

Fundamentals of English Language Teaching

A BEGINNER'S GUIDE FOR EDUCATORS



Aqzhariady Khartha, M.Pd.
Syarif Hidayat Nasir, S.Pd., M.Pd., MA.
Ince Rezky Naing, M.Pd.
Muthmainnah Bahri A. Bohang, S.S., M. Hum.
Litha Nesidekawati Dakka, S.Pd., M. Hum.
Happy Cruzia Rini, M.Pd.
Marhamah, S.Pd., M. TESOL.
St. Hartina, M.Pd.
Heri Alfian, S.Pd., M.Pd.
Siti Kiftiah, M.Pd.

Fundamentals of English Language Teaching: A Beginner's Guide for Educators

Aqzhariady Kharcha, M.Pd. | Syarif Hidayat
Nasir, S.Pd., M.Pd., MA. | Ince Rezky Naing,
M.Pd. | Muthmainnah Bahri A. Bohang, S.S., M.
Hum. | Litha Nesidekawati Dakka, S.Pd., M.
Hum. | Happy Cruzia Rini, M.Pd. | Marhamah,
S.Pd., M. TESOL. |
St. Hartina, M.Pd. | Heri Alfian, S.Pd., M.Pd. |
Siti Kiftiah, M.Pd.



2025

Fundamentals of English Language Teaching: A Beginner's Guide for Educators

Authors:

Aqzhariady Khartha, M.Pd. | Syarif Hidayat Nasir, S.Pd.,
M.Pd., MA | Ince Rezky Naing, M.Pd. | Muthmainnah
Bahri A. Bohang, S.S., M. Hum. | Litha Nesidekawati
Dakka, S.Pd., M. Hum. | Happy Cruzia Rini, M.Pd. |
Marhamah, S.Pd., M. TESOL. | St. Hartina, M.Pd. | Heri
Alfian, S.Pd., M.Pd. | Siti Kiftiah, M.Pd.

ISBN:

978-623-10-8971-7

Editors:

Sri Ananda Pertiwi
Rawuh Yuda Yuwana

Publisher:

PT. Akselerasi Karya Mandiri
St. Jati-Jati, Rimba Jaya, Dist. Merauke, Merauke, Papua
Selatan
Phone: 08-2242-6626-04
Mail: official.pt.akm@gmail.com
Member IKAPI, No. 001/PAPUASEL/2024

First published in print format, 2025 – English edition

Copyright is protected by law.

This publication is in copyright. Subject to statutory exception and to the provision of relevant collective licensing agreements, no production of any part may take place without the written permission of PT. Akselerasi Mandiri.

Copyright by PT. Akselerasi Mandiri

LAW OF THE REPUBLIC OF INDONESIA

NUMBER 28 OF 2014 ON COPYRIGHT

Article 2

This Law applies to:

- a. All creations and related rights products of Indonesian citizens, residents, and legal entities;
- b. All creations and related rights products of non-Indonesian citizens, non-residents of Indonesia, and non-Indonesian legal entities that are first announced in Indonesia;
- c. All creations and/or related rights products and users of creations and/or related rights products of non-Indonesian citizens, non-residents of Indonesia, and non-Indonesian legal entities, subject to the following provisions:
 1. their country has a bilateral agreement with the Republic of Indonesia regarding the protection of Copyright and Related Rights; or
 2. their country and the Republic of Indonesia are parties or participants in the same multilateral agreement regarding the protection of Copyright and Related Rights.

CHAPTER XVII

CRIMINAL PROVISIONS

Article 112

Any person who, without authorization, commits the acts referred to in Article 7 paragraph (3) and/or Article 52 for commercial use shall be subject to a maximum imprisonment of 2 (two) years and/or a maximum fine of IDR 300,000,000 (three hundred million rupiahs).

Article 113

- (1) Any person who, without authorization, infringes economic rights as referred to in Article 9 paragraph (1) letter i for commercial use shall be subject to a maximum imprisonment of 1 (one) year and/or a maximum fine of IDR 100,000,000 (one hundred million rupiahs).
- (2) Any person who, without authorization and/or without the permission of the creator or copyright holder, infringes the economic rights of the creator as referred to in Article 9 paragraph (1) letters c, d, f, and/or h for commercial use shall be subject to a maximum imprisonment of 3 (three) years and/or a maximum fine of IDR 500,000,000 (five hundred million rupiahs).
- (3) Any person who, without authorization and/or without the permission of the creator or copyright holder, infringes the economic rights of the creator as referred to in Article 9 paragraph (1) letters a, b, e, and/or g for commercial use shall be subject to a maximum imprisonment of 4 (four) years and/or a maximum fine of IDR 1,000,000,000 (one billion rupiahs).

Any person who fulfills the elements referred to in paragraph (3) and commits the act in the form of piracy shall be subject to a maximum imprisonment of 10 (ten) years and/or a maximum fine of IDR 4,000,000,000 (four billion rupiah)

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We would like to begin by extending our heartfelt appreciation to Allah SWT for the innumerable blessings, guidance, and inspiration bestowed upon us during the creation of this book. It is our genuine aspiration that *Fundamentals of English Language Teaching: A Beginner's Guide for Educators* will prove to be a valuable resource for both educators and learners.

We express our sincere gratitude to our esteemed lecturers and colleagues in the domain of English language education. Your collective insights, experiences, and cooperation have played a crucial role in the development of this work.

We extend our sincere gratitude to the publisher for their confidence in this project and for offering the platform that has enabled the realization of this book.

We extend our heartfelt gratitude to our families, mentors, and dear friends for their steadfast moral support and encouragement. Additionally, we express our profound appreciation to our colleagues and staff at the institution where we teach, whose provision of facilities and support was instrumental in the successful completion of this book.

Best regards,

[The Authors]

FOREWORD

Assalamualaikum Warahmatullahi Wabarakatuh,

All praise is due to Allah SWT for His abundant blessings and grace, which have allowed us to successfully complete this book, *Fundamentals of English Language Teaching: A Beginner's Guide for Educators*.

It is with great honor and pleasure that we present this work to our valued readers. This book is the culmination of the research and experiences that authors have gathered over the years in the realm of English Language Teaching.

Our objective with this book is to offer valuable insights and a thorough understanding of the essential principles of English language teaching. We aspire for this book to serve as a beneficial resource for students, educators, and professionals in the field.

We would also like to extend my sincere appreciation to all those who have contributed to and supported us during the writing process. May this book provide significant benefits to all who engage with it.

Finally, we humbly apologize for any deficiencies within this book, and we warmly invite constructive feedback and suggestions from our readers.

Best regards.

[The Authors]

PREFACE

To our valued readers,

We extend our warmest greetings and express our gratitude for selecting *Fundamentals of English Language Teaching: A Beginner's Guide for Educators* as a valuable resource. This publication has been meticulously crafted to enhance your understanding of the essential principles of English language teaching, a discipline that holds increasing significance in today's globalized world, where the demand for English proficiency continues to rise across various domains.

For educators and those aspiring to teach English, a thorough comprehension of the foundational principles of language instruction is crucial for improving the quality of both teaching and learning, as well as addressing the diverse needs of students. This book aims to equip readers with the fundamental concepts, methodologies, techniques, and effective strategies necessary for teaching English, particularly to beginners in this area.

The author aspires for this book to be a practical guide for educators, students, researchers, and anyone interested in the realm of English language teaching. We appreciate your attention and sincerely hope that this book proves to be both beneficial and inspiring as you navigate your journey in English language education.

Sincerely,

[The Authors]

INTRODUCTION

"Fundamentals of English Language Teaching: A Beginner's Guide for Educators" serves as a comprehensive resource that delves into the core theories and principles of language instruction, establishing a robust foundation for educators beginning their teaching careers. This work synthesizes insights from field experts alongside our own experiences as educators.

Within its pages, readers will encounter a variety of teaching theories and methodologies applicable in classroom settings. It is our aspiration that this book will aid educators in improving their teaching practices and provide a more profound comprehension of the complexities inherent in English language education.

We extend our sincere gratitude to the experts who have shaped the development of language teaching theories and to those who have supported us throughout the writing process. Our appreciation also goes to the publisher for granting us this opportunity and to the editors whose contributions have been vital in finalizing this book.

We genuinely hope that this publication will serve as a significant resource for readers, particularly for educators and students aspiring to build a career in English language teaching.

