognize and leverage learners' full linguistic repertoires (Anderson, 2024).

Despite these challenges, the contemporary ELT landscape offers substantial opportunities for innovation and professional growth. Advances in educational technology, for instance, enable more flexible, personalized, and interactive learning experiences. When implemented thoughtfully, digital tools can support differentiated instruction, enhance learner autonomy, and facilitate meaningful communication beyond classroom boundaries (Çebi, 2018). These opportunities invite teachers to experiment with new pedagogical designs that respond to learners' needs and contextual constraints.

Moreover, the growing emphasis on reflective practice and teacher agency presents an important opportunity for sustainable change in ELT. Rather than positioning teachers as passive implementers of externally imposed reforms, contemporary perspectives highlight teachers' roles as curriculum makers and pedagogical decision-makers (Priestley et al., 2021b). Through reflective inquiry, collaboration, and professional learning communities, teachers can critically adapt innovations to their local contexts, thereby increasing the relevance and effectiveness of ELT practices.

Finally, global challenges—such as rapid technological change, shifting labor market demands, and increased mobility—underscore the importance of English education that prepares learners for uncertain futures. These conditions create opportunities for ELT to move beyond narrow linguistic goals and contribute more broadly to learners' personal, academic, and professional development. By embracing flexibility, inclusivity, and contextual sensitivity, ELT can respond productively to contemporary challenges while opening new pathways for innovation and growth.

In sum, challenges and opportunities in contemporary ELT are deeply interconnected. While issues related to equity, teacher preparedness, assessment, and contextual diversity pose significant obstacles, they also invite critical reflection and pedagogical renewal. Recognizing and addressing these complexities is essential for advancing effective and sustainable ELT practices, and it provides a crucial link to the discussion of classroom implications and future directions presented in the subsequent sections of this chapter.

## **1.7 Implications for English Language Teaching Classrooms**

The contemporary landscape of English Language Teaching (ELT), as discussed in the preceding sections, carries significant implications for classroom practices. The interplay between globalization, evolving learner and teacher profiles, curriculum reforms, pedagogical paradigm shifts, technological innovation, and contextual challenges necessitates a rethinking of how English is taught and learned in everyday classroom settings. These developments call for pedagogical practices that are flexible, reflective, and responsive to learners' diverse needs and educational contexts.

One key implication concerns instructional design in ELT classrooms. Teachers are increasingly required to design learning experiences that move beyond textbook-driven instruction toward tasks and activities grounded in authentic language use. Lesson planning must integrate meaningful communicative goals, real-world relevance, and opportunities for interaction. This approach aligns with contemporary curricula that emphasize competency development and communicative performance rather than isolated language forms. As a result, effective ELT classrooms prioritize purposeful tasks that encourage learners to use English as a tool for communication, problem-solving, and knowledge construction.

Another important implication relates to learner engagement and autonomy. Contemporary ELT classrooms are expected to foster learner-centered environments in which students actively participate in their learning processes. This involves providing learners with choices, encouraging reflection, and supporting the development of self-regulated learning strategies. Research suggests that when

learners are given greater responsibility for their learning, they demonstrate higher levels of motivation, engagement, and language achievement. Consequently, teachers must balance guidance with autonomy, scaffolding learners' development while gradually reducing instructional control.

Assessment practices in ELT classrooms also require reconsideration in light of contemporary pedagogical goals. Traditional summative assessments that focus primarily on grammatical accuracy may no longer adequately capture learners' communicative competence and language use in authentic contexts. Instead, formative and performance-based assessments—such as portfolios, projects, presentations, and peer feedback—are increasingly advocated as more aligned with learner-centered and competency-based curricula. These assessment practices not only provide richer evidence of learning but also support ongoing feedback and learner development.

The integration of technology presents further implications for classroom practice. Teachers must make informed pedagogical decisions regarding when and how digital tools can enhance learning outcomes. Effective technology integration involves aligning digital resources with instructional objectives, learner needs, and contextual constraints, rather than adopting tools for their novelty alone. In technology-enhanced ELT classrooms, digital platforms can facilitate collaboration, extend learning beyond classroom boundaries, and support differentiated instruction, provided that teachers possess adequate digital pedagogical competence.

Additionally, the increasing linguistic and cultural diversity of learners has implications for classroom interaction and language use.

ELT classrooms must accommodate multilingual practices and recognize learners' first languages as potential resources rather than obstacles to learning. Inclusive pedagogical approaches, such as translanguaging, encourage learners to draw on their full linguistic repertoires to construct meaning and engage with complex content. Such practices contribute to more equitable and supportive learning environments, particularly in multilingual EFL contexts.

Teacher professionalism is another critical consideration in translating contemporary ELT principles into classroom practice. Teachers are required to engage in continuous professional learning, reflective practice, and collaboration with colleagues to adapt to evolving pedagogical demands. Rather than implementing prescribed methods uncritically, teachers must exercise professional judgment in selecting and adapting instructional strategies that suit their learners and institutional contexts. This perspective positions teachers as active agents of change within ELT classrooms.

In summary, the contemporary ELT landscape has far-reaching implications for classroom practices related to instruction, assessment, technology use, learner engagement, and teacher professionalism. Effective ELT classrooms are characterized by purposeful communication, learner-centered pedagogy, inclusive practices, and reflective teaching. Understanding these implications provides a practical foundation for examining specific teaching skills and instructional approaches explored in the subsequent chapters of this book.

## **1.8 Chapter Summary and Direction for Subsequent Chapters**

This chapter has examined the evolving nature of English language teaching within contemporary educational contexts. Beginning with an exploration of the global role of English, the chapter highlighted how English has shifted from a foreign language subject to a key instrument for global communication, academic participation, and professional mobility. This transformation has inevitably reshaped the goals, content, and practices of English language education worldwide.

The discussion further emphasized changes in learner and teacher profiles in ELT contexts. Learners are no longer passive recipients of knowledge but active participants who bring diverse linguistic, cultural, and digital experiences into the classroom. Similarly, teachers are positioned not merely as transmitters of linguistic knowledge but as facilitators, designers of learning environments, and reflective practitioners who must navigate complex pedagogical and technological demands. These changing roles underscore the need for adaptive and context-sensitive teaching practices.

This chapter also addressed curriculum and pedagogical shifts in ELT, particularly the move toward competency-based, communicative, and learner-centered approaches. Such paradigmatic changes require teachers to focus on meaningful language use, critical thinking, collaboration, and real-world relevance. At the same time, the integration of technology has emerged as both an opportunity and a challenge, demanding careful pedagogical alignment rather than superficial adoption. The chapter underscored that technology, when

thoughtfully integrated, can enhance interaction, accessibility, and learner autonomy.

In addition, contextual challenges faced by English teachers—especially in diverse and resource-variable settings—were discussed to emphasize that effective ELT practices cannot be universally prescribed. Sociocultural, institutional, and infrastructural factors significantly influence how pedagogical principles are enacted in classrooms. Recognizing these contextual dimensions reinforces the importance of teacher agency, professional judgment, and reflective practice in implementing ELT innovations.

The implications for classroom practice presented in this chapter demonstrate that contemporary ELT requires an integrated approach encompassing instructional design, assessment, learner engagement, inclusivity, and ongoing professional development. Together, these elements form a foundation for effective English language teaching that responds to the realities of twenty-first-century education.

As an introductory chapter, this discussion provides the theoretical and conceptual groundwork for the chapters that follow. Subsequent chapters will move from broad perspectives to more specific pedagogical skills and instructional strategies relevant to English language teaching. These chapters will elaborate on practical teaching competencies, classroom techniques, assessment practices, and the integration of technology in ELT settings. By building on the conceptual insights presented in this chapter, the book aims to bridge theory and practice, offering readers a coherent framework for understanding and enhancing English language teaching in diverse educational contexts.

## References

- Almegren, A., Almegren, R. M., Hazaea, A. N., Mahdi, H. S., & Mohammed Ali, J. K. (2025a). AI powered ELT: Instructors' transformative roles and opportunities. *PLoS One*, *20*(5), e0324910.
- Anderson, J. (2024). Translanguaging: A paradigm shift for ELT theory and practice. *ELT Journal*, *78*(1), 72–81.
- Bedir, H. (2019). Pre-service ELT teachers' beliefs and perceptions on 21st century learning and innovation skills (4Cs). *Journal of Language and Linguistic Studies*, *15*(1), 231–246.
- Block, D. (2010). Globalization and language teaching. *The Handbook of Language and Globalization*, 287–304.
- Burns, A., & Richards, J. C. (2018). *The Cambridge guide to learning English as a second language*. Cambridge University Press.
- Çebi, A. (2018). Teachers' perceptions toward technology integration into the language teaching practices. *Journal of Narrative and Language Studies*, *6*(11), 150–177.
- Crystal, D. (2003). *English as a global language*. Cambridge university press.
- Curran, R. (2014). Students As Partners: An Exploration of Process to Effect Transformational Change. *ICED 2014 Educational Development in a Changing World*, 119.
- Darling-Hammond, L. (2020). Culture, learning, and policy. In *Handbook of the cultural foundations of learning* (pp. 404–426). Routledge.
- Division, C. of Europe. C. for C. C. E. Committee. M. L. (2001). *Common European framework of reference for languages: Learning, teaching, assessment*. Cambridge University Press.
- Ellis, R. (2015). *Understanding second language acquisition 2nd edition*. Oxford university press.

- Fulcher, G. (2024). *Practical language testing*. Routledge.
- Graham, C. R. (2018). Current research in blended learning. *Handbook of Distance Education*, 173–188.
- Hockly, N. (2016). *Focus on learning technologies*. Oxford University Press.
- Howard, S. K., Tondeur, J., Siddiq, F., & Scherer, R. (2021). Ready, set, go! Profiling teachers' readiness for online teaching in secondary education. *Technology, Pedagogy and Education*, 30(1), 141–158.
- Jenkins, J., & Panero, S. M. (2024). *Global Englishes: A resource book for students*. Routledge.
- Kirkpatrick, A. (2010). *English as a lingua franca in ASEAN: A multilingual model* (Vol. 1). Hong Kong University Press.
- Koehler, M. J., Mishra, P., Akcaoglu, M., & Rosenberg, J. M. (2013). The Technological Pedagogical Content Knowledge Framework for Teachers and Teacher Educators. *ICT Integrated Teacher Mducation Models, November 2020*, 1–8. [http://cemca.org.in/ckfinder/userfiles/files/ICT teacher education Module 1 Final\\_May 20.pdf](http://cemca.org.in/ckfinder/userfiles/files/ICT_teacher_education_Module_1_Final_May_20.pdf)
- Koehler, M. J., Mishra, P., & Cain, W. (2013). What is technological pedagogical content knowledge (TPACK)? *Journal of Education*, 193(3), 13–19.
- Koehler, M., & Mishra, P. (2009). What is technological pedagogical content knowledge (TPACK)? *Contemporary Issues in Technology and Teacher Education*, 9(1), 60–70.
- Kohnke, L., & Moorhouse, B. L. (2022). Facilitating synchronous online language learning through Zoom. *Relc Journal*, 53(1), 296–301.
- Littlewood, W. (2014). Communication-oriented language teaching: Where are we now? Where do we go from here? *Language Teaching*, 47(3), 349–362.

- Long, M. (2014). *Second language acquisition and task-based language teaching*. John Wiley & Sons.
- Melvina, M., Natsir, M. H. D., Yulmiati, Y., & Andilla, S. (2025). English Teachers' Role in the 21st Century Learning. *JETL (Journal of Education, Teaching and Learning)*, 10(1).
- Motteram, G., & Dawson, S. (2014). What's changed in English Language Teaching. *A Review of Change in the Teaching and Learning of English and in Teacher Education and Development From, 2024*. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.57884/FPE8-5130,2025>
- Priestley, M., Alvunger, D., Philippou, S., & Soini, T. (2021a). *Curriculum making in Europe: Policy and practice within and across diverse contexts*. Emerald Publishing Limited.
- Priestley, M., Alvunger, D., Philippou, S., & Soini, T. (2021b). *Curriculum making in Europe: Policy and practice within and across diverse contexts*. Emerald Publishing Limited.
- Richards, J. C., Panahi, A., & Mohebbi, H. (2023). Language Teaching Research Quarterly. *Language Teaching Research*, 33, 4–67.
- Richards, J. C., & Rodgers, T. S. (2014). *Approaches and methods in language teaching*. Cambridge university press.
- Seidlhofer, B. (2013). *Understanding English as a lingua franca*. Oxford University Press.
- Thomas, J. W. (2000). *A review of research on project-based learning*. California.
- Warschauer, M., & Kern, R. G. (2000). *Network-based language teaching: Concepts and practice*. Cambridge university press.
- Wedell, M., & Malderez, A. (2013). *Understanding language classroom contexts*.

Zhang, L., & Hwang, Y. (2023). "Should I change myself or not?": Examining (Re) constructed language teacher identity during the COVID-19 pandemic through text-mining. *Teaching and Teacher Education*, 127, 104092.

## Author's Biography



**Ince Rezky Naing, M.Pd.** is a lecturer in the English Education Study Program at Cenderawasih University, Papua, Indonesia. He obtained his Bachelor's degree in English Education from Muhammadiyah University of Makassar in 2018 and completed his Master's degree in English Education at Yogyakarta State University in 2022. His academic interests include English language teaching (ELT), instructional technology, and literacy development in higher education, with particular attention to English as a Foreign Language (EFL) contexts. As an educator and researcher, he is committed to enhancing teaching quality and improving student learning outcomes through innovative and research-informed pedagogical practices. He is actively involved in academic research, community engagement, and scholarly publications in the field of English education and pedagogical innovation. This book reflects his commitment to advancing English language teaching by integrating theoretical perspectives with practical classroom applications. He welcomes academic collaboration and can be contacted via email at [incerezkynaing@fkip.uncen.ac.id](mailto:incerezkynaing@fkip.uncen.ac.id) or through Instagram @incerezkyy.

# CHAPTER 2

## Foundational Principles for Effective ELT

---

*Aqzhariady Khartha*  
Universitas Sembilanbelas November Kolaka

This chapter provides a comprehensive overview of the fundamental principles that underpin effective English Language Teaching (ELT). As the cornerstone of classroom practice, this chapter explores the essential theories that serve as an anchor for teachers navigating the complexities of second language acquisition. To provide a structured understanding, this chapter discusses several related theories concerning the roles, processes, technicalities, types, and methods of language instruction and knowledge transfer.