Best regards,

[The Authors]

CONTENTS

TITLE PAGE	i
REVERSE TITLE PAGE	ii
COPYRIGHT LAW	iii
ACKNOWLEDGMENTS.....	iv
FOREWORD	v
PREFACE.....	vi
INTRODUCTION	vii
CONTENTS	viii
1. INTRODUCTION TO ENGLISH LANGUAGE TEACHING (ELT) ..	1
2. PEDAGOGICAL APPROACHES TO ELT	22
3. TEACHING ENGLISH GRAMMAR EFFECTIVELY.....	28
4. TEACHING ENGLISH VOCABULARY	50
5. TEACHING PRONUNCIATION AND LISTENING SKILLS.....	64
6. DEVELOPING SPEAKING AND WRITING SKILLS	80
7. CLASSROOM MANAGEMENT IN ELT	117
8. ASSESSMENT AND FEEDBACK IN ELT	136
9. USING TECHNOLOGY IN ENGLISH LANGUAGE TEACHING.	155
10. PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT FOR ELT EDUCATORS	173
Glossaries	xxxix
Authors' Biography	xlix

1

INTRODUCTION TO ENGLISH LANGUAGE TEACHING (ELT)

English Language Teaching (ELT) is an evolving and complex discipline that is essential in enhancing individuals' communication skills in an increasingly interconnected world. This chapter initiates a discussion on the core inquiry: what constitutes English Language Teaching? It examines the historical context and progression of ELT, mapping its transition from conventional methodologies to contemporary, student-centered practices. Additionally, the chapter underscores the pivotal role of educators in promoting effective English language acquisition, acting as mentors, inspirers, and facilitators across various educational environments. Nonetheless, the path of English instruction is fraught with challenges, including the need to cater to diverse learner requirements and the integration of technology within the classroom. Ultimately, this chapter delineates the objectives and aspirations of ELT, highlighting the necessity of nurturing communicative proficiency, cultural awareness, and a commitment to lifelong learning among students. Collectively, these subjects offer a thorough basis for comprehending the intricacies and prospects inherent in the realm of ELT.

1. What is English Language Teaching

1.1. Definition of English Language Teaching

English Language Teaching (ELT) refers to the educational practice focused on instructing individuals who are not native speakers in the English language. This practice takes place in a variety of settings, including formal educational institutions, private language schools, and online platforms. The main aim of ELT is to help learners attain proficiency in English, which encompasses the development of skills in listening, speaking, reading, and writing. In addition to

linguistic competencies, ELT is an interdisciplinary field that draws on theories and methodologies from linguistics, education, psychology, and sociology to address the cognitive, social, and cultural aspects of language acquisition (Richards & Rodgers, 2014; Kumaravadivelu, 2006). Furthermore, ELT is increasingly acknowledged as a culturally responsive approach that respects and values the linguistic and cultural backgrounds of learners, thereby fostering inclusivity and equity within the classroom (Paris, 2021; Gay, 2018). By recognizing the varied identities and experiences of students, ELT educators cultivate supportive environments that empower learners to actively engage with the language.

In recent years, English Language Teaching (ELT) has transformed into a discipline increasingly influenced by technology, utilizing innovations such as artificial intelligence, virtual reality, and online platforms to foster interactive and tailored learning experiences (Yang & Shadiey, 2019). These technological advancements have facilitated the implementation of hybrid and flipped classroom models, thereby enhancing the accessibility and flexibility of language learning (Benson, 2016). Additionally, as English maintains its role as a global lingua franca, ELT has evolved into a worldwide and decolonizing initiative, questioning native-speaker standards and celebrating the variety of the English language. (Canagarajah, 2017; Pennycook, 2017). This transformation signifies a broader dedication to empowering learners to utilize English as a means of self-expression and international communication. Ultimately, the objective of ELT is to prepare students with the necessary skills and confidence to effectively navigate diverse social and professional environments (Nunan, 2015; Harmer, 2015).

The methodologies employed in English Language Teaching (ELT) demonstrate significant variation, reflecting different educational philosophies and the unique needs of learners. Traditional methods, such as the Grammar-Translation Method and the Audio-Lingual Method, generally focus on the mastery of grammar and vocabulary through repetitive exercises and translation tasks (Richards & Rodgers, 2014). Conversely, contemporary methods like Communicative Language Teaching (CLT) and Task-Based Language Teaching (TBLT) prioritize communicative competence and the practical use of language in everyday situations (Ellis, 2017). For instance, task-based learning encourages students to engage in meaningful activities that promote interaction and the practical application of language, such as problem-solving tasks or project-oriented assignments (Willis, 2013). This evolution in teaching methodologies highlights the importance of customizing instruction to address the diverse needs of learners, ensuring that the educational experience remains relevant and engaging. Additionally, the incorporation of learner-centered strategies and technology-enhanced learning has broadened the range of ELT methodologies, facilitating increased flexibility and personalization in language education (Chapelle & Shannon, 2017). The progress observed signifies a wider transition towards adaptive and inclusive teaching methods, designed to empower students by catering to their distinct linguistic, cultural, and cognitive requirements (Kumaravadivelu, 2006).

The advancement of language proficiency in English Language Teaching (ELT) is complemented by an emphasis on fostering cultural awareness and understanding among learners. Language is intrinsically linked to culture, and the teaching of English provides

students with opportunities to explore the customs, values, and nuances of the cultures where English is prevalent. This cultural dimension enriches the educational journey, allowing students to grasp the contextual framework of the language and equipping them to engage in intercultural communication more effectively. Research highlights the significance of intercultural communicative competence (ICC), which encompasses not only language skills but also the capacity to navigate cultural variances and communicate successfully across different cultures (Baker, 2015). For example, ELT educators frequently integrate cultural materials—such as literature, films, and authentic scenarios—into their curricula to deepen students' understanding of the cultural contexts tied to the English language. Moreover, the increasing acknowledgment of English as a global lingua franca has prompted a transformation in ELT methodologies, advocating for the inclusion of varied cultural viewpoints and recognition of the English language.

By weaving cultural awareness into language education, ELT not only enhances the learning experience but also prepares students with the competencies necessary to excel in multicultural and global settings. Additionally, the adoption of critical pedagogies and decolonizing strategies in ELT has gained momentum, challenging conventional paradigms and fostering a more inclusive perspective on culture and language (Canagarajah, 2012; Pennycook, 2017).

Assessment plays a pivotal role in English Language Teaching (ELT), offering critical insights for both instructors and learners regarding their advancement and potential areas for improvement. Various assessment methods, including formative and summative evaluations, are employed to measure language proficiency and skill

development. The feedback obtained from these assessments is essential, as it shapes teaching methodologies and assists students in identifying their strengths and areas that require enhancement. This continuous cycle of evaluation and feedback is crucial for fostering growth and enhancing language learning outcomes. As noted by Bachman and Palmer (1996), effective language assessment must be purposeful, contextualized, and aligned with educational objectives to accurately reflect learners' capabilities and inform instructional choices.

As English continues to develop as a global lingua franca, the field of English Language Teaching (ELT) is similarly evolving to meet new challenges and opportunities. The integration of technology into language education has transformed traditional approaches, providing innovative resources for both teaching and learner engagement. Digital learning platforms, language applications, and virtual classrooms have expanded access to English language education, thereby increasing its flexibility and inclusivity. Chapelle and Shannon (2017) note that technology-enhanced language learning (TELL) has significantly impacted ELT by offering personalized and interactive learning experiences that address the varied needs of learners. Additionally, Graddol (2008) underscores the rising demand for English proficiency in an interconnected world, highlighting the necessity for ELT to adapt to the linguistic and cultural diversity of its student population. As the need for English proficiency grows, ELT remains a dynamic field, continually responding to the diverse requirements of learners worldwide.

1.2 Importance of English as a Global Language

English has emerged as the foremost language for communication in international business, academia, and cultural interactions, highlighting its role as a global lingua franca. In the business sector, multinational companies primarily conduct their operations in English, which facilitates smooth collaboration among employees from various linguistic backgrounds. Mastery of the English language is frequently a requirement for job seekers, as it enhances effective communication with clients, partners, and colleagues across the globe, thereby promoting economic development and international trade (Crystal, 2018). Likewise, in the fields of academia and research, English is the prevailing language for the publication and sharing of knowledge. Prestigious academic institutions and journals predominantly publish their findings in English, making it crucial for scholars and researchers to have proficient English language abilities. This proficiency enables them to access extensive information, participate in global academic dialogues, and contribute to international research projects, thus encouraging innovation and intellectual collaboration (Galloway & Rose, 2015).

English holds significant importance not only in professional and academic realms but also in influencing global culture and enhancing cross-cultural communication. The rise of globalization in media, entertainment, and technology has resulted in the extensive dissemination of English-language content, encompassing films, music, and social media. This exposure not only facilitates language acquisition but also offers individuals valuable perspectives on the cultures and values inherent in English-speaking communities

(Jenkins, 2014). As the world becomes more interconnected, English acts as a conduit that unites individuals from various backgrounds, fostering collaboration and understanding. Proficiency in English is essential in education, business, and daily interactions, serving as a crucial element for navigating an increasingly globalized world. Therefore, English Language Teaching (ELT) is vital in preparing individuals with the necessary skills to succeed in this evolving landscape, enabling them to engage fully in global opportunities.