### 2.1 Introduction

#### 2.1.1 The Philosophy of Language Teaching: Exploring why principles matter more than just methods (The shift from Method to Post-Method pedagogy).

The field of English Language Teaching (ELT) has seen a major shift from the old-school, method-focused approach to what Kumaravadivelu (2006) calls the "post-method condition." This change marks a big rethink in how we teach languages, moving away from strictly following set methods to embracing a more adaptable, principle-driven framework. As Brown (2007) points out, "Methods are too narrow and too prescriptive to apply to a wide range of audiences in multiple contexts" (p. 41). The post-method era

understands that effective teaching isn't about fitting everyone into the same mold; instead, it's about using solid teaching principles that can adjust to various learning environments and meet the unique needs of students.

This philosophical shift acknowledges the complexity and unpredictability of language learning environments, where teachers must make countless moment-to-moment decisions that cannot be predetermined by any single method. Kumaravadivelu (2003) emphasizes that "the post-method condition signifies three interrelated attributes: the search for an alternative to method, the teacher's autonomy to know how to develop a systematic, coherent, and relevant theory of practice, and the learner's autonomy to find their own voice" (p. 544). This approach empowers teachers to become reflective practitioners who draw from multiple theoretical perspectives and adapt their teaching strategies based on immediate classroom realities rather than following predetermined scripts.

The shift towards principle-based teaching shows a deeper grasp of how we acquire language—it's a lively, socially-driven process that can look quite different from one person to another and in various situations. As Ellis (2005) points out, "principles provide a more flexible and theoretically sound basis for language teaching than methods because they can be interpreted and applied differently according to the specific context" (p. 209). This adaptability enables teachers to keep their teaching consistent while genuinely addressing the unique challenges and opportunities that arise in their specific classrooms, ultimately fostering more effective and meaningful language learning experiences.

### **2.1.2 Defining Foundational Principles: How principles serve as a decision-making framework for classroom management and lesson delivery.**

Pedagogical principles in ELT serve as fundamental guidelines that inform teaching decisions and provide a coherent framework for understanding how language learning occurs most effectively. Unlike rigid methodological prescriptions, principles are flexible constructs that can be interpreted and applied differently across various contexts while maintaining their core theoretical integrity. Richards and Rodgers (2014) define teaching principles as "beliefs about the nature of language, language learning, and language teaching that provide the foundation for particular approaches and methods" (p. 35). These principles function as a compass for teachers, helping them navigate the complex terrain of classroom instruction while maintaining consistency with established theories of second language acquisition.

The power of principle-based teaching lies in its ability to provide both structure and flexibility simultaneously. Brown (2007) explains that principles "offer a systematic set of guidelines for classroom practice that are derived from theoretical foundations but are flexible enough to be adapted to specific contexts and learner needs" (p. 58). When teachers operate from a clear set of principles, they can make informed decisions about curriculum design, material selection, activity planning, and assessment strategies that align with their understanding of how languages are best learned. This approach enables teachers to maintain pedagogical coherence across different lessons and contexts while adapting their specific techniques to meet immediate classroom demands.

Furthermore, principle-based teaching promotes reflective practice and professional development by encouraging teachers to examine the theoretical foundations underlying their instructional choices. Farrell (2015) argues that "when teachers understand the principles behind their practice, they become more reflective practitioners who can articulate why they make certain decisions and how those decisions relate to student learning outcomes". This deeper level of professional understanding enables teachers to continuously refine their practice, evaluate the effectiveness of their instructional strategies, and make evidence-based adjustments that enhance student learning. The principle-based approach thus transforms teaching from a collection of disparate techniques into a coherent, theoretically-grounded professional practice.

## **2.2 Cognitive Pillar: How the Brain Processes Language**

### **2.2.1 Meaningful Learning vs. Rote Learning: Techniques for anchoring material to students' realities (based on Subsumption Theory).**

Meaningful learning represents a fundamental cognitive process where new information is actively integrated with existing knowledge structures in the learner's mind, creating lasting and transferable understanding. Ausubel's Subsumption Theory, as reinterpreted by contemporary researchers, emphasizes that "the most important single factor influencing learning is what the learner already knows" (Novak, 2010). In the context of ELT, this principle suggests that language acquisition is most effective when new linguistic elements are systematically connected to students' prior experiences, cultural backgrounds, and existing knowledge

frameworks. Recent neurological research supports this view, with Paradis (2019) demonstrating through brain imaging studies that meaningful language processing activates broader neural networks compared to rote memorization, leading to more durable memory consolidation and enhanced retrieval capabilities.

The practical application of meaningful learning in English Language Teaching (ELT) requires teachers to connect abstract language concepts with students' experiences. Vallori (2014) state that "meaningful learning occurs when students can relate new language input to their personal experiences, cultural contexts, and existing conceptual frameworks". This approach involves using authentic materials that reflect students' interests and backgrounds, creating tasks that meet real-world communication needs, and encouraging students to make connections between the target language structures and their native language or past experiences. When students recognize the relevance of what they are learning, they become more engaged, leading to deeper processing and better retention.

Recent research in cognitive psychology further supports the effectiveness of meaningful learning over rote memorization in language acquisition. According to Craik and Tulving's levels of processing theory, as applied to second language learning by Ellis (2015), "semantic processing of language input results in significantly better retention and transfer compared to surface level phonological or orthographic processing". This finding is significant for ELT practitioners. It suggests that activities encouraging students to engage with the meaning of language elements, rather than just memorizing forms, will lead to more effective and lasting learning

outcomes. The goal is to create experiences that promote analytical thinking and personal connections.

### **2.2.2 Automaticity: Navigating the transition from declarative knowledge (knowing the rule) to procedural knowledge (applying it fluently).**

Automaticity in language learning is the essential shift from consciously applying language rules to using them fluently and unconsciously. Anderson's Adaptive Control of Thought Rational (ACT-R) theory, as explained in second language acquisition by DeKeyser (2020), outlines this process in three stages: "the cognitive stage where learners consciously apply declarative knowledge, the associative stage where connections between knowledge elements are strengthened, and the autonomous stage where skill execution becomes automatic and effortless" (p. 94). This progression is vital for achieving functional fluency. Applying rules consciously creates a cognitive load that disrupts real-time communication. Recent neuroscientific research by Ullman (2020) shows that procedural language knowledge is stored in different brain areas than declarative knowledge. Procedural knowledge is harder to forget and more easily accessed during spontaneous communication.

To develop automaticity, learners need extensive practice that gradually reduces the cognitive load of language processing. Conklin & Carrol (2021) notes that "automaticity emerges through repeated exposure to language patterns in meaningful contexts, where the focus gradually shifts from form to meaning". This process cannot be rushed and cannot be accomplished through isolated drills alone. It requires what researchers call "proceduralization," the gradual shift from

explicit knowledge to implicit, automatic responses. Effective ELT practice must offer learners many opportunities for meaningful language use in increasingly challenging contexts. This helps them progress from conscious rule application to intuitive language processing.

Recent studies have identified effective instructional strategies that help second language learners develop automaticity. Fahl et al. (2023) found that "spaced repetition combined with varied practice contexts significantly accelerates the automatization process compared to massed practice or decontextualized drilling". This suggests that ELT practitioners should design learning sequences that revisit key language elements across different contexts and time intervals. They should also gradually increase the complexity and spontaneity needed for success. Additionally, research by Fu and Li (2020) indicates that the development of automaticity improves when learners receive immediate feedback on their performance. This feedback allows learners to adjust their strategies and strengthen correct language associations.

### **2.2.3 Investment and Motivation: Understanding Krashen's "Affective Filter" and how intrinsic motivation drives long-term persistence.**

The concept of the Affective Filter, introduced by Krashen and developed by other researchers, explains how emotional and motivational factors can support or hinder language acquisition. Norton and Toohey (2011) expanded on this idea with their theory of "investment," stating that "learners' willingness to engage with target language communities and their sense of ownership over the learning

process significantly influence acquisition outcomes" (p. 432). This view recognizes that language learning is not just a cognitive task; it is also a personal and social journey involving learners' identities, aspirations, and relationships within target language communities. When students feel emotionally connected to language learning and can see themselves as successful language users, their affective filter lowers, allowing for better acquisition.

Intrinsic motivation, which differs from external rewards or pressures, plays a key role in sustaining long-term language learning. Deci and Ryan's Self Determination Theory, applied to second language learning by Oga Baldwin (2019), shows that "learners who experience autonomy, competence, and relatedness in their language learning contexts show significantly higher levels of engagement and persistence" (p. 267). Autonomy refers to the feeling of choice and control over learning; competence relates to the perception of progress and ability; and relatedness encompasses connections with teachers, peers, and target language communities. When these three psychological needs are met, learners develop what researchers call "identified regulation," where language learning becomes personally meaningful and self-directed.

Recent studies in positive psychology have revealed how emotional states affect language acquisition. MacIntyre and Gregersen (2012) found that "positive emotions broaden learners' cognitive resources and increase their willingness to take communicative risks, while negative emotions narrow attention and reduce linguistic experimentation". This finding is important for ELT practice, indicating that creating positive, supportive classroom environments is essential for effective learning. Teachers must consider both the

cognitive and emotional aspects of language learning. They should design experiences that challenge students intellectually while ensuring emotional safety and building confidence in their language skills.

## **2.3 Socio-Linguistic Pillar: Language in Cultural Contexts**

### **2.3.1 The Language-Culture Connection: Teaching language as a cultural entity rather than just a set of symbols**

Language carries cultural meanings, values, and practices that shape how speakers interpret and use it. Teaching language as a cultural entity means looking beyond just form and meaning. It includes interaction norms, cultural scripts, and sociocultural knowledge that make communication real and meaningful. Recent research shows that culturally informed instruction improves pragmatic skills and intercultural awareness. This leads to better communication success (Liddicoat et al., 2013; Humayrah et al., 2023). When teachers include cultural artifacts, stories, and community practices in their lessons, students learn to interpret implied meanings and engage appropriately in different social situations.

Practical steps in the classroom include using real texts, bringing in community voices, and creating tasks that require cultural understanding and perspective-taking. These strategies help students make sense of meaning in culturally rich situations and minimize misunderstandings in real-life interactions. Studies show that students who receive clear cultural instruction perform better in pragmatic tasks and are more willing to interact with speakers from various backgrounds (Rafieyan, 2016; Preksha, 2024).

### **2.3.2 World Englishes: Principles of teaching English in a global era (EIL—English as an International Language).**

English serves as a diverse global resource, not just a single native-speaker standard. Teaching that draws on World Englishes and English as an International Language recognizes linguistic diversity, different norms of understanding, and the validity of local varieties. Recent research argues for changing goals from native-like accuracy to effective international communication, sociolinguistic awareness, and flexibility across English varieties (Phongsirikul, 2017; Jenkins & Panero, 2024). This view helps students engage confidently in multilingual global networks where various English norms exist.

In the classroom, this means exposing students to different accents and varieties, teaching methods for understanding meaning with international peers, and encouraging critical thinking about language beliefs. Assessments and materials should prioritize communication effectiveness and pragmatic appropriateness, rather than just following a single standard. Studies suggest that students trained in multilingual communication strategies feel more confident and succeed better in international tasks (Subtirelu et al., 2022; Lindemann, 2025).

### **2.3.3 Communicative Competence: Breaking down the four competencies: Linguistic, Sociolinguistic, Discourse, and Strategic.**

Communicative competence has several interconnected parts. Linguistic competence includes grammar, vocabulary, pronunciation, and sentence structure, which are needed to form messages. Sociolinguistic competence involves using language correctly based

on social roles, settings, and cultural norms. Discourse competence relates to maintaining coherence across sentences and interactions, allowing for longer exchanges and text creation. Strategic competence covers the use of various strategies to repair and manage communication when problems arise. Current models emphasize integrating these areas in task-based and interactive activities to reflect real communication needs (Golubovschi, 2024).

Instructional design should create tasks that engage multiple competencies at once. For example, role plays can require grammatical accuracy, sociocultural adjustments, coherent turn-taking, and strategic negotiation. Research indicates that integrated practice focusing on real communication goals leads to better transfer to spontaneous conversations than isolated drills aimed at specific competencies (Ellis, 2020; Taguchi & Li, 2020).

## **2.4 Pedagogical Pillars: Classroom Practices**

### **2.4.1 Learner-Centeredness & Agency: Strategies for providing "student voice and choice" in the learning process.**

In the current ELT landscape, moving from teacher-led instruction to learner-centeredness marks a significant change in power dynamics. This principle states that students are not just passive recipients of knowledge; they are active agents in their own language development. It is important to differentiate between a learner-centered curriculum, which focuses on student needs, and learner-driven instruction, where students have a high degree of agency. As Code (2020) points out, "Learner agency is about the ability to regulate, control, and monitor one's own learning intentionally, supported by a validated multidimensional measure. "

To implement this effectively, teachers must navigate the complexities of sharing power. This means shifting away from the "sage on the stage" model and taking on the role of a facilitator of learning environments. This includes integrating "student voice and choice" by allowing learners to choose reading materials that match their personal goals or work together on creating assessment rubrics. When students see their identities reflected in the curriculum, they become more invested. This agency turns the classroom into a democratic space where language serves as a tool for personal expression.

Additionally, fostering agency requires a strong focus on metacognitive training. Students need to learn how to set personal goals and keep track of their progress. To incorporate this into daily classroom routines, teachers can use the following strategies:

- **Negotiated Syllabi:** Letting students vote on specific themes or cultural topics they want to explore throughout the semester.
- **Learning Contracts:** Setting individual goals where students commit to specific language milestones.
- **Choice Boards:** Offering various task options (e.g., writing a blog, recording a podcast, or creating a poster) to show mastery of a single concept.
- **Self-Assessment Reflection:** Using "exit tickets" for students to share what they learned and what challenges remain.

By prioritizing the learner's perspective, teachers cultivate a resilient student body capable of facing language challenges outside the classroom. This shift does not lessen the teacher's role; instead, it elevates the teacher to that of a mentor and strategist.