2. History and Development of ELT

2.1 Early Methods of English Language Teaching

The origins of English Language Teaching (ELT) can be traced back to the 15th century, a time when Latin reigned as the primary language of education across Europe. During this era, English was not commonly taught as a foreign language, and the educational focus was predominantly on classical languages such as Latin and Greek. However, with the rise of the British Empire, English began to gain significance, leading to an increased demand for its instruction as a second or foreign language. In the 18th and 19th centuries, early pedagogical approaches, notably the Grammar-Translation Method, gained traction. This method emphasized the memorization of grammatical rules and the translation of literary works, while offering minimal attention to speaking or listening competencies. Initially designed for classical language education, its principles were subsequently adapted for teaching the English language (Richards & Rodgers, 2014).

By the conclusion of the 19th century, the shortcomings of the Grammar-Translation Method became evident, particularly regarding

its insufficient emphasis on oral communication. This realization prompted the emergence of the Direct Method in the early 20th century. The Direct Method prioritized oral communication and immersion in the target language, with lessons conducted exclusively in English. Instructors utilized tangible objects, images, and demonstrations to convey meaning, deliberately avoiding translation and explicit grammar teaching. This approach drew inspiration from the natural processes involved in first language acquisition and sought to render language learning more practical and engaging (Brown & Lee, 2015). Nevertheless, the Direct Method encountered criticism for its absence of systematic grammar instruction and its dependence on highly proficient teachers.

In the middle of the 20th century, the Audio-Lingual Method became widely adopted, especially in the United States. This approach was shaped by principles of behaviorist psychology and structural linguistics, which regarded language acquisition as a process of developing habits. The method emphasized repetition, drills, and pattern practice to strengthen accurate language application. Although it enhanced learners' pronunciation and fluency, detractors contended that it overlooked the importance of meaningful communication and creativity in language use. Nevertheless, the Audio-Lingual Method established a foundation for subsequent communicative approaches (Richards & Rodgers, 2014).

The initial methodologies established a basis for contemporary English Language Teaching (ELT) practices, underscoring the dynamic character of language instruction. The Grammar-Translation Method prioritized grammar and translation, while the Direct Method focused on verbal communication, and the Audio-Lingual Method employed

repetition and drills. Each of these approaches played a significant role in shaping more effective and learner-centered teaching strategies. In the present day, these historical methodologies still exert influence on ELT, as educators integrate and modify components from various approaches to address the varied requirements of learners (Nunan, 2015).

2.2 The Rise of Communicative Language Teaching

In the mid-20th century, the Audio-Lingual Method gained popularity, particularly in the United States. This method was influenced by behaviorist psychology and structural linguistics, which viewed language learning as a process of habit formation. The Audio-Lingual Method relied heavily on repetition, drills, and pattern practice to reinforce correct language use. While it improved learners' pronunciation and fluency, critics argued that it neglected meaningful communication and creativity in language use. According to Richards and Rodgers (2014), the Audio-Lingual Method's focus on mechanical drills often left learners ill-prepared for real-world communication. Similarly, Brown and Lee (2015) note that the method's lack of emphasis on meaningful interaction limited its effectiveness in developing communicative competence. These limitations led to the search for a more effective approach that could better prepare learners for real-world communication.

By the 1970s, the Communicative Language Teaching (CLT) approach emerged as a transformative alternative to the Audio-Lingual Method. This approach redirected attention from grammar and precision towards authentic communication and interaction. It underscored the significance of utilizing language for meaningful

activities, including negotiation, problem-solving, and information exchange. As noted by Brown (2014), CLT introduced the notion of communicative competence, which encompasses not only linguistic knowledge but also the capacity to use language appropriately across various social and cultural contexts. Moreover, Nunan (2004) points out that CLT arose in response to the increasing demand for language learners to participate in genuine communication, rather than merely mastering grammatical forms. Additionally, Harmer (2015) highlights that CLT's emphasis on learner-centered activities, such as role-plays and group discussions, rendered it a more engaging and effective methodology in comparison to traditional approaches.

Currently, Communicative Language Teaching (CLT) is one of the most prevalent methodologies employed in English Language Teaching (ELT), as it effectively prepares students for authentic communication scenarios. Educators who implement CLT frequently utilize activities such as role-playing, group discussions, and project-based assignments to promote interaction and collaboration among students. These activities not only improve language proficiency but also cultivate critical thinking and problem-solving skills. Littlewood (2014) notes that the flexibility and adaptability of CLT render it a potent instrument for enhancing both linguistic and intercultural competence in an increasingly globalized environment. Moreover, Ellis (2017) contends that CLT's emphasis on meaningful communication is consistent with the principles of second language acquisition, thereby establishing it as a theoretically robust and practical methodology. Additionally, Richards and Rodgers (2014) highlight that CLT's incorporation of authentic materials and real-world tasks equips

learners with the necessary skills to effectively engage in various communicative contexts.

2.3 Modern Trends in ELT

In recent years, the field of English Language Teaching (ELT) has undergone substantial transformation, driven by rapid technological advancements and the growing interconnectedness of the global community. The integration of digital resources, including online learning platforms, mobile applications, and virtual classrooms, has fundamentally altered the conventional teaching landscape. These tools not only enhance access to a vast array of materials and interactive activities but also foster student engagement and independence in their educational pursuits (Selwyn, 2021). Furthermore, the rise of artificial intelligence (AI) in language education has enabled tailored language practice through adaptive learning technologies that respond to the unique needs and proficiency levels of individual learners. AI-driven resources, such as chatbots and language assessment tools, offer immediate feedback and assistance, thereby enriching the overall educational experience (Owan et al., 2023). As educators embrace these innovations, they are increasingly capable of addressing diverse learning preferences, resulting in more personalized and effective lessons (Onesi-Ozigagun et al., 2024).

The function of English as a Global Lingua Franca has transformed the emphasis of English Language Teaching (ELT) from a rigid adherence to native-speaker standards to the development of effective intercultural communication abilities. This evolution acknowledges that the majority of English speakers globally are non-native, underscoring the necessity of teaching English in contexts that

mirror authentic interactions. Consequently, educational programs are increasingly incorporating aspects of cultural awareness, pragmatics, and communicative competence, equipping students to adeptly navigate multilingual and multicultural settings (Rose et al., 2021). Additionally, the incorporation of artificial intelligence can facilitate the development of culturally pertinent materials and simulate real-world dialogues, enabling learners to hone their skills in a secure and structured environment (Mageira et al., 2022; Xia et al., 2024).

3. The Role of Teachers in English Language Learning

3.1 Facilitators of Learning

Educators play a crucial role in English Language Teaching (ELT) by cultivating engaging and supportive learning environments that address the varied needs of students. As facilitators, they guide learners through a range of activities aimed at enhancing language acquisition and fostering critical thinking Richards and Rodgers (2014). By establishing a setting that promotes exploration and collaboration, proficient teachers inspire students to take an active role in their educational journey. This methodology not only improves language abilities but also boosts learners' self-confidence and nurtures a lasting passion for learning (Dörnyei, 2001). Additionally, teachers are tasked with evaluating student progress and offering constructive feedback, which is vital for ongoing improvement and development in language proficiency (Hossain et al., 2024).

Teachers, in addition to serving as facilitators, must demonstrate adaptability in their instructional approaches to meet the diverse learning styles and cultural backgrounds of their students. Proficient educators employ a variety of teaching strategies, such as

differentiated instruction and culturally responsive pedagogy, to ensure that every student can engage with and benefit from the curriculum. As noted by Tomlinson (2024), effective teachers recognize that students differ in their learning styles, preferences, and abilities, and they adapt their teaching methods accordingly. Moreover, by integrating technology and innovative resources, teachers can design inclusive lessons that connect with students' experiences and interests (Hughes, 2005). Furthermore, their capacity to cultivate a sense of community within the classroom is essential for establishing a safe environment where learners feel appreciated and respected, ultimately enhancing language learning outcomes (Dörnyei & Murphey, 2003).

3.2 Motivators and Mentors

Teachers serve not only as providers of content but also as essential motivators and mentors, encouraging students to reach their language learning objectives. Brown and Lee (2015) imply that by offering constructive feedback and cultivating a supportive classroom environment, they contribute to the development of learners' confidence and independence, both of which are critical for successful language acquisition. This mentorship is particularly important for sustaining students' motivation in challenging educational settings, as it inspires them to persist and engage actively in their studies (Winstone & Boud, 2022). Through tailored support and encouragement, educators can assist students in overcoming challenges in their language learning experiences, ultimately resulting in enhanced outcomes and a deeper sense of achievement (Mercer & Dörnyei, 2020).