### **2.4.2 Scaffolded Instruction: Applying Vygotsky's Zone of Proximal Development (ZPD) to structure materials from simple to complex.**

Scaffolded instruction is a practical application of Lev Vygotsky's Zone of Proximal Development (ZPD). This concept defines the gap between what a learner can do alone and what they can achieve with guidance. In ELT, scaffolding serves as a temporary support system that is gradually removed as the student becomes more capable. Teachers must understand the difference between macro-scaffolding and micro-scaffolding. Macro-scaffolding involves intentional syllabus design, while micro-scaffolding is the spontaneous support offered during classroom interactions. As Wilson & Devereux (2014) noted, "Scaffolding involves providing high challenge with high support, working within students' zones of proximal development to enhance learning. The process includes both designed-in and contingent scaffolding strategies."

Applying ZPD involves strategically repeating materials. For example, before asking a student to write a persuasive essay, a teacher might first provide a word bank, then sentence starters, and finally hold a collaborative brainstorming session. This step-by-step approach keeps students in a state of "flow," where the task's challenge matches their developing skill level. If this balance is missing, learners may feel anxious when tasks are too difficult or bored when they are too easy.

Modern scaffolding also includes digital tools and peer mentoring (Khartha et al., 2025). By using various supports, teachers can provide multiple points of entry for different learners. Effective scaffolding techniques include:

- Modeling and "Think-Alouds": The teacher show their own thought process while solving a language problem.
- Graphic Organizers: Using Venn diagrams or flowcharts to help students visualize the text's structure before they write.
- Bridging: Connecting new ideas to students' prior knowledge or cultural backgrounds.
- Contextualizing: Using real-world objects or images to link abstract vocabulary to a physical reality.

The aim of scaffolding is ultimately its gradual removal; it is a success when the learner can perform the task independently. The teacher needs to be very attuned to the student's progress, knowing precisely when to offer support and when to step back for the student to struggle productively toward mastery.

### **2.4.3 Integrated-Skills Approach: Why segregating skills (reading vs. speaking) is no longer relevant in the modern era.**

The traditional model of separating language learning into isolated areas of reading, writing, listening, and speaking is increasingly seen as artificial. The Integrated-Skills Approach promotes a complete treatment of language, recognizing that skills are rarely used alone in real-life situations (Pradhani, 2025). For instance, a professional meeting involves listening to a presentation, taking notes, and responding to a colleague. Nishonbekova (2025) argues that "integrated-skill instruction leads to the development of communicative competence that is more authentic and durable."

In modern ELT, this integration also involves the concept of translanguaging. This practice acknowledges that multilingual students often draw on their entire linguistic background, including

their native language, to understand new English concepts. Instead of enforcing a "strict English-only" policy, an integrated-skills approach allows for strategic translanguaging that connects what students already know with new information. This is especially relevant in Global Englishes, where negotiating meaning is a crucial skill.

Moreover, this approach encourages a more engaging and dynamic classroom environment. Integrating skills deepens learning by providing multiple exposures to the same language features across different formats. Teachers can apply this approach through:

- **Task-Based Learning (TBL):** Designing projects where students research (reading/listening), discuss (speaking), and report (writing) on a specific issue.
- **Content-Based Instruction:** Teaching subjects like science or history through English, which naturally requires the use of all four skills.
- **Dictogloss Activities:** Students listen to a text, take notes, and collaborate to reconstruct the text in written form.
- **Thematic Units:** Organizing lessons around a central "big idea" (e.g., Climate Change) that necessitates diverse language interactions.

This variety keeps student motivation high and better prepares them for the complexities of the 21st century. By reinforcing vocabulary and grammar through meaningful, multi-sensory application, students build a stronger and more flexible command of the language that reflects how they will actually use it in their professional and personal lives.

## 2.5 Affective Pillars: Building Student Confidence

Language learning is an emotional journey, often compared to a roller coaster. Since language closely connects to one's identity, the classroom can be a place of empowerment or deep anxiety. The affective pillars of English Language Teaching (ELT) focus on the psychological and emotional states of learners. Even the best teaching methods will not work if a student feels fear or lacks confidence.

### 2.5.1 Risk-Taking and Error Tolerance

A major barrier to language learning is the fear of making mistakes, often called "language anxiety" (Kim et al., 2025). In traditional classrooms, mistakes are usually seen as failures that need immediate correction. However, core ELT ideas state that errors are signs of a healthy learning process, called "Interlanguage" (Maheswari et al., 2020). This serves as a transitional linguistic system where students test out their ideas about the new language. According to Stephen Krashen's Affective Filter Hypothesis, when a student feels stressed or self-conscious, an invisible filter blocks the brain from processing new information.

To reduce this filter, teachers should create a culture of "high challenge, low threat." This involves encouraging *risk-taking*, where students receive praise for trying complex language structures even if they are not completely accurate. If students fear taking risks, they will stick to "safe" language, which limits their growth (Othman, 2025). Teachers should prioritize global errors (those that hinder meaning) over local errors (minor grammar mistakes) to keep communication flowing (Matwangsang et al., 2025). Strategies to create an error-tolerant environment include:

- **Delayed Correction:** Taking notes on errors during a speaking activity and discussing them later, instead of interrupting the student.
- **Normalizing Failure:** Sharing the teacher's own challenges with a second language to make the learning experience feel more relatable.
- **Praising "Successful Attempts":** Rewarding students who try to use new, challenging vocabulary, regardless of perfect syntax.
- **Focus on Fluency First:** Designing activities where the main goal is to communicate effectively, saving accuracy for a later stage of the lesson.

### 2.5.2 Identity in Language Learning

Learning a second language isn't just about acquiring a new skill; it involves exploring a new identity (Li, 2025). When a student speaks English, they often navigate a "Third Space" between their native culture and the target language culture. This can create *Language Ego* issues, where a student feels like a different, possibly less intelligent or less charismatic version of themselves in the new language. Recognizing this change is crucial for teachers who want to help students build real confidence.

The goal is to help students form a "multilingual identity," seeing English as an addition to who they are rather than a replacement of their core identity. In today's world of Global Englishes, students should be encouraged to incorporate their own cultural nuances into their English (Khartha & Adisaturrahimi, 2024). This approach validates their background and minimizes the feeling of being an "imposter" in a foreign language. Khartha et al. (2022) states that

when students recognize that their true selves can exist in English, their motivation shifts from extrinsic (learning for grades) to intrinsic (learning for self-expression). To support identity development in the classroom, teachers can implement:

- **Identity Texts:** Projects where students create stories or presentations about their lives, combining their cultural heritage with the target language.
- **Reflective Journaling:** Allowing students to write about their feelings when speaking English, noting moments when they felt "powerful" or "small."
- **Role-Play with a Purpose:** Using scenarios that reflect the students' future personal or professional lives for practice in their "English-speaking self."
- **Discussion on World Englishes:** Showing videos of successful non-native speakers (e.g., global leaders or scientists) to provide diverse role models of English proficiency.

### **2.5.3 Resilience and Persistence**

Since language learning is a long process, building *resilience* is as important as building confidence. Students will face plateaus where they feel stagnant in their progress. During these times, the teacher's role shifts from educator to motivational coach. By teaching students about the "Growth Mindset", the idea that they can develop intelligence and language skills through hard work and teachers can help students push through tough moments.

Persistence stems from a sense of accomplishment. As a result, teachers should include "quick wins" in their curriculum. When a student successfully orders food in English or understands a short

video, the resulting boost in confidence keeps them motivated. Confidence is not a fixed trait; it is a dynamic state that needs continuous support through positive and meaningful experiences with the language. Ways to encourage resilience and persistence include:

- **Goal-Tracking Visuals:** Using charts or digital badges to show students how far they have come throughout the semester.
- **Strategy Training:** Teaching students "repair strategies" (e.g., "How do you say X?") so they feel prepared to handle communication breakdowns.
- **Peer Support Groups:** Setting up a buddy system where students motivate each other during challenging lessons.
- **Micro-Certifications:** Acknowledging small achievements, such as "Best Participation" or "Most Improved Pronunciation," to keep morale high.

## **2.6 Conclusion and Reflection**

The foundational principles discussed in this chapter serve as the "moral compass" for the modern ELT professional. In an era where technology and methods change at a rapid pace, these cognitive, socio-linguistic, pedagogical, and affective pillars provide a stable framework for decision-making. By moving from a rigid adherence to a single "Method" to a principled "Post-Method" pedagogy, teachers gain the flexibility to adapt to the diverse needs of their students while maintaining academic rigor. The ultimate goal is to move beyond the mere transmission of grammatical rules and instead foster a learning environment where language is a bridge to identity, agency, and global connection.

As you conclude this chapter, take a moment to reflect on your own teaching practice and how these principles manifest in your classroom. Reflection is the bridge between experience and professional growth, allowing you to refine your philosophy over time. Consider the following questions as you prepare to explore the chapters ahead:

- **Refining Philosophy:** Which of the four pillars (Cognitive, Socio-Linguistic, Pedagogical, Affective) do I prioritize most in my teaching, and which one needs more attention?
- **Balancing Power:** In my most recent lesson, who did most of the talking? How could I have shifted more "agency" to my students?
- **Navigating Errors:** Do I view student mistakes as "problems to be fixed" or as "data" that shows me how their interlanguage is developing?
- **Future Integration:** How can I use the principles of scaffolding and integrated skills to make my upcoming lessons more authentic for the 21st-century learner?

## References

- Brown, H. D. (2007). *Teaching by principles: An interactive approach to language pedagogy (3rd ed.)*. Pearson Education.
- Code, J. (2020). *Agency for learning: Intention, motivation, self-efficacy and self-regulation*. *Frontiers in Education*, 5, 1–15.
- Conklin, K., & Carrol, G. (2021). Words go together like 'bread and butter': The rapid, automatic acquisition of lexical patterns. *Applied Linguistics*, 42(3), 492-513.
- DeKeyser, R. (2020). Skill acquisition theory. In *Theories in second language acquisition* (pp. 83-104). Routledge.
- Ellis, R. (2005). Principles of instructed language learning. *System*, 33(2), 209-224.
- Ellis, R. (2015). *Understanding second language acquisition 2nd edition*. Oxford university press.
- Ellis, R. (2020). Task-based language teaching for beginner-level young learners. *Language Teaching for Young Learners*, 2(1), 4-27.
- Fahl, J., Duvivier, R., Reinke, L., Pierie, J., & Schönrock-Adema, J. (2023). Towards best practice in developing motor skills: a systematic review on spacing in VR simulator-based psychomotor training for surgical novices. *BMC Medical Education*, 23. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12909-023-04046-1>.
- Farrell, T. S. (2015). Second language teacher education: A reality check. In *International perspectives on English language teacher education: Innovations from the field* (pp. 1-15). London: Palgrave Macmillan UK.
- Fu, M., & Li, S. (2020). THE EFFECTS OF IMMEDIATE AND DELAYED CORRECTIVE FEEDBACK ON L2 DEVELOPMENT. *Studies in Second Language Acquisition*, 44, 2 - 34. <https://doi.org/10.1017/s0272263120000388>.
- Golubovschi, O. (2024). Interactive Strategies for Teaching English: Enhancing Language Acquisition through Communicative and

Task-Based Learning. In *Higher education: traditions, values, perspectives* (pp. 240-244).

Humayrah, U., Siregar, D., Hajmi, M., Nasution, M., Hsb, J., Fadillah, F., & Ridwan, A. (2024). THE ROLE OF PRAGMATIC AWARENESS IN EFL UNIVERSITY STUDENTS' COMMUNICATION SKILLS. *VISION*. <https://doi.org/10.30829/vis.v20i2.3954>.

Jenkins, J., & Panero, S. M. (2024). *Global Englishes: A resource book for students*. Routledge.

Kharcha, A., & Adisaturrahimi, A. (2024). Teachers' perception on The Impact of English on Indonesian Language and Culture. *KLASIKAL: JOURNAL OF EDUCATION, LANGUAGE TEACHING AND SCIENCE*, 6(1), 156-164. <https://doi.org/10.52208/klasikal.v6i1.1135>

Kharcha, A., Baa, S., & Korompot, C. A. (2022). Teacher's Interpersonal Communication and Its Impacts on Students' motivation in EFL Learning. *Klasikal: Journal of Education, Language Teaching and Science*, 4(1), 156-169. <https://doi.org/10.52208/klasikal.v4i1.137>

Kharcha, A., Nasir, S. H., Naing, I. R., Bohang, M. B. A., Dakka, L. N., Rini, H. C., ... & Kiftiah, S. (2025). Fundamentals of English Language Teaching: A Beginner's Guide for Educators. *PT Akselerasi Karya Mandiri*, 264-264.

Kim, C., Aichler, L., Bridgett, T., Nicolarakis, O., Lacy, S., Sortino, R., Kushalnagar, P., & Pizzie, R. (2025). Language anxiety: understanding past research and new directions with d/Deaf, DeafBlind, and hard of hearing communities. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 16. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2025.1558714>.

Kumaravadivelu, B. (2003). *Beyond methods: Macrostrategies for language teaching*. Yale University Press.

Kumaravadivelu, B. (2006). TESOL methods: Changing tracks, challenging trends. *TESOL quarterly*, 40(1), 59-81.

Li, M. (2025). Identity in Second Language Learning: Theoretical Perspectives and Research Trends with an Emphasis on Chinese

as a Second Language. *Journal of Literature & Language*.  
<https://doi.org/10.71204/jhxdxz72>.

Liddicoat, A. J., & Scarino, A. (2013). *Intercultural language teaching and learning*. John Wiley & Sons.

Lindemann, S., Ashley, K., Pinard, S., & Jeong, H. (2025). 'ITA problem' or opportunity? Online global communication training at a US university to increase undergraduate students' use of collaborative strategies. *Applied Linguistics*, 46(3), 522-540.

MacIntyre, P. D., & Gregersen, T. (2012). Emotions that facilitate language learning: The positive-broadening power of the imagination. *Studies in Second Language Learning and Teaching*, 2(2), 103-135.

Maheswari, P., Adnyani, N., & Suwastini, N. (2020). Interlanguage Analysis on Indonesian EFL Learners' Compositions. *Lingua Didaktika: Jurnal Bahasa dan Pembelajaran Bahasa*.  
<https://doi.org/10.24036/ld.v14i2.108643>.

Matwangsang, R., Matwangsang, P., Sukying, A., & Min, C. (2025). Analyzing Grammatical Errors to Improve English Writing Among Thai High School Students' Interlanguage and Vocabulary Use. *Theory and Practice in Language Studies*.  
<https://doi.org/10.17507/tpls.1501.10>.

Nishonbekova, S. (2025). An Integrative Approach to Enhancing Communicative and Methodological Competence in English Language Teacher Education. *European International Journal of Pedagogics*. <https://doi.org/10.55640/eijp-05-05-59>.