3.3 Cultural Mediators

In classrooms characterized by multilingualism and multiculturalism, educators act as cultural intermediaries, aiding students in comprehending cultural variances and fostering the development of intercultural competence, which is crucial for effective communication in an increasingly globalized society. By integrating cultural elements into their teaching, educators enhance the educational experience and encourage cross-cultural understanding, allowing students to value diverse viewpoints while simultaneously improving their language abilities (Wagner et al., 2017). This methodology not only equips learners to engage more proficiently in varied settings but also cultivates empathy and respect for different cultures, essential attributes in today's interconnected world (Liddicoat & Scarino, 2013). Furthermore, educators can design activities that invite students to share their cultural narratives, thereby enriching classroom dialogues and fostering a sense of community among all participants. Banks (2015) states that by valuing cultural diversity, teachers can assist students in recognizing the significance of their own identities while also learning to navigate and appreciate the intricacies of other cultures. This combined emphasis on cultural awareness and language development ultimately leads to a more inclusive and harmonious classroom atmosphere, where every student feels empowered to participate and succeed (Gay, 2018).

3.4 Curriculum Designers

Teachers serve an essential function as curriculum developers, engaging in the creation and modification of curricula to address the

unique requirements of their students (Gulo, 2024). This role involves the careful selection of suitable resources, the formulation of assessments, and the incorporation of technology into instructional activities to enrich the overall educational experience (Brown & Abeywickrama, 2019). Furthermore, Tomlinson (2017) notes that by customizing the curriculum to align with the interests and skill levels of their learners, educators can enhance student engagement and motivation, which ultimately contributes to more effective language learning. Additionally, the collaboration among teachers during the curriculum development process facilitates the exchange of effective practices and innovative approaches, ensuring that the curriculum remains pertinent and capable of meeting the varied challenges encountered by students in the contemporary educational environment (Fullan & Quinn, 2015).

Effective curriculum design is crucial for enabling learners to meet their language learning objectives, as noted by Macalister and Nation (2020). A thoughtfully organized curriculum not only adheres to educational standards but also integrates diverse instructional methods that accommodate various learning preferences (Wiggins, 2005). Furthermore, Black and William (2018) states that the inclusion of both formative and summative assessments within the curriculum offers essential feedback to educators and students alike, facilitating instructional adjustments and pinpointing areas needing enhancement. Consequently, educators who engage in curriculum design not only improve educational quality but also empower students to assume responsibility for their own learning experiences, ultimately fostering greater success in language proficiency by (Macalister & Nation, 2020).

3.5. Lifelong Learners

To maintain their effectiveness, educators must engage in ongoing professional development, which is crucial for adapting to the constantly changing environment of English Language Teaching (ELT). This commitment to continuous learning requires educators to stay informed about the latest research, methodologies, and technologies that can enhance their teaching practices and improve student outcomes. By attending workshops, conferences, and online courses, teachers can gain new skills and knowledge that enable them to implement innovative strategies in their classrooms, ultimately benefiting their students' language acquisition (Darling-Hammond, 2017). Additionally, this professional advancement cultivates a sense of community among educators, allowing them to share experiences and collaborate on best practices, thus enriching the collective expertise within the teaching profession (Little, 2012).

Engaging in ongoing professional development is essential for educators to effectively address the complexities associated with teaching English as a lingua franca, as noted by Zein (2019), he highlights that teachers who possess a thorough understanding of various linguistic and cultural contexts are better equipped to meet the diverse learning needs of their students and foster more inclusive educational environments. Furthermore, Qi (2024) notes that a commitment to lifelong learning prompts educators to critically assess their teaching practices, pinpoint areas for enhancement, and modify their strategies to better support their students. This dedication to professional advancement ultimately results in more effective instruction and improved student outcomes, as teachers remain

adaptable to the challenges and opportunities that arise within the ever-evolving landscape of language education (Chen, 2024).

4. Challenges in Teaching English

4.1. Diverse Learner Needs

Addressing the diverse needs of learners is a fundamental challenge in English Language Teaching (Agbevivi, 2024). Students come with varied backgrounds, which include differences in age, cultural context, and levels of language proficiency. This diversity necessitates that teachers adopt a differentiated instruction approach, tailoring lessons to meet the unique needs of each learner (Tomlinson, 2017). For instance, younger students may benefit from more interactive and play-based activities, while adult learners might prefer discussions that relate to their professional lives. Teachers must also consider different learning styles; some students may thrive in visual environments, while others may excel through auditory or kinesthetic methods.

Flexibility and creativity are essential in lesson planning to accommodate these diverse needs (Heacox, 2012). Educators are required to continuously assess their students' progress and adapt their teaching strategies accordingly. This might involve using a variety of instructional materials, incorporating technology, or utilizing peer learning opportunities (Heritage, 2021). Furthermore, fostering an inclusive classroom environment where all students feel valued and engaged is crucial. By acknowledging and addressing these differences, teachers can create a more equitable learning experience that promotes language acquisition for all students (Gay, 2018).

4.2. Limited Resources

The insufficiency of appropriate resources presents a considerable obstacle for many educators striving to deliver effective English language instruction (Hermansyah, 2023). In various educational settings, teachers often encounter a lack of fundamental tools, including textbooks, technological access, and opportunities for professional development. This deficiency can restrict the range of teaching methods available to educators, ultimately impacting the learning experiences of students (Darling-Hammond, 2017). For instance, in the absence of current materials or technology, teachers may find it challenging to introduce students to authentic language usage, which is essential for cultivating practical communication skills (Chico, 2024).

Moreover, inadequate resources can impede teachers' capacity for ongoing professional development (Schwartz et al., 2019). Without access to workshops, seminars, or online training, educators may experience stagnation in their teaching methodologies. This lack of growth can result in a dependence on outdated practices that may not address the evolving needs of students. To address these issues, Pan et al. (2020) claim that it is crucial for educational institutions and policymakers to prioritize the allocation of resources and offer teachers the necessary support to improve their instructional methods. By doing so, they can foster a rich environment conducive to effective language learning.

4.3. Balancing Accuracy and Fluency

Achieving a harmonious equilibrium between the instruction of accuracy and fluency presents a complex challenge within the realm

of language education (Brown & Abeywickrama, 2019). Accuracy pertains to the proper application of grammar and vocabulary, whereas fluency pertains to the capacity to communicate effectively and with confidence. Both components are essential for attaining language proficiency (Ellis, 2015). However, an excessive focus on one aspect may result in shortcomings in the other. For example, if an educator places a premium on accuracy, students may become overly cautious and reluctant to engage in conversation due to their fear of making errors. On the other hand, an exclusive emphasis on fluency could lead to students employing incorrect grammar or vocabulary, ultimately impeding their comprehensive language development.

4.4. Assessment Challenges

The task of designing effective assessments that accurately gauge learners' language proficiency presents considerable challenges for educators (Koh et al., 2018). Conventional assessment techniques, such as multiple-choice examinations and written tests, frequently fall short in capturing the complete range of students' communicative abilities (Canagarajah, 2006). These methods often emphasize grammar and vocabulary at the expense of critical skills like listening, speaking, and practical language application. As a result, educators encounter the difficulty of developing assessments that offer a more comprehensive perspective on student learning and language proficiency (Iskandarova, 2024).

In response to these issues, there is an increasing need for authentic and performance-based assessments that mirror real-life language usage. Such assessments may encompass projects, presentations, or portfolio evaluations, enabling students to showcase

their language skills in relevant contexts (Ortega & Minchala, 2017). Furthermore, Rachmania (2018) notes that the integration of self-assessment and peer assessment can actively involve learners in their evaluation process, fostering reflective practice and self-awareness. By emphasizing authentic assessments, educators can provide a more precise depiction of students' language capabilities, facilitating a deeper understanding of their strengths and areas for improvement (Zebua & Zebua, 2024).

4.5. Resistance to Change

Resistance to change represents a significant obstacle that can hinder the implementation of innovative teaching methodologies within educational settings (Hamlaoui, 2021). Various factors play a role in this resistance, such as institutional limitations, insufficient training, and cultural influences. Harper (2012) states that educators may be reluctant to adopt new pedagogical techniques if they do not receive the necessary support or resources. Furthermore, entrenched traditions in educational institutions often favor traditional teaching methods, making it more challenging to incorporate new strategies. This resistance can ultimately limit the opportunities for improving student learning through innovative practices (Henderson & Dancy, (2007).

2

PEDAGOGICAL APPROACHES TO ELT

As an English language teacher for years, I have the faith that the field of English Language Teaching (ELT) has evolved significantly over the decades, shaped by various linguistic, psychological, and sociocultural theories. The effectiveness of language instruction largely depends on the pedagogical approaches employed by educators, influencing not merely language acquisition but also learners' engagement, motivation, and communicative competence. This chapter provides an overview of the key pedagogical approaches in ELT, exploring their historical perspectives, characteristics, techniques of implementation in language teaching, and practical implications across diverse classroom settings.

The chapter begins with a discussion on traditional methods, i.e., *the Grammar-Translation Method* and *the Direct Method*, which laid the foundation for modern ELT practices. It then explores structuralist and behaviorist approaches, particularly *the Audiolingual Method*, emphasizing repetition and reinforcement in language learning. Following this, the chapter delves into communicative and functional approaches, highlighting *the Communicative Language Teaching (CLT) approach* and *Task-Based Language Teaching (TBLT)*, both of which prioritize interaction and real-world language use.