Norton, B., & Toohey, K. (2011). Identity, language learning, and social change. *Language teaching*, 44(4), 412-446.

Novak, J. D. (2010). *Learning, creating, and using knowledge: Concept maps as facilitative tools in schools and corporations*. Routledge.

Oga-Baldwin, W. Q. (2019). Acting, thinking, feeling, making, collaborating: The engagement process in foreign language learning. *System*, 86, 102128.

Othman, S. (2025). Foreign Language Anxiety and Its Effects on Learner Proficiency. *International Journal of Multidisciplinary*

*Research and Growth Evaluation.*  
<https://doi.org/10.54660/ijmrge.2025.6.4.64-70>.

- Paradis, M. (2019). *The handbook of the neuroscience of multilingualism*. John Wiley & Sons.
- Phongsirikul, M. (2017). A learner of the 20th century becoming a teacher of the 21st century: A perspective on the goals of ELT in Thailand. *Reflections, 24*, 81-94.
- Pradhani, R. (2025). The Role of Four Skills in Teaching and Learning: An Integrated Approach to Second Language Acquisition. *The Voice of Creative Research*.  
<https://doi.org/10.53032/tvcr/2025.v7n3.05>.
- Preksha, P. (2024). Analyzing the Role of Cultural Literacy in Shaping Pragmatic Language use Across Diverse Cultures. *International Journal of Language, Literature and Culture, 4*(6), 593075.
- Rafieyan, V. (2016). Relationship between Language Learners' Attitudes toward Cultural Instruction and Pragmatic Comprehension and Production. *Advances in language and literary studies, 7*(4), 68-75.
- Richards, J. C., & Rodgers, T. S. (2014). *Approaches and methods in language teaching*. Cambridge university press.
- Rosenbaum, D. A. (2024). *Cognitive control of action: Selected works of David A. Rosenbaum*. Routledge.
- Subtirelu, N. C., Lindemann, S., Acheson, K., & Campbell, M. A. (2022). Sharing communicative responsibility: Training US students in cooperative strategies for communicating across linguistic difference. *Multilingua, 41*(6), 689-716.
- Taguchi, N., & Li, S. (2020). Contrastive pragmatics and second language (L2) pragmatics: Approaches to assessing L2 speech act production. *Contrastive Pragmatics, 2*(1), 1-23.
- Ullman, M. T. (2020). The declarative/procedural model: A neurobiologically motivated theory of first and second language 1. In *Theories in second language acquisition* (pp. 128-161). Routledge.

Vallori, A. B. (2014). Meaningful learning in practice. *Journal of education and human development*, 3(4), 199-209.

Wilson, K., & Devereux, L. (2014). Scaffolding theory: High challenge, high support in Academic Language and Learning (ALL) contexts. *Journal of Academic Language and learning*, 8(3), A91-A100.

## Author's Biography



**Aqzhariady Khartha, M.Pd.** is an accomplished educator and author with a strong academic background in English Language Teaching (ELT). He earned both his bachelor's degree (S1) and master's degree in the English Education Program, which have provided him with a solid theoretical and pedagogical foundation in the field of language education. Currently, he serves as a lecturer in the English Language Education Program at Universitas Sembilanbelas November (USN) Kolaka, where he is actively involved in preparing and mentoring prospective English teachers. His academic and professional interests focus on English Language Teaching, particularly in the areas of language assessment, instructional material development, cross-cultural communication, and Technology-Enhanced Language Learning (TELL). These scholarly interests are reflected in his academic publications and written works, including the book *Fundamentals of English Language Teaching: A Beginner's Guide for Teachers*, which he co-authored with fellow English lecturers. The book is designed as a comprehensive reference for novice teachers entering the field of English language education, offering foundational theoretical insights as well as practical teaching guidance. Through his academic and professional contributions, he continues to support the advancement of ELT practices and methodologies, with particular emphasis on the integration of technology to enhance language teaching and learning processes. He can be contacted via email at [aqzhariadyk@usn.ac.id](mailto:aqzhariadyk@usn.ac.id).

# Chapter 3

## Modern Perspectives on Language Learning Theories

---

*Happy Cruzia Rini*  
Universitas Tadulako

This chapter presents modern perspectives on language learning theories, including the evolution of language learning theories, cognitive and constructivist approaches, sociocultural and interactionist theories, the impact of technology and digital learning, and future trends in multilingual language learning.

### 3.1 Evolution of Language Learning Theories

Over time, our thoughts on how to learn a language have changed a lot. Modern theories regard the human mind as an active, interconnected system rather than a tabula rasa awaiting input, called behaviorism, which B.F. Skinner strongly supported the main idea in the beginning. Skinner saw language as a series of habits that people learn by repeating things over and over again and obtaining rewards or reinforcement along the way (Skinner, 1957; Nasution & Casmini, 2020; Leeder, 2022). He thought that learning a language was like learning any other behavior that could be seen and it involved copying, practicing, and gaining rewards.

However, many linguists did not agree with this view. Noam Chomsky vehemently objected, saying that Behaviourism could not explain the inventiveness and diversity of human language. He added that people are born with a natural ability, commonly called the Language Acquisition Device, that lets us understand and create an infinite number of sentences, even ones we have never heard before (Pradana, 2025; Putra 2025). Chomsky's approach shifted the emphasis from external inputs to internal cognitive mechanisms. He said everyone follows the same basic rules for using language. Lev Vygotsky underscored the importance of social interaction from a unique perspective. He believed that language is not merely an intrinsic skill but also a tool molded by significant encounters with others. Vygotsky claimed that we learn best when we work together in our Zone of Proximal Development, which is a place where we can seek help from others who know more than we do (Vygotsky, 1978; Newman, 2018). Language is a major aspect of his social life, and it shapes how we think and see things. Of course, not everyone agrees with these ideas.

Many people say that Behaviorisms makes language too simple because it does not consider how creative and abstract language can be. Chomsky's ideas are mostly about how our brains work, but they do not always fit with how language is shaped by social and cultural circumstances in actual life. Both methods are useful, but they do not cover all the details and changes that occur as people learn a language, especially in a world where technology is changing quickly, people are connected all over the world, and many languages are spoken. That is why newer theories are usually more flexible and better attuned to what is going on.

Today, researchers examine how social factors, cultural identities, online communities, and digital tools affect language development. They do not see language as just a way to study, they see it as a lively social action that we engage with other people in real life to make sense of things and connect (Wang, Zhang, Long, 2022; Su, 2026). In the end, it is best to remember how significant the situation is. It is not enough to just memorize rules or mimic what other people say when we learn a language. It is also about being able to talk to others, become acclimated to different settings, and be ourselves when we chat with people. When we combine what we know from old theories with what we know about how people live now, we get a better idea of how people really learn and use language.

### **3.2 Cognitive and Constructivist Approaches**

Cognitive and constructivist approaches to language learning emerged as a response to earlier theories that placed limited emphasis on learners' internal processes and active involvement. These viewpoints signify a transition towards perceiving language acquisition as a dynamic process influenced by cognition and social interaction. These methods change the way students learn by making them active meaning-makers instead of passive receivers of information (Romdhon et al., 2024; Priyamvada, 2018; Kwan and Wong, 2015). This sets the stage for looking at how mental activity and experience affect language development.

Building on this foundation, cognitive learning theory focuses specifically on the mental processes that underlie language acquisition, including attention, memory, perception, and problem-solving (Portugal-Toro et., 2025; Rahman et al., 2025). From this

perspective, learners actively process language input by forming and refining mental representations of language. Learning is viewed as an ongoing process in which individuals test hypotheses, notice patterns, and adjust their understanding in response to feedback and communicative experience.

As cognitive processing plays a central role in learning, modern cognitive theories further emphasize the importance of noticing and meaningful input. Learners must attend to linguistic features for them to be internalized, and repeated exposure strengthens neural connections in the brain (Ghazi-Saidi and Ansaldo, 2017; Ozernov-Palchik et al., 2025; Yang and Ren, 2025). These strengthened connections enable learners to process language more efficiently, linking cognitive effort to the gradual development of fluency and accuracy in language use.

While cognitive theory highlights internal mental activity, constructivism extends this view by emphasizing how knowledge is actively constructed through interaction with the environment. Influenced by Jean Piaget, constructivist theory argues that learners integrate new linguistic information into existing cognitive structures through active engagement (Szabó and Csépes, 2022; Halid, 2024). This perspective reinforces the idea that language learning is not a process of memorization but one of continuous meaning-making.

Expanding constructivism further, Lev Vygotsky's sociocultural theory introduces the crucial role of social interaction in learning. He came up with the idea of the Zone of Proximal Development (ZPD), which shows how students can improve their language skills by working with peers or teachers who are better at it. Within this framework, scaffolding supports learners in moving from assisted

performance toward independent language use, linking social interaction directly to cognitive development (Herdiana and Munir, 2023; Sutrah et al., 2025).

Integrating these cognitive and social dimensions, contemporary cognitive models draw on insights from neuroscience and psychology to explain how learners adapt to new linguistic environments (Li and Jeong, 2020). Connectionist models see language learning as making neural networks stronger by exposing them to the same thing over and over again. Cognitive flexibility theory, on the other hand, focuses on the ability to use language knowledge in different situations. These models combine earlier theories to show that language learning is an adaptive, interconnected process that is shaped by cognition, interaction, and experience.

### **3.3 Sociocultural and Interactionist Theories**

Sociocultural and interactionist theories assert that language acquisition is fundamentally a social phenomenon occurring within particular cultural contexts. These strategies emerged as a reaction to theories emphasizing individual cognition while neglecting the social contexts of learning. Massa (2024) asserts that sociocultural approaches prioritize collaboration, communication, and cultural participation to illustrate the interconnectedness of language, society, and learning.

Sociocultural theory is based on Lev Vygotsky's idea that cognitive development starts with social interaction and then becomes part of the person. Language is a method to think and a way to talk when you look at it this way. Vygotsky's Zone of Proximal Development (ZPD) theory illustrates how language learners can

enhance their skills with assistance from knowledgeable teachers or peers (Ibrahim et al., 2012; Muntasir and Akbar, 2023). This method of guided interaction emphasizes the importance of cultural context, noting that similar values, traditions, and communication styles all affect learning.

Interactionist concepts are based on Vygotsky's principles and stress how important communication is for learning a language. Students can negotiate meaning, get feedback, and figure out where they need to improve their language skills through meaningful interactions. So, interaction not only gives you a chance to practice, but it also actively promotes language development by mixing social connection with brain growth (Loewen and Sato, 2018; Wieczorek et al., 2025).

These theoretical discoveries have significantly influenced contemporary language instruction, particularly Task-Based Language Teaching (TBLT). Yan and Sultan (2024) say that TBLT stresses the necessity of doing actual, practical projects that show how language is utilized in the real world. This helps students communicate in a meaningful way. When students work together to solve issues and do tasks that require communication, they use language to make the social and dynamic parts of language acquisition better.

Communities of Practice is more than what is needed in the classroom. It looks at how language acquisition is tied to social activities. students in these groups work on their language abilities by doing things with other students, and eventually they get more involved. Learning a language is directly related to how people see

themselves in relation to others, since students use linguistic patterns that fit in with the communities they belong to.

Language learners become more interested in how their language skills improve when they interact with more than one community of practice. Language is used for more than merely talking to people. They utilize it to talk about themselves and meet new people. This point of view stresses that learning a language is an ongoing process in which cultural connections, active participation in social settings, and ongoing communication all play significant.

### **3.4 The Impact of Technology and Digital Learning**

Technological advancement has changed how languages are taught, learned, and experienced, shaping how people think about language learning today. Digital technologies have revolutionized the way people study languages (Indriani et al., 2024; Maahs et al., 2025). It used to be something that could only be done in school, but now it can be done anywhere, anytime. In this case, technology is not just an extra tool, it is a fundamental part that transforms how people learn, how free they are, and how they connect.

One big shift in learning a language online is that many people now use applications and programs powered by AI to help them learn. Adaptive technologies like Duolingo and Babbel can make learning more personal by using data-driven feedback, spaced repetition, and turning learning into a game. Students can learn at their own pace with these tools, and they receive fast feedback that helps them improve. This way, students can see how they are doing and adjust their study habits. AI-enhanced tools embody cognitive and constructivist principles by encouraging people to engage, recognize patterns, and

interact with language input continuously (Wang et al., 2025; Yang, 2025).

Learning a language has become increasingly easier thanks to interactive technologies like Virtual Reality (VR) and Augmented Reality (AR), as well as application-based learning. These technologies provide virtual settings that allow students to interact in real-life situations tailored to their needs, without any physical restrictions (Zekeik et al., 2025). Language learners can engage with virtual environments to practice the language in authentic contexts and cultures. This enhances their confidence and communication proficiency. Sociocultural theories that advocate for learning by interaction and significant engagement in rich, immersive situations are well-suited for these types of experiences.

The combination of these technologies has also contributed to the rise in popularity of blended learning methods for language acquisition. It is an educational approach in which students receive instruction both in person and online. The result is a hybrid learning environment that is both structured and flexible in its approach to education. In this manner, teachers can use technology to help students learn independently while the classroom continues to serve as a place where students can learn together and connect directly with one another (Nusli and Oh, 2024). As a result, learning becomes more flexible, accommodating the requirements, preferences, and pace of each individual involved.

Language education is undergoing significant transformations due to the rise of digital learning and technology. The new approaches are more effective for learners and the learning environments in which they are implemented. Through the use of digital tools,

immersive technologies, and mixed learning models, contemporary theories of language learning investigate how speech has evolved under the Influence of the digital world. The use of technology in the classroom is extremely important; however, we must also consider how it alters how people learn, use, and enjoy languages in the modern world.

### **3.5 Future Trends and Multilingualism in Language Learning**

Modern theories of how individuals acquire language skills are becoming more accurate as the world continues to become more interconnected and globalized. There is no longer a requirement that individuals who wish to learn a language learn only one. The reason is that communication, migration, and digital interaction between nations are expanding rapidly (Enny et al., 2025). At the workplace and in the classroom, an increasing number of individuals are speaking more than one language. Given how challenging it is to learn and use more than one language, this change also requires new theories that account for this difficulty. This modification goes against the conventional models of language learning, which only emphasize the use of a single language at a time.