Further, the chapter examines both the advantages and disadvantages of each presented approach, addressing learner-centred instruction and technology-integrated teaching strategies. With the rise of digital tools and online learning environments, I argue that ELT has witnessed an increasing emphasis on multimodal instruction and adaptive learning approaches. By analysing these pedagogical approaches, this chapter aims to provide a comprehensive understanding of how ELT has progressed and adapted to meet the needs of diverse learners.

1. Grammar Translation Method (GTM)

1.1. The Roots of Grammar Translation Method

As often regarded by many scholars, including myself, that The Grammar-Translation Method (GTM) is a product of the *pre-scientific* era in language teaching, as there was minimal research conducted on

its pedagogical foundations or its effectiveness in achieving learning outcomes (Razmjoo, 2011). The GTM was first introduced in Germany in the mid-19th century. As Tetzner (2004) notes, this approach dominated foreign language instruction from the mid-1800s until the onset of World War II and continues to be used in some countries today. Prussia, a region in Germany, was the first to officially implement GTM as the primary method for teaching a second language in national high schools, known as Gymnasiums, during the late 18th century. Throughout the 19th century, GTM remained the most widely adopted language teaching method, not only in Germany but also across various European nations.

Initially, it was specifically designed for teaching Greek and Latin. Grammar translation method was firstly used in the middle of nineteenth century in Germany. In the late eighteenth century, the first place which began to establish GTM as the main method to teach a second language at national high schools, known as Gymnasiums, was Prussia in Germany. In this century GTM was the only widely used teaching method in the schools, not only in Germany also in other European countries. Originally it was used to teach Greek and Latin. Because of its historical roots, the Grammar-Translation Method (GTM) is also referred to as the traditional or classical method, the grammar school method, or, in the United States, the Prussian method. With the advent of industrialization, opportunities for communication expanded, particularly in Europe. As a result, new language teaching methods emerged to accommodate the growing needs of a new generation of language learners (Howatt, 1997).

1.2. Characteristics of GTM

Each language teaching method has distinct characteristics that educators must understand to implement effectively, including The Grammar-Translation Method (GTM). As Prator and Celse-Murcia (1979, p. 3, cited in Brown, 2001, p. 19) outline, GTM possesses several defining features:

- Instruction is primarily conducted in the students' native language, with minimal use of the target language.

- Vocabulary is taught through lists of isolated words rather than in meaningful contexts.
- Grammar instruction involves extensive and detailed explanations of complex rules.
- The focus is on grammatical structure, including word forms and inflections.
- Reading of challenging classical texts begins early in the learning process.
- Texts are treated as grammatical exercises rather than for their content or meaning.
- Drills primarily consist of translating isolated sentences between the target language and the native language.
- Pronunciation receives little to no emphasis.

These characteristics serve as a framework for teachers employing GTM in the classroom. However, the practical application of this method depends on the specific techniques used in instruction.

1.3. Some Techniques of Implementing GTM in Language Teaching

There are several techniques used in implementing the Grammar-Translation Method (GTM), each of which is interconnected with the others. According to Larsen-Freeman (2006, pp. 19-20), these techniques include:

- *Translation of a Literary Passage* – Students translate a reading passage from the target language into their native language, either in written or spoken form.
- *Reading Comprehension Questions* – Students answer questions in the target language based on their understanding of the passage they have read.
- *Antonyms/Synonyms* – Students are asked to identify antonyms for selected words from the passage.
- *Cognates* – Teachers guide students in recognizing cognates by learning sound patterns and spelling similarities between the target language and their native language.

- *Deductive Application of Rules* – Grammar rules are explained with examples, including exceptions. Once students understand a rule, they apply it to various examples.
- *Fill in the Blanks* – Students complete sentences with missing words using new vocabulary or specific grammatical structures.
- *Memorization* – Students memorize vocabulary lists along with their native language equivalents. Additionally, they are required to memorize grammatical rules and paradigms, such as verb conjugations.
- *Use of Words in Sentences* – To demonstrate understanding, students create sentences using newly learned vocabulary.
- *Composition* – Students write a composition in the target language based on a topic related to the reading passage in the lesson.

These techniques can be applied individually or in combination, depending on the specific objectives of a teaching-learning activity.

1.4. The Advantages & Drawbacks of GTM

According to Gorzky (n.d.), the advantages of GTM include: (1) *Reduced Teacher Stress* – Since students primarily translate texts from the target language into their native language, teacher involvement is minimal. This makes GTM a practical choice for educators who may not be fluent in the target language, particularly in English. (2) *Focus on Grammar and Structure* – GTM emphasizes grammar, sentence structure, and vocabulary, helping students develop reading and writing skills in the target language.

However, he also highlights several disadvantages of GTM: (1) *Low Student Motivation and Participation* – As GTM is not an interactive method, students often lack engagement and motivation. The reliance on textbooks limits active participation and weakens the teacher-learner relationship. (2) *Unnatural and Inaccurate Pronunciation* – Since GTM prioritizes grammar and vocabulary over speaking skills, students do not receive adequate pronunciation practice, leading to unnatural and inaccurate articulation of words. These advantages and drawbacks should be carefully weighed by educators when deciding

whether to implement GTM in the language classroom. Effective teaching requires balancing GTM's strengths with complementary methods that enhance learner engagement and communicative competence.

2. Direct Method

2.1. The Roots of Direct Method

The Direct Method, also referred to as the natural method, emerged in the late 19th and early 20th centuries (Cai & Hwang, 2020). This approach prioritizes language immersion by using the target language exclusively in the classroom. It aims to mirror the natural process of first language acquisition by emphasizing everyday vocabulary, oral communication, and inductive grammar learning (Brandão et al., 2021). Unlike the traditional Grammar-Translation Method, which heavily depends on the learner's native language and explicit grammar instruction, the Direct Method fosters fluency in speaking and listening by encouraging active use of the target language (González-Lloret, 2020). Its growing popularity stems from its effectiveness in developing communicative competence.

The Direct Method is highly appealing due to its student-centred approach, which promotes active participation and immediate language use. By prioritizing oral proficiency, it is particularly beneficial for learners who need to develop conversational skills quickly. This method emphasizes natural language acquisition through real-life communication (Abourehab & Azaz, 2023), avoiding direct translation or explanations in the learners' native language. Consequently, it has been widely implemented in various educational settings, particularly in foreign language instruction.

In fact, despite its historical significance and ongoing use, the Direct Method has been criticized for its limitations, particularly in fostering well-rounded language proficiency. While it is highly effective in developing speaking and listening skills, it often does not provide adequate emphasis on reading, writing, and advanced grammar instruction. Critics argue that this restricted focus may impede learners from fully mastering the target language, especially at higher proficiency levels (Dörnyei, 2021). As language learning

methodologies have evolved, alternative approaches such as Communicative Language Teaching (CLT) and Task-Based Language Teaching (TBLT) have gained increasing recognition for their ability to offer a more balanced and comprehensive learning experience.

2.2. Characteristics of Direct Method

The Direct Method is known for its distinctive approach to language instruction, emphasizing natural acquisition, immersion, and communication. Below are its key characteristics:

- *Exclusive Use of the Target Language*

One of the fundamental principles of the Direct Method is that the target language is the sole medium of instruction. Teachers avoid using the learners' native language and instead rely on visual aids, gestures, and real-life contexts to convey meaning. This immersive environment helps students think directly in the target language rather than translating from their first language.

- *Emphasis on Oral Communication*

The method prioritizes speaking and listening skills, encouraging students to engage in conversations, dialogues, and question-answer interactions. Pronunciation and oral fluency are given significant attention, helping learners develop confidence in using the language naturally.

- *Inductive Grammar Learning*

Instead of explicitly teaching grammar rules, the Direct Method adopts an inductive approach, where learners deduce grammatical structures from repeated exposure and usage in context. This contrasts with traditional methods that provide direct grammar explanations before practice.

- *Focus on Everyday Vocabulary and Expressions*

The vocabulary taught in the Direct Method is practical and relevant to daily communication. Lessons include commonly used words, phrases, and expressions that allow learners to function effectively in real-world situations.

- *Use of Real-Life Contexts and Visual Aids*

Teachers utilize objects, pictures, actions, and real-life scenarios to illustrate meanings rather than relying on translation. This makes language learning more engaging and contextually meaningful.

- *Interaction-Based Learning*

The classroom environment is dynamic and interactive, with students participating in role-plays, discussions, and storytelling activities. Teachers often ask questions to prompt spontaneous responses, ensuring that students actively use the language.

- *Immediate Error Correction*

In the Direct Method, errors are corrected immediately to reinforce correct language use. Teachers provide instant feedback, often by modelling the correct form, ensuring that students internalize accurate pronunciation and grammar.

- *Progressive Skill Development*

Language learning progresses from simple to complex structures. Initially, students engage in basic conversational exchanges, and as their proficiency grows, they move on to more elaborate speech and sentence structures.

- *Reading and Writing as Secondary Skills*

While speaking and listening are the primary focus, reading and writing skills are introduced later and are often based on spoken language patterns. The aim is to develop literacy skills that support oral proficiency rather than focusing on complex textual analysis.

- *Student-Centred Learning*

The Direct Method shifts the focus from the teacher to the learner, encouraging active participation and self-expression. Teachers act as facilitators, guiding students to discover language patterns rather than merely providing direct instruction.

In short, the Direct Method fosters natural language acquisition by emphasizing immersive, interactive, and communicative learning. While it effectively enhances oral proficiency and fluency, it may need to be supplemented with additional strategies to develop reading, writing, and advanced grammar skills.

2.3. Techniques of Implementing Direct Method in Language Teaching

The Direct Method relies on specific techniques to create an immersive and interactive learning environment where students acquire the target language naturally. Below I provide some key techniques used in its implementation:

- Question-and-Answer Exercises

This technique involves the teacher asking questions in the target language, prompting students to respond using complete sentences. This exercise encourages spontaneous speech and reinforces vocabulary and grammar structures in context.

☞ Instance:

Teacher: What do you see in the picture?

Student: I see a red car.

- Reading Aloud

Students read passages aloud while the teacher corrects pronunciation and intonation in real time. This helps in developing fluency and reinforcing vocabulary acquisition.

☞ Instance:

A student reads a short story aloud, and the teacher provides pronunciation feedback when needed.

- Conversation Practice

Students engage in dialogues or role-plays that reflect real-life situations, such as ordering food in a restaurant or asking for directions. This technique enhances oral communication skills and confidence.

☞ Instance:

Student A: Excuse me, how do I get to the train station?

Student B: Go straight and turn left. The station is on your right.

- Dictation

The teacher reads a passage aloud while students listen and write it down. This activity helps improve listening comprehension, spelling, and sentence structure.

☞ Instance:

The teacher dictates: The sun is shining, and the children are

playing in the park.

Students write the sentence correctly.

- **Role-Playing**

Students take on different roles and act out real-life scenarios using the target language. This technique enhances their ability to use language in practical situations.

▢ *Instance:*

One student plays the role of a tourist, and other acts as a shopkeeper, engaging in a conversation about purchasing souvenirs.

To sum up, the Direct Method utilizes interactive and immersive techniques that encourage natural language acquisition. By focusing on speaking, listening, and contextual learning, it helps learners develop fluency and confidence. However, teachers may need to supplement this method with reading and writing activities to provide a more balanced language-learning experience.

2.4. The Advantages & Disadvantages of Direct Method

The Direct Method offers an immersive and interactive approach to language learning, emphasizing spoken communication and real-life language use. Below is a detailed analysis of its advantages in language teaching:

- ***Promotes Natural Language Acquisition***

The Direct Method mimics the way people acquire their first language by encouraging students to think directly in the target language rather than translating from their native language. This fosters fluency and intuitive language use.

- ***Improves Speaking and Listening Skills***

By focusing on oral communication and comprehension, this method helps students develop their speaking and listening abilities more effectively than traditional grammar-focused methods.

- ***Enhances Pronunciation and Intonation***

Since pronunciation is corrected immediately and students practice speaking from the beginning, their pronunciation and intonation improve significantly over time.

- ***Engages Learners Through Interaction***

The method encourages active participation through role-plays, question-answer exercises, and real-life scenarios, making lessons more engaging and enjoyable.

- ***Develops Intuitive Grammar Understanding***

Grammar is taught inductively, meaning students learn rules through examples and usage rather than direct explanation. This can help learners internalize grammatical structures naturally.

- ***Encourages Real-Life Communication***

The focus on practical vocabulary and phrases helps students communicate effectively in real-world situations, making this method especially useful for travellers and professionals.

Nevertheless, like any teaching method, it has limitations. Below is a detailed analysis of its disadvantages.

- ***Limited Focus on Reading and Writing***

Since the method prioritizes speaking and listening, reading and writing skills often receive less attention. This can create an imbalance in language proficiency, especially for learners who need strong literacy skills.

- ***Not Suitable for Large Classes***

The Direct Method requires constant interaction and individual feedback, making it difficult to implement in large classrooms where teachers cannot give personalized attention to every student.

- ***Demands Highly Skilled Teachers***

Teachers must be fluent in the target language and skilled at using non-verbal cues, gestures, and real-life contexts to explain meanings without translation. This makes the method less accessible in areas where qualified teachers are scarce.

- ***Can Be Frustrating for Beginners***

Students with no prior knowledge of the language may find it overwhelming to learn without translation or direct explanations, leading to confusion and frustration.

- ***Time-Consuming***

Mastering a language through immersion takes longer than using translation-based methods, which can be a disadvantage for learners who need to acquire language skills quickly.

3. Audiolingual Method (ALM)

3.1. The Roots of Audiolingual Method (ALM)

The audio-lingual method was originally developed as an enhanced adaptation of the direct method, with a stronger emphasis on spoken language and a focus on replicating the natural process of language acquisition. It emerged in the mid-20th century as a response to the growing need for effective foreign language instruction, particularly during and after World War II. It was developed as an improvement upon the Direct Method, emphasizing spoken language skills through repetition and habit formation. The method is rooted in two major theoretical influences: *structural linguistics and behaviorist psychology*.

Following that, the Audio-Lingual Method is an oral-focused approach to language teaching. However, in my point of view, it differs significantly from the Direct Method in that it prioritizes drilling students in grammatical sentence patterns rather than acquiring vocabulary through contextual exposure. Unlike the Direct Method, the Audio-Lingual Method is grounded in both linguistic and psychological theories. Charles Fries (1945) from the University of Michigan pioneered its development by applying structural linguistics, leading to its alternative name, the 'Michigan Method.' Later, principles of behavioural psychology, particularly those introduced by Skinner (1957), were incorporated.

The method was based on the idea that learners acquire sentence patterns through conditioning, where correct responses are reinforced to help them replace native language habits with new ones required for proficiency in the target language. In Audiolingual Method, language teachers aim for their students to communicate effectively in the target language. To achieve this, they emphasize overlearning, ensuring that students can use the language automatically without pausing to think. This is accomplished by developing new linguistic habits in the target language while simultaneously unlearning the patterns of their native language.

3.2. Characteristics of Audiolingual Method

As said, the Audio-Lingual Method (ALM) is a structured, behaviorist-based approach to language teaching that emphasizes habit formation, repetition, and reinforcement to develop oral proficiency. It was primarily designed to promote fluency in speaking and listening by immersing students in the target language through structured drills and pattern practice. Below are the key characteristics of ALM:

- Learning a foreign language follows the same fundamental principles and psychological processes as any other type of learning, based on Stimulus-Response Reinforcement mechanisms.
- Language learning is shaped by experience and is reflected in behavioural changes. The primary goal is to develop linguistic competence and accuracy.
- Acquiring a foreign language differs from learning a first language, requiring different cognitive and instructional approaches.
- Foreign language acquisition occurs through habit formation, where repeated exposure and practice lead to mastery.
- Language learning relies on analogy, meaning learners develop linguistic habits through pattern recognition, differentiation, and generalization. This contrasts with analytical learning, such as the deductive grammar instruction used in the Grammar-Translation Method.
- Errors stem from interference from the learner's first language and should be minimized as much as possible during instruction. Teachers play a crucial role in guiding student language use and controlling interactions to ensure accuracy.
- The teaching approach has shifted from reading, translation, and explicit grammar explanations to listening, speaking, and inductive learning, where language patterns are introduced naturally through spoken communication.

Given the above characteristic, it is then concluded that the Audio-Lingual Method was a revolutionary approach in the mid-20th century that emphasized habit formation, oral skills, and structured drills to build fluency. While it contributed significantly to language teaching, its highly structured, teacher-centred, and drill-based approach has been criticized for lacking communicative and cognitive depth. Despite its decline, ALM principles still influence

modern teaching techniques, particularly in pronunciation training and pattern drills used in language classrooms today.