According to contemporary theories, multilingualism is a resource that is both flexible and adaptable, reflecting the effects of globalization. Many individuals have the misconception that learners are able to utilize their extensive range of language skills to communicate with others in a variety of settings. Both the concept of translanguageing and that of multicultural competence emphasize that languages are not learned or used independently of one another; rather, they collaborate within the mind of the learner (Almashour,

2024; Kitani and Sakil, 2025). Language, from a contemporary perspective, evolves and is shaped by the demands of society, culture, and communication. The aforementioned viewpoints are examples of this conviction.

Motivating students to continue to improve their language skills is essential when they are learning more than one language at the same time. Motivating people is a dynamic construct that is influenced by both extrinsic factors, such as academic, social, and professional goals, and intrinsic factors, such as personal interest and enjoyment (Morris et al., 2022; Maarif and Bakar, 2025). This is how contemporary theories conceptualize motivation. There is no such thing as static motivation; rather, it develops over time in response to learners' experiences and circumstances. Because of this, it is clear how essential it is to have learning environments that sustain people's interest for an extended period.

When it comes to learning a language, the concept of identity is quite comparable to that of motivation. Learners of new languages frequently face the challenge of integrating into new social groups and roles as they acquire language skills. By engaging with a variety of linguistic and cultural settings, students can cultivate their sense of self as language users. Modern perspectives emphasize the learner's agency (Vaughn et al., 2025; Kohn and Hoffstaedter, 2017). Language is a tool that people use to actively shape their identities and the paths they take in their educational pursuits, which is why this is true.

From what we can see, theories of language learning are increasingly incorporating concepts from other fields to address new challenges. As machine learning and artificial intelligence have advanced, they have enabled the creation of more personalized and

flexible learning experiences. At the same time, advances in neuroscience have made it easier to understand how the brain processes and stores language. We can think about people differently thanks to these new ideas, which also help us develop better instructional materials.

It is abundantly clear that theories of how individuals acquire language skills will continue to evolve in tandem with the increasing interconnectedness of the world, technological advances, and the growing diversity of languages. Learning a language in today's rapidly changing world requires an understanding of multilingualism, motivation, identity, and innovation, all of which have become essential components. Because of this, contemporary perspectives emphasize adaptability, put the learner at the forefront of the learning process, and consider context as essential for teaching future language learners.

## References

- Almashour, M. (2024). Bridging worlds with words: Translanguaging and its impact on identity formation among Jordanian graduate students in Ontario. *Frontiers in Education*, 9, Article 1464741. <https://doi.org/10.3389/educ.2024.1464741>
- Enny, F., Mannan, M., & Maruf, T. I. (2025). The impact of globalization on intercultural communication and education: A qualitative study. *ABC Journal of Advanced Research*, 14(1), 1-14. <https://doi.org/10.18034/abcjar.v14i1.793>
- Ghazi-Saidi, L., & Ansaldo, A. I. (2017). Second language word learning through repetition and imitation: Functional networks as a function of learning phase and language distance. *Frontiers in Human Neuroscience*, 11, Article 463. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fnhum.2017.00463>
- Halid, L. I. (2024). Constructivist approach to language learning: Linking Piaget's theory to modern educational practice. *INTERACTION: Jurnal Pendidikan Bahasa*, 11(2), 306-327. <https://doi.org/10.36232/interactionjournal.v11i2.33>
- Herdiana, & Munir, S. (2023). The effect of teacher scaffolding and peer-scaffolding on reading comprehension and vocabulary ability. *Jurnal Pendidikan Indonesia*, 12(3), 451-460. <https://doi.org/10.23887/jpiundiksha.v12i3.61213>
- Ibrahim, A., Rezaee, A., & Azizi, Z. (2012). The role of zone of proximal development in students' learning of English adverbs. *Journal of Language Teaching and Research*, 3(1), 51-57. <https://doi.org/10.4304/jltr.3.1.51-57>
- Indriani, C. L., Muth'im, A., & Febriyanti, E. R. (2024). English language learning through the use of digital technology: A literature review. *Linguistic, English Education and Art (LEEA) Journal*, 7(2). <https://doi.org/10.31539/leea.v7i2.9268283>
- Kitani, A. B. M., & Sakil, R. P. (2025). Integrating cultural frameworks in language education: Strategies and implications. *International Journal of Research and Innovation in Applied Science (IJRIAS)*, 10(4), 239-245. <https://doi.org/10.51584/IJRIAS.2025.10040018>

- Kohn, K., & Hoffstaedter, P. (2017). Learner agency and non-native speaker identity in pedagogical lingua franca conversations: Insights from intercultural telecollaboration in foreign language education. *Computer Assisted Language Learning*, 30(5), 351–367. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09588221.2017.1304966>
- Kwan, Y. W., & Wong, A. F. L. (2015). Effects of the constructivist learning environment on students' critical thinking ability: Cognitive and motivational variables as mediators. *International Journal of Educational Research*, 70, 68–79. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijer.2015.02.006>
- Leeder, T. M. (2022). Behaviorism, Skinner, and operant conditioning: Considerations for sport coaching practice. *Strategies*, 35(3), 27–32. <https://doi.org/10.1080/08924562.2022.2052776>
- Li, P., & Jeong, H. (2020). The social brain of language: Grounding second language learning in social interaction. *NPJ Science of Learning*, 5, Article 8. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41539-020-0068-7>
- Loewen, S., & Sato, M. (2018). Interaction and instructed second language acquisition. *Language Teaching*, 51(3), 285–329. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0261444818000125>
- Maahs, I.-M., DeCapua, A., & Triulzi, M. (2025). Digital technology and language learning: Insights from teachers of adult migrant learners. *ReCALL*, 37(2), 283–297. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0958344024000338>
- Maarif, M., & Bakar, M. (2025). Learning motivation from the perspective of educational psychology: An analysis based on study habits and learning environment. *International Journal of Interdisciplinary Research*, 1(2), 89–109. <https://doi.org/10.71305/ijir.v1i2.281>
- Massa, A. (2024). From the sociocultural theory by Vygotsky to its didactic application: A case study of German language learning in an Italian context. *Language Teaching Research Quarterly*, 46, 157–173. <https://doi.org/10.32038/ltrq.2024.46.12>
- Morris, L. S., Grehl, M. M., Rutter, S. B., Mehta, M., & Westwater, M. L. (2022). On what motivates us: A detailed review of intrinsic vs.

- extrinsic motivation. *Psychological Medicine*, 52(10), 1801–1816. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0033291722001611>
- Muntasir, & Akbar, I. (2023). Revisiting the significance of ZDP and scaffolding in English language teaching. *JETLEE: Journal of English Language Teaching, Linguistics, and Literature*, 3(1), 40–45. <https://doi.org/10.47766/jetlee.v3i1.1276>
- Nasution, U., & Casmini, C. (2020). Integration of Imam Al-Ghazali and Ivan Pavlov's thoughts in shaping student behavior. *INSANIA: Journal of Educational Alternative Thoughts*, 25(1), 103–113. <https://doi.org/10.24090/insania.v25i1.3651>
- Newman, S. (2018). Vygotsky, Wittgenstein, and sociocultural theory. *Journal for the Theory of Social Behavior*, 48, 350–368. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jtsb.12174>
- Nussli, N., & Oh, K. (2024). Creating a “space in-between”: Learning on the physical–hybrid–virtual continuum. *Journal of Educational Technology Systems*, 52(4), 471–506. <https://doi.org/10.1177/00472395241252139>
- Ozernov-Palchik, O., O'Brien, A. M., Lee, E. J., Richardson, H., Romeo, R., Poliak, M., Small, H., Capella, J., Nieto-Castañón, A., Saxe, R., Gabrieli, J. D. E., & Fedorenko, E. (2025). Precision fMRI reveals that the language network exhibits adult-like left-hemispheric lateralization by 4 years of age. *bioRxiv*. <https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.05.15.594172>
- Portugal-Toro, A., García-Peñalvo, F. J., Balderas Ruiz, L. A., & Vences Esparza, A. (2025). Cognitive and affective processes in second language oral communication: A mixed methods research. *Frontiers in Education*, 10, Article 1571099. <https://doi.org/10.3389/educ.2025.1571099>
- Putra, I. A. S. (2025). Language acquisition and L2 language: A literature review. *Majapahit Journal of English Studies*, 2(2), 117–128. <https://doi.org/10.69965/mjes.v2i2.136>
- Rahman, E., Norahmi, M., Pratika, D., Fauziyah, R. A., & Joyanaku, Z. O. (2025). A review of cognitive approaches in SLA: Identifying the most influential factors from key studies. *JETAL: Journal of*

*English Teaching & Applied Linguistics*, 7(2), 27–35.  
<https://doi.org/10.36655/jetal.v7i1.1858>

Romdhon, J., Masrifah, M., Shiyama, N. M., & Suharyati, H. (2024). Applying constructivist learning theory to enhance student learning outcomes in elementary schools. *International Journal of Sustainable Development & Future Society*, 2(2), 62–69.  
<https://doi.org/10.62157/ijsdfs.v2i2.73>

Skinner, B. F. (1957). *Verbal behavior*. Prentice Hall.

Su, C. (2026). Sociocultural factors in second language acquisition: A multi-theoretical perspective in the digital age. *Open Journal of Modern Linguistics*, 16, 1–13.  
<https://doi.org/10.4236/ojml.2026.161001>

Sutrah, Billah, A. A., & Sunra, L. (2025). University students' perceptions of AI-assisted language learning scaffolding in reducing L1 reliance during authentic English speaking. *IDEAS: Journal on Language Teaching and Learning, Linguistics and Literature*, 13(2), 7982–8010.  
<https://doi.org/10.24256/ideas.v13i2.8919>

Szabó, F., & Csépes, I. (2022). Constructivism in language pedagogy. *Hungarian Educational Research Journal*, 13.  
<https://doi.org/10.1556/063.2022.00136>

Vaughn, M., Lammert, C., Carrizales, D., & Arlington, K. C. (2025). Multilingual learners and possibilities for agency. *Peabody Journal of Education*, 100(4), 482–494.  
<https://doi.org/10.1080/0161956X.2025.2535921>

Vygotsky, L. S. (1978). *Mind in society: The development of higher psychological processes*. Harvard University Press.

Wang, F., Zhang, J., & Long, Z. (2022). Language learning environment: Spatial perspectives on SLA. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 13, Article 958104. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2022.958104>

Wang, P., Yin, K., Zhang, M., Zheng, Y., Zhang, T., Kang, Y., & Feng, X. (2025). The effect of incorporating large language models into teaching on critical thinking disposition: An “AI + constructivism learning theory” attempt. *Education and Information*

*Technologies*, 30, 11625–11647.  
<https://doi.org/10.1007/s10639-024-13244-3>

Wieczorek, K., DeGroot, M., Ganshorn, H., & Graham, S. (2025). Connecting language abilities and social competence in children: A meta-analytic review. *Child Development*, 96, Article e14218. <https://doi.org/10.1111/cdev.14218>

Yan, Y., Ali, S., & Sultan, S. (2024). Speak beyond borders: A systematic review of task-based language teaching for EFL speaking proficiency. *English Language Teaching*, 17(7), 15–15. <https://doi.org/10.5539/elt.v17n7p15>

Yang, H. (2025). Harnessing generative AI: Exploring its impact on cognitive engagement, emotional engagement, learning retention, reward sensitivity, and motivation through reinforcement theory. *Learning and Motivation*, 90, Article 102136. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lmot.2025.102136>

Yang, Y., & Ren, H. (2025). Attention and outcomes across learning conditions in L2 vocabulary acquisition: Evidence from eye-tracking. *Journal of Eye Movement Research*, 18(3), Article 21. <https://doi.org/10.3390/jemr18030021>

Zekeik, H., Chahbi, M., Lamarti Sefian, M., & Bakkali, I. (2025). Augmented reality and virtual reality in education: A systematic narrative review on benefits, challenges, and applications. *Eurasia Journal of Mathematics, Science and Technology Education*, 21(9), Article em2699. <https://doi.org/10.29333/ejmste/16830>

## Author's Biography



**Happy Cruzia Rini, M. Pd.** is a dedicated English lecturer at Tadulako University. She has a strong commitment to advancing English language education through teaching, research, and academic service. Her research interests focus on student empowerment and motivation, as well as the integration of technology in language teaching and learning. She is particularly interested in exploring innovative pedagogical approaches that respond to the evolving needs of learners and educators in contemporary educational contexts. Through her academic publications, she aims to provide practical and research-based resources that support teachers in improving instructional quality and student engagement. Author can be contacted via email at [happycruzia11@gmail.com](mailto:happycruzia11@gmail.com) or [happycruzia@untad.ac.id](mailto:happycruzia@untad.ac.id).

# CHAPTER 4

## Designing And Managing Effective English Lessons

---

---

*Rezky Atri Oktarin*

UIN Fatmawati Sukarno Bengkulu

This chapter discusses concepts, principles, and practices in designing and managing English lessons effectively. The discussion covers planning lessons, choosing methods and strategies, managing the classroom, media, motivation, and English lessons evaluation.

### 4.1 What Is an Effective English Lesson

A good English lesson enables learners to use English actively and meaningfully. The aim is not only to learn the grammar structure, but also to understand and use the language in real-life situations and use English across the four language skills, listening, speaking, reading, and writing.

According to Brown (2007), effective language learning occurs when learners are emotionally, cognitively, and socially engaged in using the target language. Language is not learned as a set of isolated rules, but rather as a living, contextual communication tool.

In line with this opinion, Harmer (2015) states that the success of English language learning is largely determined by the extent to

which learners are given opportunities to use the language effectively in situations that resemble real-world language use.

#### **4.1.1 Characteristics of Effective English Lessons**

Effective English lessons usually:

- pay attention to learners' needs and engagement
- persuade the use of English
- give activities which have purpose and context
- cross-reference language skills and components
- establish an environment conducive to learning

Richards (2001) confirmed that language skills that integrate and focus on meaning are the main characteristics of effective communicative language learning.

#### **4.1.2 Teacher Role**

According to Scrivener (2011), a good English teacher is a teacher who is able to manage the classroom interaction in such a way that learners' use of the language outweighs the teacher's explanations.

Teachers' roles to be performed in English language classrooms nowadays, in English language teaching, the teacher plays the role of:

- Facilitator of learning; provide activities and an environment for learners to actively study.
- Guide and language model; give examples on how to use good and appropriate language.
- Motivator; cultivate interest, confidence, and a positive attitude to English lessons.

- Evaluator of progress, monitor the progress of the study, and give constructive feedback.