3.3. Techniques of Implementing Audiolingual Method in Language Teaching

As I mentioned earlier, The Audiolingual Method (ALM) is based on behaviorist principles, emphasizing habit formation, repetition, and reinforcement. Several techniques are commonly used in the classroom to implement this method effectively. Here are some key techniques:

- *Repetition Drill* – Students repeatedly imitate the teacher’s model as precisely and swiftly as possible to internalize dialogue lines.
- *Single-Slot Substitution Drill* – The teacher provides a sentence from the dialogue and introduces a cue (word or phrase). Students incorporate the cue into the sentence in the correct position, reinforcing sentence structure awareness.
- *Question-and-Answer Drill* – Learners quickly respond to the teacher’s questions, helping them practice conversational patterns. In some cases, students may also be prompted to ask questions themselves.
- *Expansion Drill* – This technique enables students to gradually construct longer sentences by inserting additional elements step by step, enhancing fluency.
- *Multiple-Slot Substitution Drill* – Similar to the single-slot version, this drill requires students to insert multiple cues into different parts of a sentence. It fosters an understanding of sentence structure and grammar rules, such as subject-verb agreement.
- *Backward Build-Up Drill* – When a lengthy dialogue line poses difficulties, the teacher breaks it down into smaller segments. Students repeat the final segment first, then progressively add preceding parts until they can recite the full sentence fluently, maintaining natural intonation.
- *Chain Drill* – This activity creates a continuous conversational flow in class, with each student responding to and then addressing another peer. It allows controlled interaction and provides the teacher with an opportunity to assess individual pronunciation and fluency.

- *Complete the Dialogue* – Certain words are removed from a memorized dialogue, requiring students to fill in the blanks with the correct terms, reinforcing recall and comprehension.
- *Transformation Drill* – Students modify given sentences into different forms, such as converting affirmative sentences into negative ones, statements into questions, active voice into passive voice, or direct speech into reported speech.
- *Minimal Pairs Practice* – The teacher presents pairs of words that differ by only one phoneme (e.g., “ship” vs. “sheep”). Learners identify and articulate the differences, improving their pronunciation skills based on contrastive analysis.
- *Grammar Games* – These activities integrate grammatical structures into contextual exercises, encouraging learners to practice language rules in a more engaging and interactive way.
- *Dialogue Memorization* – Students commit scripted conversations to memory, often through role-playing. They alternate roles, practice in pairs, or perform in front of the class to reinforce speaking skills and fluency.

These techniques, when combined, reinforce the Audiolingual Method’s core principle of habit formation through repetition, structure, and controlled practice.

3.4. The Advantages & Drawbacks of Audiolingual Method

The Audiolingual Method (ALM) has several benefits, especially for developing speaking and listening skills. This method emphasizes habit formation through repetition, drills, and reinforcement. Below are some key advantages:

- *Emphasizes Listening and Speaking Skills*: ALM focuses on oral proficiency, making it highly effective for improving pronunciation, intonation, and fluency. Moreover, students are constantly exposed to the target language through listening and speaking exercises.
- *Provides Structured and Systematic Learning*: The method follows a step-by-step approach, introducing language patterns gradually to avoid confusion. Likewise, lessons are carefully sequenced, ensuring that students build upon previously learned material.

- *Reduces the Influence of the Native Language:* ALM discourages translation and reliance on the first language (L1), helping students think directly in the target language. Also, this immersive approach prevents L1 interference and fosters automaticity in language use.
- *Reinforces Correct Pronunciation and Grammar:* Through repetition drills, substitution drills, and minimal pairs exercises, students develop accurate pronunciation and grammatical structures. In addition, the emphasis on habit formation minimizes grammatical errors and encourages correct usage.
- *Develops Automatic Responses in Communication:* Frequent drills and pattern practice help students respond quickly and naturally without overthinking grammar rules. Moreover, this reduces hesitation in real-life conversations and enhances fluency.
- *Encourages Active Student Participation:* ALM involves interactive drills, dialogues, and chain exercises, which require students to be actively engaged in learning. Additionally, unlike traditional grammar-focused methods, this approach ensures that students practice language production continuously.
- *Provides Immediate Feedback and Correction:* Teachers correct mistakes instantly, ensuring that students do not develop incorrect habits. Moreover, the reinforcement process helps students recognize errors and improve accuracy.
- *Effective for Beginners:* ALM is particularly beneficial for beginner learners because it provides structured, repetitive, and controlled practice. Furthermore, learners gain confidence as they master simple language patterns before progressing to more complex ones.
- *Suitable for Large Classes:* Since ALM relies on choral repetition, drills, and teacher-led activities, it can be effectively used in large classrooms. Similarly, every student gets an opportunity to practice language production, even in big groups.

- *Encourages Habit Formation in Language Learning:* Language learning in ALM is seen as a habit formation process, like how children acquire their first language. Likewise, through reinforcement and repetition, students internalize correct structures, reducing the need for conscious rule application.

To sum up, the Audio-Lingual Method was a groundbreaking development in language teaching, introducing structured drills, reinforcement, and oral proficiency as key priorities. While it is no longer the dominant approach, its influence can still be seen in modern teaching techniques, particularly in the use of pattern drills, repetition, and oral exercises in second language acquisition. It is a highly structured, immersive, and oral-based approach that effectively develops speaking and listening skills, pronunciation, and automatic responses. While it has limitations, its advantages make it a useful method for building foundational language proficiency, especially for beginners and large classroom settings.

4. The Communicative Language Teaching (CLT)

4.1. The Roots of Communicative Language Teaching

Communicative language teaching (CLT), or the communicative approach (CA), is an approach to language teaching that emphasizes interaction as both the means and the ultimate goal of study. The emergence of CLT in the 1970s and early 1980s was driven by two key factors: dissatisfaction with traditional language teaching methods and a growing demand for language learning. In Europe, the establishment of the European Common Market—an economic precursor to the European Union—led to increased migration, creating a greater need for individuals to acquire foreign language skills for both professional and personal purposes. Additionally, language education expanded globally, with more children gaining access to foreign language instruction in schools. This shift was part of a broader movement toward curriculum modernization, making language learning more widely accessible rather than limited to elite institutions. In the United Kingdom, the introduction of comprehensive schools

further contributed to this trend by offering foreign language education to all students, rather than restricting it to those attending prestigious grammar schools.

In other words, CLT emerged in response to the limitations of traditional language teaching methods that focused primarily on grammar, translation, and rote memorization. It developed as a reaction to the growing need for learners to use language in real-world communicative contexts. The origins of CLT can be traced back to the mid-20th century, influenced by advancements in linguistics, psychology, and education. It should be noted that the primary goal of Communicative Language Teaching (CLT) is to equip students with the ability to communicate effectively and respond to real-life situations spontaneously. This approach prioritizes contextual learning, problem-solving, and active engagement, ensuring that language acquisition occurs in meaningful and practical ways.

Simply, from the perspective of Communicative Language Teaching (CLT), language is regarded as a means of communication. It is closely connected to the context in which it occurs, including factors such as the event, participants, purpose, and location. Therefore, language instruction should focus on developing communication skills while incorporating contextual elements that shape how individuals convey and interpret meaning in their interactions.

4.2. Characteristics of Communicative Language Teaching (CLT)

Before implementing Communicative Language Teaching (CLT) in the classroom, it is essential to understand its fundamental principles. The key principles of CLT include:

- *Communication as the Primary Goal* – The primary focus is on enabling students to use the target language effectively in real-life communication.
- *Meaning over Form* – Emphasis is placed on conveying meaning rather than mastering grammatical rules in isolation.
- *Authentic Language Use* – Learners are exposed to real-world language through authentic texts, conversations, and tasks.

- *Interaction and Collaboration* – Communication is fostered through pair work, group activities, and role-plays that encourage interaction.
- *Task-Based Learning* – Language is acquired through meaningful tasks and problem-solving activities rather than rote memorization.
- *Fluency and Accuracy Balance* – While fluency is prioritized, accuracy is also developed gradually through communicative practice.
- *Learner-Centred Approach* – The teacher acts as a facilitator, guiding students in their language learning journey rather than strictly controlling it.
- *Use of Multiple Skills* – Listening, speaking, reading, and writing are integrated to ensure holistic language development.
- *Error-Tolerant Environment* – Mistakes are seen as part of the learning process, with a focus on encouraging students to express themselves rather than fear making errors.
- *Contextualized Learning* – Language is taught in context, making it more relevant and meaningful for learners.

Clearly, given the above key characteristic, I then elaborate that: First, CLT prioritizes *communication* by moving away from a heavy focus on grammar and direct translation. Instead, it immerses students in real-life scenarios, encouraging them to find solutions, which not only enhances their speaking ability but also boosts their confidence. Secondly, the approach is rooted in *task-based and project-based learning*, rejecting monotonous, repetitive drills. Every activity has a clear purpose, engaging students in meaningful tasks that develop both creative and practical skills. Activities such as role-plays, debates, problem-solving exercises, and various projects help students navigate challenging situations while applying their soft and hard skills. Furthermore, *collaboration* is a key component of CLT, as language is seen as a means of connection and teamwork. Lessons frequently include interactive tasks that promote communication and cooperation among students. Lastly, CLT adopts a *student-centred approach*, shifting the focus away from the teacher. Educators are encouraged to minimize their talking time (TTT) and instead create ample opportunities for students to actively participate and express themselves.