## 4.2 Principles of English Language Lessons

English lesson planning should be based on strong pedagogic principles to make a meaningful and effective learning.

### 4.2.1 Learner-centered

Learning should be planned considering the characteristics of learners, such as age, learners' background, learning styles, and learning objectives. Learners need to be actively involved in discussion, group work, and language practice.

Brown (2015) states that learner-centered learning gives room for learning autonomy, so learners are more responsible for their learning process.

The characteristics of learner-centered are:

- Learners are active in discussion, practice, problem solving, and presentation.
- Teacher as the facilitator, not as the only learning source.
- Focus on learners' needs, ability, interest, and learning style.
- Student talk time is more interactive than teacher talk time.
- The evaluation is not only of the outcomes, but also of the learning progress.

The teacher explains for 60 minutes, while students are taking notes, then quizzes session, this is **not an example** of learner-centered, but teacher-centered. The example of learner-centered; students read a text/watch a video, do the discussion, role play,

microteaching, project, reflection session, and then the teacher gives feedback and reinforcement.

The Learning Pyramid is a conceptual model commonly associated with Dale's Cone of Experience, illustrating that learning becomes more effective as learners become more actively involved in the learning process.

Learning pyramid is commonly illustrated as:

- Lecture - 5%
- Reading - 10%
- Viewing audio-visual media - 20%
- Demonstration - 30%
- Group discussion - 50%
- Practice (learning by doing) - 75%
- Peer teaching (teaching others) - 90%

It means learning is becoming more effective when learners actively participate in discussions, practice, and peer teaching, not just hearing and reading.

#### **4.2.2 A Specific Learning Objective**

The first step that should be taken by the teacher is to set the objective that should be achieved for the subject. By formulating the objectives, it will be easier to direct learning activities and select the learning method.

Every lesson should have clear and measurable objectives. According to Richards and Rodgers (2014), learning objectives that are clearly formulated will help teachers choose the appropriate techniques and approaches.

Learning objectives should be clear and measurable because it helps learners understand what they are expected to achieve, as a guide for teachers to select the method, media, and evaluation, increasing the focus, motivation, and learners' engagement, facilitates evaluation of whether learning is successful or not.

The characteristics of a good learning objective:

- Specific and measurable.
- Using operational verbs (action verbs); explain, analyze, describe, practice, etc.
- Suitable for learners' competency and level.

Learners understand the English text; this is not an example of a clear learning objective. The example is after the lesson, students can analyze the main idea and detailed information in an English text.

In short, clear learning objectives enable learners to engage in independent learning and self-directed learning, understand what they are expected to achieve, and reflect on their learning outcomes.

### **4.3 Meaningful and Contextual Learning**

Language will be easier to understand if used in meaningful contexts. Therefore, the material and activities need to relate to real situations that are close to learners' lives.

Harmer (2007) states that context and meaning are the main keys to making language learning not artificial and boring.

Meaningful learning happens when learners:

- Relating their new knowledge to their background knowledge.
- Understanding why the material is learned.
- Learn with awareness and active engagement.

For example, a learner is not only memorizing the text structure, but also understanding the function and using it in real communication.

While contextual learning emphasizes that learning:

- Relate to real situations (daily life, academic life, or professional life).
- Use authentic examples, assignments, and issues.
- Help learners to see the relevance of material and real-life situations.

For example, learning English by text, dialogue, or assignment, which is often found on campus or in the workplace.

So, meaningful and contextual learning means learners actively construct their understanding, the material is relevant and applicable, and the learning process is deeper and long-lasting.

#### **4.5 Sequence and Scaffolding**

Learning should be sequenced from the simple one to the complex one, from concrete to abstract. A simple to complex approach helps the learners understand the material step by step. The simple material is the foundation, so when the learners get complex material, they already have the knowledge. Without this foundation, the learners will be confused and have trouble understanding the advanced material.

Jerome Bruner (1966) explains that the learning process happens in three stages:

- Enactive (real experience)
- Iconic (visual)
- Symbolic (language, abstract concept)

Concrete to abstract approach helps the learner understand the concept by real experience first before learning the abstract theory or abstract principle. Concrete things are easier to observe and understand because concrete things can be seen, heard, touched, and experienced, so the learners can find the pattern and meaning before introducing formal terms or rules. Meanwhile, abstract concepts (rules, definitions, theory) do not have real form, so it will be more difficult to understand if given directly.

Scaffolding is temporary support from the teacher to the learner to help learners achieve higher ability and then gradually reduce it, so learners can be more independent.

This scaffolding concept is in line with Slain (2018), who emphasizes the importance of gradual support in the learning process.

Scaffolding can be:

- Modeling
- Guiding questions
- Step-by-step guide
- Work in pairs or groups

For example, the teacher provides a model of text analysis, then the learner practices collaboratively, and then analyzes the other text independently.

When sequence and scaffolding are applied together:

- The learning becomes structured
- Learners become more confident
- Independent and sustainable learning will happen
- More meaningful and long-lasting outcomes

## **4.6 English Lesson Plan**

Richards (2001) argues that effective language lessons are the result of careful planning in which objectives, materials, activities, and assessment are aligned to support meaningful language use.

Lesson planning is the main point to create targeted and effective learning. An effective lesson plan will help teachers manage time, materials, and learning activities.

### **4.6.1 Lesson Plan Components**

English lesson plans commonly involve:

- Learning objectives; clear and measurable objectives (using operational verbs)
- Materials; English materials (text, audio, dialogue, video)
- Methods and strategies; communicative language teaching, task-based learning, learner-centered activities.
- Learning activities; opening, main activities, closing. Sequenced from simple to complex and concrete to abstract.
- Media and resources; book, video, audio, worksheet, digital platform.
- Assessment and Evaluation; the evaluation process and the outcomes (performance, project, quiz, reflection).
- Time allocation; time allocation for each activity.

### **4.6.2 Learning Steps**

Learning steps are the steps to make the learning organized to reach the objectives effectively. The learning process will be effective because learning steps help the teacher to manage the classroom, such as the activities, time management, and classroom interaction.

According to Richards (2001), learning steps are the tools to connect the learning objectives, classroom activities, and assessment so that learning will take place coherently and meaningfully.

English language learning steps generally consist of:

- a. Introduction (Preliminary Activities), to motivate and activate learners' prior knowledge. Hammer (2007) said that the first step of learning is very important to hook the learners' attention so that they will be engaged with the topic of the learning. The activities in this step usually cover the greetings and apperception, topic introductions and learning objectives, ice-breaking activities or prompting questions, and relating the materials to learners' life experiences.
- b. Main activity, the first step of the learning process, where the learner focuses on practicing their language skills. Scrivener (2011), in the main activity, learners should use the language more than the teacher. This activity usually covers the materials, it can be from text, audio, video, or dialogue, guided practice, pair work/group work, and language implementation of communication skills.
- c. Closing, to reflect, conclude, give feedback, and follow up on assignments. Ur (2012) stated that the closing step is important to make the learning deeply comprehend the materials and give constructive feedback on their language.

Clear and systematic learning steps will make learning more directed, increase the learners' engagement, support learner-centered learning, and produce deeper and long-lasting learning results.

## **4.7 Methods and Strategies in English Language Learning**

Method and strategy are very important to English language learning success. The appropriate method helps learners use language effectively and meaningfully.

Richards and Rodgers (2014) argue that the method of language learning should align with the learning objectives and learners' needs to create effective learning.

### **4.7.1 Communicative Language Teaching**

Communicative Language Teaching (CLT) is the approach that focuses on communication. The aim of this approach is for learners to be able to communicate using meaningful language in a real context rather than using the correct grammar structure.

Brown (2015) stated that in CLT, the language is studied by practice, so the learners will be able to negotiate, interact, and express their opinions.

Communicative Language Teaching Principles:

a. Language for communication

Language is used for communication, not only for structural analysis. Hammer (2007), learning language is effective when learners use the language as a communication tool in real situations.

b. Focus on function and meaning

The focus of CLT is on the communication function and meaning. Grammar is the supporting tool for communication. Littlewood (2007) stated that language in CLT is learned by using, not just by exercise.

c. Interaction as the main point in the learning process

Interaction is the main point in CLT. Long (1996), through interaction, the learners have a big support to negotiate the meaning that is important to language skills development.

d. Learner-centered learning

The teacher is the facilitator, and the learners have an active role in the learning. Scrivener (2011) stated in the communicative classroom that students' activity is more than the teachers', such as speaking, discussing, demonstrating, etc.

#### **4.7.2 Task-Based Language Teaching**

Task-Based Language Teaching (TBLT) is an approach that focuses on tasks as the main activity. According to Nunan (2004), the main focus of TBLT is language as a tool to deliver the meaning by task, with language used in real situations. The main focus is on meaning, not grammar. The language is used for finishing the task, so the grammar will occur as needed. The steps of TBLT:

- a. Pre-task, to make sure learners are ready to do the task. The teacher can give examples, the context, and introduce the vocabulary, but the focus is not on the grammar.
- b. Task cycle, the main part of the learning activity, the learner can discuss, arrange the text, and give a presentation.
- c. Language focus, language is discussed after the class is over. The teacher can see the grammar and vocabulary, then give the clarification, and correct the common mistakes.

### **4.7.3 Technology-Based Learning**

Technology-based learning is an approach that uses digital technology as the tools to support and increase the quality of the teaching and learning process. As Smaldino, Lowther, and Russel (2015) said, learning technology is used to help teachers deliver materials effectively and hook students' attention.

The Characteristics of technology-based learning:

- a. Learner-centered, technology allows students to learn actively and independently.
- b. Engaging and interactive, digital media encouraged among students, materials, and teachers through various forms of technology.
- c. Flexible and accessible, learning can be done hybrid, anytime, and anywhere, expanding access to various learning resources.

### **4.7.4 Classroom Management in English Language Learning**

Classroom management is how the teacher creates a supportive environment to use language effectively. Effective classroom management will make students feel comfortable and motivated to actively participate in learning activities.

- a. A positive Classroom Atmosphere

A positive Classroom Atmosphere is a situation where the learners feel comfortable, secure, and respected in the learning process. A positive classroom environment supports learners to actively participate, dare to express their opinions, and be confident in using the language. According to Harmer (2007), a

supportive classroom allows learners to take risks when using targeted language, which is important for their learning progress.

b. **Time Management and Classroom Discipline.**

Time management and classroom discipline refer to the teacher's ability to manage time allocation and maintain classroom discipline to make the learning process effective. Good time management ensures all the activities are done in line with the learning objectives, while the classroom discipline helps to create an organized learning environment. Evertson and Weinstein (2006) found that clear rules, consistent activity, and effective time management play important roles in increasing the learners' engagement and learning effectiveness.

#### **4.8. Media Used in English language learning**

Media in language learning is important to help learners increase their understanding and increase their engagement. Several types of media are listed below:

- Visual media, such as pictures, posters, and presentation slides, to describe the vocabulary and grammar. Harmer (2007) suggests that visual media can help learners to learn the language's meaning effectively.
- Audio media, such as dialogue records, podcasts, and songs, which can help practice listening skills, pronunciation, and intonation. Brown (2001) states that meaningful audio input is very important to develop a second language.
- Audiovisual media, such as a video and a short film, provide the language in a real context. Gilmore (2007) states that authentic

audiovisual media help learners understand the natural use of language.

- Digital media, such as a learning platform, interactive content, support flexible and learner-centered learning. Tomlinson (2011) suggests that a good digital media design can increase learning motivation.

#### **4.9. Motivation in English Language Learning**

Motivation is the key to learning success. Dörnyei (2001) states that the learner who has great motivation is more active, persistent, and successful in learning a second language.

##### **4.9.1 Teacher Role**

Teachers can boost learners' motivation through appreciation, constructive feedback, and interesting activities.

##### **4.9.2 Role of Motivation in the Learning Process**

Motivation is the ultimate force of learning behavior. Motivated students are (often more) attentive, persevering, and not as likely to give up when faced with challenges. According to Slavin (2014), motivation influences the effort and persistence that a student exhibits to complete learning activities.

##### **4.9.3 Motivation and Student Engagement**

A high level of motivation can motivate students to actively join in discussion, do their task, and also apply what they learned or the knowledge more effectively.

#### **4.9.4 The Effect of Motivation on Learning Output**

The motivation for learning is a direct factor in learning achievements. Highly motivated students usually do better as they are more committed to learn.

#### **4.10 Authentic Assessment and Evaluation in English language learning**

Authentic assessment and evaluation are the crucial things to measure the success of learning objectives and learners' competence. Evaluation aims to assess the learning process and outcomes, while authentic assessment emphasizes students' ability to apply language in a meaningful context.

##### **4.10.1 Authentic Assessment**

Assessment in English language learning is the gathering of information about learners' ability and development in using the language. Assessment is to monitor the learning process and the outcomes. Some types of assessment in English language learning:

- a. Pre-assessment, which is conducted before the learning process starts, to measure the ability of learners to group learners based on their ability to adjust the strategy, materials, and assessment to optimize the learning.
- b. Formative assessment, which is conducted during the learning process to give feedback and help learners improve their language learning.
- c. Summative assessment, which is conducted at the end of instruction to evaluate overall learning achievement

Black and Wiliam (1998) state that formative assessment plays a crucial role in improving the learning quality through continuous feedback.

#### **4.10.2 Evaluation**

Evaluation is a methodical system for determining how much of an objective has been reached. It focuses on gathering and interpreting data related to teaching and learning in order to make decisions about the quality of an educational program.

In the domain of education, evaluation is primarily concerned with learning program effectiveness, instructional methods, and course success. Brown and Abeywickrama (2010) state that language learning evaluation must be aligned with instructional objectives and focus on real language use.

Evaluation also plays an essential role in enhancing learning. Consequently, evaluation is not just to test for learning, but it is conducted to improve the quality of instruction and education.

#### **4.11 Conclusion**

To design and manage effective English lessons, some elements must be considered, which include lesson planning, appropriate methods to teach, good classroom management, media use in the learning process, and learners' motivation, as well as assessment and evaluation. These features assist their learners in becoming communicatively competent in English for everyday purposes.

Teachers must address issues such as differing levels of proficiency, scarcity of resources, lack of time to prepare lessons, and mixed motivation. They need to be flexible and adaptable, changing and adapting strategies and resource the learning that works.

Ongoing reflection is required to improve the teaching of English. Reflecting on their own practice, maintaining teaching journals, and working with peers help teachers focus on what they do well and how to improve as they hone students' learning experiences.

Through the use of learner-centered principles, using a task-based approach that requires practicing by doing meaningful communication as well as reflective practice, teachers can promote language learning that is effective and sustainable in developing their students' language skills for life.

## References

- Black, P., & Wiliam, D. (1998). Assessment and classroom learning. *Assessment in Education: Principles, Policy & Practice*, 5(1), 7–74.
- Asril, Zainal. (2010). *Microteaching* (3rd ed.). Rajawali Pers
- Brown, H. D. (2001). *Teaching by principles: An interactive approach to language pedagogy* (2nd ed.). Longman.
- Brown, H. D. (2007). *Principles of language learning and teaching* (5th ed.). Pearson Education.
- Brown, H. D. (2015). *Teaching by principles: An interactive approach to language pedagogy* (4th ed.). Pearson Education.
- Brown, H. D., & Abeywickrama, P. (2010). *Language assessment: Principles and classroom practices* (2nd ed.). Pearson Education.
- Bruner, J. S. (1966). *Toward a theory of instruction*. Harvard University Press.
- Dörnyei, Z. (2001). *Motivational strategies in the language classroom*. Cambridge University Press.
- Evertson, C. M., & Weinstein, C. S. (2006). *Handbook of classroom management: Research, practice, and contemporary issues*. Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.
- Gilmore, A. (2007). Authentic materials and authenticity in foreign language learning. *Language Teaching*, 40(2), 97–118.
- Harmer, J. (2015). *How to teach English* (new ed.). Longman.
- Littlewood, W. (2007). Communicative and task-based language teaching in East Asian classrooms. *Language Teaching*, 40(3), 243–249.
- Long, M. H. (1996). The role of the linguistic environment in second language acquisition. In W. C. Ritchie & T. K. Bhatia (Eds.), *Handbook of second language acquisition* (pp. 413–468). Academic Press.

- Nunan, D. (2004). *Task-based language teaching*. Cambridge University Press.
- Richards, J. C. (2001). *Curriculum development in language teaching*. Cambridge University Press.
- Richards, J. C., & Rodgers, T. S. (2014). *Approaches and methods in language teaching* (3rd ed.). Cambridge University Press.
- Scrivener, J. (2011). *Learning teaching: The essential guide to English language teaching* (3rd ed.). Macmillan.
- Slavin, R. E. (2014). *Educational psychology: Theory and practice* (11th ed.). Pearson Education.
- Tomlinson, B. (2011). *Materials development in language teaching*. Cambridge University Press.
- Ur, P. (2012). *A course in English language teaching* (2nd ed.). Cambridge University Press.

## Author's Biography



**Rezky Atri Oktarin, M.Pd.** was born in Bengkulu on October 19, 1996. The author obtained a Master's degree in English Language Education from Universitas Bengkulu (UNIB) in 2022. During graduate studies, the author worked at Yayasan Ruangguru as a Regional Field Officer, where the author was primarily involved in a scholarship distribution project for twelfth-grade senior high school students in Rejang

Lebong Regency, Bengkulu Province. This experience strengthened the author's understanding of educational management and efforts to promote equitable access to education at the regional level. The author's teaching experience includes serving as an English teacher at MAN 1 Bengkulu, an English tutor at Praja Edukasi Bengkulu, and a homeroom teacher at HighScope Indonesia School Bengkulu, where the author was directly engaged in implementing student-centered and inquiry-based learning approaches. Currently, the author is a lecturer at UIN Fatmawati Sukarno Bengkulu, teaching courses related to the field of English. The author's academic and research interests include English for Specific Purposes (ESP), English language teaching, and curriculum development. The author can be reached via email [rezkyatri.oktarin@mail.uinfasbengkulu.ac.id](mailto:rezkyatri.oktarin@mail.uinfasbengkulu.ac.id).

# CHAPTER 5

## Teaching Listening and Speaking in Modern Classroom

---

---

*Tania Syafutri*

UIN Fatmawati Sukarno Bengkulu

This chapter discusses several theories about teaching listening and speaking in modern classrooms.

### 5.1 Listening

The listening process is an active process in communication. In the listening process, students are expected to be able to understand sounds, know vocabulary, grammatical structures, and also translate the speaker's meaning spontaneously. According to Vani and Veeranjanyulu (2023), the listening process is very important in improving English communication skills, where effective listening requires strong thinking and understanding of the context being discussed. Gusneti and Nani (2025) say that listening skills are the most basic language skills, because everyone has been doing it since childhood. Therefore, listening skills are one of the most important language skills, where students receive sounds by understanding vocabulary and grammar in communication.

The listening process is an active communication process. In the listening process, students are expected to understand sounds, know vocabulary and grammatical structures, and translate the speaker's meaning spontaneously. According to Vani and Veeranjaneyulu (2023), the listening process is crucial for improving English communication skills, where effective listening requires strong thinking and understanding of the context being discussed. Gusneti and Nani (2025) state that listening skills are the most basic language skills because everyone has been practicing them since childhood. Therefore, listening skills are one of the most important language skills, where students receive sounds by understanding vocabulary and grammar.

Based on context and purpose, listening is divided into several types:

a. Intensive Listening.

According to Brown (2004), intensive listening is the ability to listen or pay attention with a focus on understanding specific elements in detail, such as pronunciation, vocabulary, grammatical structure, and specific information. The goal was to develop accuracy and linguistic awareness through repeated and controlled listening activities.

b. Extensive Listening.

Extensive listening emphasizes general meaning comprehension and continuous exposure to language. According to Harmer (2007), extensive listening encourages students to listen for general meaning rather than focusing on each word. Rose (2011) explains that extensive listening plays an important

role in developing fluency or listening skills and building students' confidence in speaking. There are several listening activities, such as using podcasts, stories and videos.

c. Interactive Listening

Interactive listening is a two-way communication activity in which responses are provided directly by the listener. Richards (2008) states that interactive listening involves simultaneous time between listeners and speakers. Thus, interactive listening is directly integrated with speaking skills, as students try to understand the message being conveyed, process its meaning, and give an appropriate response. Interactive listening is important for developing speaking competence because it reflects the use of language in real interactions.

## 5.2 Speaking

Speaking is a productive skill in language learning that is integrated with listening skills. Speaking requires students to actively construct meaning and convey it accurately. According to Hana and Arief (2024), speaking is a prioritized and very important skill in English communication, which is why, in the development of English language learning, speaking is a very important achievement and therefore has a larger portion in learning. Hastensi (2020) states that speaking is also a person's ability to convey messages such as ideas, thoughts, goals, or feelings to form interactions with others.

Speaking serves several functions.

a. Expressing opinions and emotions

According to Brown (2001), speaking skills are used when someone wants to convey their thoughts, opinions, beliefs, and feelings to others. Through speaking skills, speakers express their agreement or disagreement and feelings of happiness or sadness.

b. Exchanging information

Bygate (2015) explains that speaking skills are used to share knowledge or information, ask questions, explain, and provide instructions. Information exchange is a process that is often carried out in everyday life, such as in class discussions, presentations, and question-and-answer sessions.

c. Building social relationships

Halliday (1978) states that this is the interpersonal function of language, where speaking skills are used to build social relationships, show politeness, and express social identity. Through greetings, small talk, and conversations, speakers create social interactions and express their social identities. This function reveals the importance of pragmatic and sociolinguistic competence in language usage.

d. Participating in academic and professional settings

Speaking skills are essential in academic and professional settings, such as during presentations, formal discussions, and expressing opinions. In this context, speakers are expected to use language that is accurate, coherent, and appropriate to the style and formal situation of the speech.

Therefore, speaking skills serve not only as a basic means of communication but also to express feelings and opinions, exchange information, build social relationships, and for use in academic and professional settings.

### **5.3. Theoretical perspectives on Teaching and Listening and Speaking**

Teaching listening and speaking in English learning is grounded in the understanding of language as a tool for communication. Therefore, the approach to teaching speaking must relate to the daily context of the students. Richard (2008) states that we cannot separate teaching and listening in English learning because they naturally occur together in everyday communication. Students do not just try to listen to understand the meaning, but they have to respond appropriately.

Communicative Language Teaching (CLT) is an approach to teaching listening and speaking. This approach shows that communication is the primary goal of language learning. According to Richard (2008), Communicative Language Teaching emphasizes the function of language for achieving communication, not just for mastering grammatical structures. In this context, listening and speaking are taught through authentic interactions, such as simulators, discussions, and communicative tasks, so that students can develop their fluency, confidence, and communicative competence.

In teaching listening, there are two perspectives based on Richard's theories:

- a. Listening as comprehension. Listening comprehension focuses on students' ability to understand spoken messages, such as the main idea and specific information.
- b. Listening as acquisition. Listening as an acquisition emphasizes the role of listening as a source of linguistic input to help students develop their language skills.

Thus, for effective teaching of listening, both perspectives should be integrated so that students not only understand the spoken text but also benefit from rich exposure to language input that supports acquisition.

However, in teaching speaking, Richards (2008) shows that speaking skill has a variety of functions in the communication process:

- a. Talk as interaction. Talk as interaction is related to language social functions, such as building relationships and managing conversations.
- b. Talk as a transaction. Talk as transnational focuses on the exchange of information clearly and efficiently.
- c. Talk as performance. Talk as performance is integrated into public speaking, such as presentations or specific.

To achieve comprehensive speaking, all three functions must be included so that students can prepare for diverse communicative situations.

Moreover, Richard emphasizes the importance of interaction and negotiation of meaning in language learning. Students have the

opportunity to clarify meaning, provide explanations, and modify their language use through interaction. This process can help students become aware of their language skill gaps and encourage them to develop their listening and speaking skills simultaneously. Thus, those teaching listening and speaking skills emphasize that language learning must be communicative, interactive, and student-centered.

#### **5.4 Authentic Listening Materials**

Authentic listening materials are an important element in language learning in modern classes because they show that language use occurs in a daily context. Authentic materials are also spoken texts that are not only for pedagogic goals but also for real context in communication, such as podcasts, news, interviews, online videos, movies, and conversations in daily life. According to Richards, using authentic materials is useful for students to understand how language is used naturally in some communication situations so that listening and learning are more meaningful and contextual.

In modern class contexts, using listening materials is more relevant due to rapid technological advancement. Students nowadays have wide access to language sources through the Internet and digital devices, which allows them to encounter English beyond the classroom setting. Gilmore (2007) states that authentic materials can improve students' motivation in learning because they are aware that learning the language has practical value in real life. Therefore, teachers in modern classrooms use technology as a tool to integrate rich and varied listening input.

Authentic listening also plays an important role in developing the ability of the students to comprehend natural spoken language, such as varieties of accents, speech rate, discourse styles, and intonation. In a multicultural environment, students interact with both native and non-native English speakers from different backgrounds. Thus, exposure to diverse forms of spoken language helps students improve their understanding of listening and prepares them for intercultural communication.

However, using authentic materials also poses some challenges, particularly in terms of linguistic difficulty. Authentic materials frequently contain complex vocabulary, idiomatic expressions, reduced forms, and fast speech. Therefore, teachers' roles as facilitators in the learning process are important. Teachers need to choose appropriate materials based on students' level and provide scaffolding, including key vocabulary introduction, using visual or listening tasks in learning activities.

Overall, authentic listening materials are effective tools for teaching English in the classroom while using language in a daily context. Modern classrooms are also student-centered, with technology supporting authentic material to improve students' motivation and listening competency significantly. Choosing the right materials and strategies for teaching authentic listening materials is an important foundation for developing students' spoken language.

## **5.5 Principles of Teaching Speaking**

Teaching speaking is an important aspect of English learning because it is a productive skill that enables students to express

themselves directly through oral language. In modern classes, speaking skills are crucial for academic, professional and global communication. Richards (2008) states that the main goal of teaching speaking is to develop learners' ability to use language appropriately and effectively in a variety of contexts.

Teaching speaking involves several principles.

- a. Fluency, it is related to the ability to speak naturally and smoothly.
- b. Accuracy, it refers to the grammatical structures, vocabulary, and pronunciation.

In this era, fluency and accuracy are the key points in global communication, where understanding messages is more important than perfect grammatical form (Richards, 2008). However, accuracy needs to be developed progressively so that students can communicate clearly and effectively in the future.

- c. Communication-Oriented Instruction

Speaking should be taught as a communicative activity that involves ideas, social interaction, and understanding meaning. Therefore, teaching speaking not only focuses on grammar structure practice, but also gives students the opportunity to use language spontaneously in any real situation.

- d. Opportunities for Active and Spontaneous Speaking

Students need opportunities to participate actively in speaking activities through communicative assignments. These

activities encourage students to develop their confidence in speaking and use language as a communication tool.

e. Supportive and Low-Anxiety Learning Environment

A collaborative, supportive, and fun environment is essential for teaching speaking. Errors should be seen as a natural process in language learning and as an opportunity to learn more.

f. Student-Centered Approach

Teaching speaking in modern classrooms must be a student-centered approach that emphasizes collaboration, active participation, and real interactions. Teachers are facilitators who support the communication process.

g. Real-World and Contextual Relevance

Teaching speaking must be related to real context communication that is relevant to the students' academic, professional, and social lives. Therefore, students' speaking skills can be applied in real-life situations and global contexts.

## 5.6 Speaking Learning Activities

a. Speaking as communicative activity

Speaking activities must provide students with the opportunity to use language as a real communication tool, not just practice for language structures. Richards emphasizes that effective speaking learning should involve students in meaningful communication where they can understand the

message, give spontaneous responses, and use communicative strategies. In modern classes, these activities help students develop language skills that are relevant to academic, professional, and social contexts.

b. Role play and simulation

Role play and simulation give students the opportunity to practice the use of language in real contexts, such as workplace interactions, academic discussions, and service encounters. These activities enable students to develop their pragmatic competency, including expressions that are appropriate for culture and social contexts. According to Richards, role play also improves students' confidence because they can practice speaking in structured yet low-risk situations.

c. Information gap activities to promote meaningful interaction

Information gap activities are designed for students to ensure that they have information that others do not. Therefore, students need communication to complete the task. This activity encourages students to ask questions, explain, clarify, and orally verify information. Brown explains that information gap activities create authentic communicative needs that encourage language use naturally and functionally.

d. Discussions and Debates for Critical Thinking and Oral Argumentation

Discussions and debates help students improve their speaking skills to express their opinions, justify their viewpoints, and respond to other points of view orally. In modern classes, this activity supports collaborative and critical thinking in the learning process. Richards states that group discussions give students the opportunity to practice turn-taking, meaning negotiation, and language use in socially meaningful situations.

e. Presentations for Academic and Professional Speaking Development

Presentations help students to organize their ideas systematically and explain them to the audience using clear and structured language. This activity is essential in professional and academic contexts, where public speaking skills are highly valued. In modern classes, presentations are often integrated with technology, including visual media and digital tools, so that students can improve their multimodal communication skills.

f. Storytelling to Enhance Creativity and Expressive Language Use

Storytelling enables students to creatively express their experiences, imagination, and emotions through spoken language. This activity encourages the use of varied language structures and the development of fluency and intonation. According to Richards, storytelling helps students build the

confidence and speaking skills that are important in social and academic communication.

g. Interactive and Collaborative Speaking Practice

Speaking activity in modern class must be interactive and collaborative so that the students can collaborate, share ideas, and solve problems through communication. Pair and group work enables students to get more opportunities to speak rather than learning in the classroom through a teacher-centered approach. Richards emphasizes that interaction among students improves their opportunities for negotiating meaning and communicative development.

h. Task-Based and Project-Based Speaking Activities

In modern classes, speaking activities are designed based on tasks and projects such as designing problem solving, conducting interviews, or presenting research. This activity focuses on both listening and speaking in meaningful contexts. Richards explains that task-based learning could help students increase their language skills through purposeful language use.

i. Use of Technology to Support Speaking Practice

Technologies such as audio recording, video conferencing, and online platforms enable students to practice speaking beyond the classroom and reflect on their individual skills. In modern classes, technology expands learning opportunities and supports learner autonomy. Brown says

that using the technology could increase students' motivation and involve students in language learning.

j. **Constructive and Reflective Feedback**

Speaking activities should include constructive and reflective feedback. Teachers need to provide feedback that focuses on meaning and communicative success before correcting grammatical errors. Richards also emphasized that appropriate feedback could help students improve their fluency and accuracy in balance and build their confidence in speaking.

## **5.7 Integrating listening and speaking**

Teaching speaking and listening must be integrated into language learning, especially in modern classes that emphasize authentic communication and language use in real contexts. Listening and speaking are skills that occur simultaneously during communication. In daily interactions, someone is not only listening or speaking but continuously alternates between producing and receiving messages. Therefore, learning to speak and listen must be integrated to develop effective communication skills.

Listening and speaking integration enables students to develop their skills to understand meaning and respond orally in meaningful contexts. When students are involved in integrating communication activities, they learn how to interpret messages, ask questions, clarify information, and deliver arguments spontaneously. According to Goh and Burns (2012), this integrated learning helps students build

metacognitive awareness of the oral communication process so that they focus not only on language form but also on the strategies for understanding and delivering effective meaning. Thus, the integration of listening and speaking supports the simultaneous development of students' fluency, accuracy, and interactional competency.

One approach that supports the integration of listening and speaking is Task-Based Language Teaching (TBLT). In this approach, students are asked to complete a meaningful test that requires language use to achieve significant goals, such as solving problems, planning activities, or making decisions together. Richards (2008) states that these kinds of tasks involve the integration of the listening and speaking processes because students must understand the information and respond verbally. Ellis (2017) adds that TBLT creates a learning condition that is like real communication, so that students can develop their language skills both functionally and contextually.

Project-based learning (PBL) is an effective strategy for integrating listening and speaking, especially in modern class contexts based on collaboration and technology use. In project-based learning, students work in groups to complete long-term projects, including presentations, reports, or multimedia products. These processes require students to listen to other ideas, discuss the planning, clarify information, and present results. According to Stoller (2006), PBL enables students to use language authentically in social and academic contexts, and listening and speaking skills are developed naturally through meaningful interactions.

The integration of listening and speaking is also relevant to modern class characteristics that emphasize active, collaborative student-centered learning. In this type of class, teachers have a role as facilitators to design and integrate communication activities, such as group discussions, simulations, role plays, and project presentations. These activities support students in practicing their skills related to understanding and producing language simultaneously in real-life situations. Goh and Burns (2012) emphasizes on how this integrating approach helps students to build their confidence, fluency, and develop their interaction skills effectively in any social contexts and academic settings.

Overall, listening and speaking integration is an important pedagogic approach in language teaching for modern classes. Combining these two approaches through Task-Based Language Teaching and Project-Based Learning, language learning will be more authentic, meaningful, and relevant to students' communication needs in the global era. Therefore, teaching both listening and speaking increases students' language skills and prepares them to participate actively in academic, professional, and social activities in modern society.

## **5.8 Technology in Listening and Speaking Teaching**

Technology plays an important role in teaching listening and speaking in modern classes, especially in learning English as a foreign or second language. The development of digital technologies influences how students access language input, interact with others, and practice their oral communication skills. Richards (2008) states

that teaching effective listening and speaking requires authentic language sources and provides opportunities for meaningful language use. Technology supports these goals through wide access to audio-visual material and flexible platforms for practice and interaction.

In listening, technology provides a variety of authentic sources, including online videos, films, podcasts, international news, and interactive platforms for learning. These materials enable students to learn several accents, speech rates, registers, and discourse styles that reflect how to use the language in real contexts. According to Vandergrift and Goh (2012), exposure to various authentic inputs is essential for developing effective listening comprehension skills. Through technology, teachers can adjust the difficulty of the material, provide subtitles, segment audio, and design effective and contextual listening so that the learning process becomes adaptive and meaningful to students' needs.

Technology also plays an essential role in developing the speaking skills of students. Audio recording applications, video conferencing tools, and speech recognition software enable students to practice independently or collaboratively. Students can record their voice, listen back, and reflect on intonation, pronunciation, accuracy, and fluency. According to Goh and Burns (2012), reflective practice helps students improve their metacognitive awareness of the spoken process and supports continuous improvement. In addition, the technology enables students to interact with native speakers virtually.

In modern classes, technology also supports flexible learning through blended learning approaches and online learning. Students

could access the listening materials beyond the classroom, do the assignment, and participate in online discussions. This is appropriate for the learning characteristics of the 21st century, which emphasize independent learning, digital literacy, and continuous learning. Richards emphasizes how appropriate use of technology can expand language practice opportunities, especially for students with limited time or access to English environments beyond schools.

In addition to improving access and flexibility, technology also contributes to motivation improvement and the involvement of the students. Multimodal digital media, such as videos, animations, and interactive applications, create fun and relevant learning experiences for students. Chapelle (2016) states that technology in language learning can improve students' cognitive and affective involvement. However, technology must be designed systematically to support listening and speaking learning goals.

Although technology has several benefits, teachers still play an important role in facilitating and guiding the learning process in the classroom. Teachers need to choose digital tools that are appropriate for learning goals, students level, and culture and social contexts in the classroom. Teachers also need to guide students in using technology reflectively and critically, including evaluating the quality of sources, setting the learning process, and using feedback effectively. Goh and Burns emphasizes the success of technology integration in spoken skills on pedagogic design.

Overall, technology is an essential component of listening and speaking in modern classes. Technology also contributes significantly

to the communication competencies of students through wide access to authentic input, supporting speaking practice in a reflective and interactive manner, and flexible learning and collaboration. Richards argues that effective oral skills instruction reflects language use in real-life contexts. Therefore, using technology appropriately also prepares students *to communicate globally in the digital age*.

## 5.9 Conclusion

This chapter emphasizes listening and speaking skills, which are fundamental components of English learning, especially in modern classroom contexts. Listening and speaking skills function as tools for students to understand and produce language. In addition, listening and speaking skills are tools for developing students' communicative competence in academic, professional, and social contexts. Therefore, listening and speaking are designed systematically.

Through a discussion of the theories of listening and speaking, this chapter shows that the communicative approach and project-based learning are relevant and effective pedagogic frameworks for developing students' spoken language. This chapter also highlights the importance of authentic materials and communicative activities in creating study experiences that are relevant in real-life situations.

In addition, using technology in listening and speaking learning is seen as an important strategy in this 21-century. Using technology, students can improve their motivation, interest, and skills in listening and speaking. Therefore, this chapter is expected to be a theoretical and practical reference for English teachers in designing listening and speaking material.

## References

- Brown, H. D. (2004). *Language assessment: Principles and classroom practices*. Longman.
- Brown, H. D. (2014). *Teaching by principles: An interactive approach to language pedagogy* (4th ed.). Longman.
- Bygate, M. (2015). Domains and directions in the development of speaking. In D. Nunan & J. C. Richards (Eds.), *Language learning beyond the classroom* (pp. 45–58). Routledge.
- Chapelle, C. A. (2016). *Teaching culture in introductory foreign language textbooks*. Palgrave Macmillan.
- Ellis, R. (2017). *Task-based language teaching*. Oxford University Press.
- Fithriyani, H. Y., & Fauzi, A. Z. (2024). Enhancing students' speaking skill through drill and practice method. *International Journal of Studies in International Education*, 1(3), 29–40. <https://doi.org/10.62951/ijsie.v1i3.38>
- Gilmore, A. (2007). Authentic materials and authenticity in foreign language learning. *Language Teaching*, 40(2), 97–118. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0261444807004144>
- Goh, C. C. M., & Burns, A. (2012). *Teaching speaking: A holistic approach*. Cambridge University Press.
- Gusnetti, & Naini, I. (2025). Interrogative listening ability of students of the 2024/2025 class of Indonesian Language and Literature Education Study Program FKIP Bung Hatta University. *Kolokium: Jurnal Pendidikan Luar Sekolah*, 13(1), 41–48. <https://doi.org/10.24036/kolokium.v13i1.1046>
- Halliday, M. A. K. (1978). *Language as social semiotic: The social interpretation of language and meaning*. Edward Arnold.
- Harmer, J. (2007). *How to teach English*. Longman.
- Hastensi, W. (2020). Penerapan metode pembelajaran drill and practice untuk meningkatkan kemampuan speaking dan

vocabulary. *DIADIK: Jurnal Ilmiah Teknologi Pendidikan*, 10(2), 68–77.

Richards, J. C. (2008). *Teaching listening and speaking: From theory to practice*. Cambridge University Press.

Rost, M. (2011). *Teaching and researching listening* (2nd ed.). Pearson Education.

Stoller, F. (2006). Establishing a theoretical foundation for project-based learning in second and foreign language contexts. In G. Beckett & P. Miller (Eds.), *Project-based second and foreign language education* (pp. 19–40). Information Age Publishing.

Vandergrift, L., & Goh, C. C. M. (2012). *Teaching and learning second language listening: Metacognition in action*. Routledge.

Vani, M. K. S., & Naik, V. N. (2023). Significance of listening skills in enhancing communication skills. *SMART MOVES Journal IJELLH*, 11(7), 9–16. <https://doi.org/10.24113/ijellh.v11i7.11442>

## Author's Biography



**Tania Syafutri, M.Pd.** is an academic and education practitioner in the field of English language teaching, with a particular focus on the development of learners' listening and speaking skills. Author earned an undergraduate degree in English Education before pursuing graduate studies and obtaining a Master of Education (M.Pd.), which further strengthened author's expertise in pedagogy and English language teaching methodology. In academic and professional work, author is actively involved in developing teaching materials, learning modules, and scholarly publications that emphasize communicative approaches, task-based language teaching, and the integration of technology in contemporary English classrooms. Author's work reflects a strong commitment to advancing innovative and effective instructional practices. Author is dedicated to promoting meaningful, contextualized, and learner-centered English language instruction to support the development of students' communicative competence across various educational levels. Author can be reached via email [tania.syafutri@mail.uinfasbengkulu.ac.id](mailto:tania.syafutri@mail.uinfasbengkulu.ac.id).

# CHAPTER 6

## Teaching Reading and Writing for Academic Success

---

*Pahrozi Ahmad*  
UIN Fatmawati Sukarno Bengkulu

### 6.1 Introduction

Academic literacy is one of the most important skills for success in higher education. It goes beyond basic reading and writing skills and refers to the ability to use language for learning, thinking, and communication in academic contexts. Academic literacy enables students to read critically, write clearly, and participate actively in academic discussions. As Johnson (2008) explains, reading and writing are key processes through which learners make meaning from texts. Similarly, Flynn and Stainthorp (2006) describe literacy as a connection between language, thinking, and social experience. When students develop academic literacy, they do not only improve their language skills but also learn how to think critically and express ideas effectively.

In university settings, academic literacy provides students with access to knowledge. It allows them to understand how ideas are constructed, discussed, and evaluated within different academic fields. Academically literate students are able to read complex texts, interpret arguments, and use information to develop their own ideas. Research shows that students with strong academic reading and

**UNTUK PEMESANAN BUKU INI BISA MENGHUBUNGI  
PIHAK PENERBIT (PT AKSELERASI KARYA MANDIRI)  
MELALUI:**

**Website:**

[www.fill.my.id](http://www.fill.my.id)

[publisher.fill.my.id](http://publisher.fill.my.id)

**Informasi Penerbitan Buku dan HKI:**

**Group Kolaborasi Menulis:**

[s.id/AKM Group](https://www.instagram.com/s.id/AKM_Group)

**Pengiriman Naskah:**

[s.id/PT-AKM](https://www.instagram.com/s.id/PT-AKM)

**Contact:**

**Email: [official.fill.my.id@gmail.com](mailto:official.fill.my.id@gmail.com)**

**WA: 08-2242-6626-04**

Advancing English Language Teaching: Principles, Practices, and Classroom Innovation offers a comprehensive overview of contemporary English language teaching in a globalized educational era. The book connects theoretical foundations with practical classroom strategies for educators, pre-service teachers, and researchers. It discusses modern pedagogical principles, language learning theories, lesson design, and effective teaching of listening, speaking, reading, and writing. It also explores contextual vocabulary and grammar instruction, innovative approaches such as task-based and project-based learning, and digital pedagogy integration. Additionally, the book highlights professional development and future ELT directions, supporting teachers in creating adaptive, inclusive, and technology-enhanced learning environments for diverse learners across varied global and local educational contexts worldwide today.

*PT Akselerasi Karya Mandiri*

*St. Jati-Jati, Rimba Jaya, Dist. Merauke, Merauke, Papua Selatan*

*Phone: 08-2242-6626-04*

*Email: official.pt.akm@gmail.com*

*IKAPI: No. 001/PAPUASEL/2024*



**IKAPI**  
IKATAN PENERBIT INDONESIA