In addition, Richards & Rodgers (2001) outline the core principles of Communicative Language Teaching (CLT) as follows:

- Prioritize authentic communication as the main goal of language learning.
- Encourage learners to experiment with and apply their existing language knowledge.
- Accept learners' errors as a natural part of their development in communicative competence.
- Foster both accuracy and fluency in language use.
- Integrate multiple language skills—such as speaking, reading, and listening—since they naturally occur together in real-life situations.
- Enable students to deduce or uncover grammar rules on their own.

4.3. Few Techniques of Implementing Communicative Language Teaching (CLT)

It is obvious that implementing this kind of activity makes students more prepared for various communicative situations like making purchases, asking directions, scheduling an appointment, paying in a taxi, etc. Moreover, communicative Language Teaching (CLT) incorporates various interactive and student-centred techniques to enhance language acquisition. Here are a few key techniques used in CLT, mostly adapted from Richards & Rodgers (2001):

- *Role-Plays*: Students act out real-life situations, such as ordering food in a restaurant or booking a hotel room, to practice authentic language use and improve fluency.
- *Information Gap Activities*: Students work in pairs or groups, each having different pieces of information. They must communicate effectively to complete a task, such as filling out a missing part of a schedule or solving a puzzle.
- *Task-Based Learning (TBL)*: Learners complete meaningful tasks (e.g., planning a trip, designing a poster, or organizing an event) using the target language, focusing on communication rather than explicit grammar instruction.

- *Group Discussions & Debates:* Students discuss topics or debate opposing viewpoints, fostering critical thinking, fluency, and the ability to express opinions in a structured manner.
- *Storytelling & Narratives:* Students create and share stories, enhancing their ability to structure ideas coherently and use past and present tenses naturally.
- *Problem-Solving Activities:* Learners collaborate to solve real-world problems, such as designing a sustainable city or figuring out a mystery, improving their ability to communicate ideas effectively.
- *Interviews & Surveys:* Students prepare questions, interview classmates or native speakers, and report their findings, reinforcing questioning techniques and listening comprehension.
- *Games & Simulations:* Language games like "20 Questions" or simulations such as mock business meetings encourage active participation and spontaneous speech.

These techniques align with CLT's emphasis on real-life communication, collaboration, and learner engagement, helping students develop confidence and proficiency in using the target language.

4.4. Pros and Cons of Communicative Language Teaching

Indeed, no method is perfect. Therefore, it is always important to be aware of both advantages and disadvantages. Below is provided same advantages of CLT:

- *Makes students better speakers:* Due to the constant emphasis on speaking, students do progress with developing this skill pretty fast.
- *Provides a lot of cultural context:* CLT is impossible without constant references to some great authentic materials. Therefore, students interact with real language which is extremely culture-coded.

- *Promotes active and life-long learning:* Students start seeing that learning is not only about recreating grammar rules and the possibilities to learn surround us everywhere.
- *Has a positive influence on the students' physiological state:* Students tend to get rid of their anxiety very fast as well as become much more confident and active.

Nevertheless, some drawbacks of implementing CLT in classroom as given in the following:

- *May be challenging for people with a more conservative educational background.* CLT offers a lot of new methods and techniques which may seem weird for people who are used to repetitive activities, memorizing grammar rules, and direct translation.
- *May be hard for introverted people.* Such a high intensity of interactions with other people might be challenging for some people.
- *Doesn't pay enough attention to other skills.* With such a great focus on speaking, students often become deprived of opportunities to work on some other skills which are less related to communicative situations, for example, writing.
- *May be irrelevant for people who have different objectives.* CLT might be less effective for people who need to boost their writing skills rather than speaking, for example.

To conclude, Communicative Language Teaching (CLT) emerged as a response to the Grammar-Translation Method (GTM) and the Audio-Lingual Method (ALM), both of which were criticized for lacking communicative aspects in language learning and teaching techniques. In the 1970s, linguists and educators began to view language not just as a set of grammatical rules but as a tool for real-life communication. This perspective was encapsulated in the slogan "language as communication," advocating for language instruction that reflects actual language use in society. To align with this approach, classroom teaching techniques needed to encourage students to engage in

authentic communication. This led to the development of various communicative activities, such as role plays, games, information gap exercises, and other teacher-designed strategies. Consequently, assessment shifted to prioritize fluency before accuracy, emphasizing the ability to communicate effectively over rigid grammatical correctness.

5. Task-Based Language Teaching (TBLT)

5.1. The Roots of Task-Based Language Teaching (TBTL)

Task-based language learning (TBTL), also known as task-based instruction (TBI), emerged from communicative language teaching and is considered a specialized branch of it (Ellis, 2003). Educators adopted this approach for various reasons. Some aimed to enhance learners' ability to convey meaning effectively, while others sought to create a more authentic communicative environment in the classroom. This shift was intended to replace artificial classroom interactions with tasks that closely resemble real-life language use.

Task-based language teaching (TBLT) focuses on the use of authentic language to complete meaningful tasks in the target language. Such tasks can include visiting a doctor, conducting an interview, or calling customer service for help. Assessment is primarily based on task outcomes (the appropriate completion of real-world tasks) rather than on accuracy of prescribed language forms. This makes TBLT especially popular for developing target language fluency and student confidence. As such, TBLT can be considered a branch of communicative language teaching (CLT).

It should be noted that Task-based language teaching (TBLT) represents an approach to language learning and teaching grounded in the expanding database of second language acquisition (SLA) research. Having grown out of the communicative approach to language teaching (CLT), TBLT proposes task as the unit of analysis in SLA research and language pedagogy. While there are several proposals for understanding and implementing TBLT, these have in common an experiential “learning by doing” philosophy, informed by analyses of real-world tasks and by the design, staging, and implementation of related pedagogic tasks. Identifiable challenges exist in implementing

TBLT, as shown by investigations in various contexts, but it is argued that the shared unit of analysis provides an improved potential for synergies among theory, research, and contextualized practice in language learning and teaching.

5.2. Characteristics of Task-Based Language Teaching (TBTL)

Since Task-Based Language Teaching (TBLT) is an instructional approach that focuses on meaningful task completion as the central unit of learning, it is characterized by its focus on language use rather than language learning, as learners are expected to use language to accomplish a task. This means that the language used by the learners must be appropriate for the task at hand and must be able to convey the intended meaning. Further, it encourages students to use the target language in real-life contexts rather than merely memorizing rules and vocabulary. Adopting Prahbu (1987), below are some key characteristics of TBLT:

- *Task-Centered Learning:* TBLT revolves around the completion of communicative tasks rather than isolated language drills. These tasks reflect real-life situations where students must use language for a specific purpose, such as ordering food, making appointments, or writing emails.
- *Meaning-Focused Approach:* Unlike traditional grammar-focused methods, TBLT emphasizes understanding and conveying meaning rather than strict accuracy. Learners acquire grammar and vocabulary naturally as they complete tasks.
- *Student-Centered Approach:* In TBLT, students take an active role in their learning process. The teacher serves as a facilitator rather than a lecturer, guiding students as they engage in problem-solving and communication.
- *Authentic Language Use:* Tasks in TBLT are designed to simulate real-life situations, making the language learning experience more practical and relevant. This helps learners develop skills they can directly apply outside the classroom.

- *Integration of Language Skills:* Tasks often involve multiple language skills—listening, speaking, reading, and writing—simultaneously, reflecting the way language is used in real-world communication.
- *Focus on Communication and Interaction:* TBLT promotes communication and collaboration among students. Many tasks require pair or group work, encouraging learners to interact, negotiate meaning, and solve problems together.
- *Task Complexity and Progression:* Tasks in TBLT are structured progressively, starting from simple tasks and gradually increasing in complexity. This allows learners to build their proficiency step by step.
- *Use of Feedback and Reflection:* After completing a task, learners often receive feedback from peers or the teacher. Reflection on performance helps learners identify strengths and areas for improvement, fostering continuous learning.
- *Flexibility in Lesson Design:* TBLT allows teachers to adapt tasks based on learners' needs, interests, and proficiency levels, making the approach highly adaptable to different teaching contexts.
- *Assessment Through Performance:* Rather than relying solely on traditional tests, TBLT assesses students based on their ability to complete communicative tasks effectively, emphasizing fluency, coherence, and appropriateness of language use.

In addition, Ellis (2009) argues some fundamental principles that shape modern Task-Based Language Teaching (TBLT) approaches include:

- *A Primary Focus on Meaning:* TBLT emphasizes the importance of pragmatic and semantic meaning, ensuring that language use is purposeful and communicative rather than just focused on form. Learners engage in tasks that require real-world language use.
- *A Gap to Be Filled:* Effective tasks involve a gap—whether it be an information gap, opinion gap, or reasoning gap—requiring learners to actively use language to bridge the gap and

complete the task. This encourages meaningful communication.

- *A Reliance on Learners' Linguistic and Non-Linguistic Resources:* Learners use both their existing language knowledge and other cognitive and social resources (e.g., gestures, context clues) to accomplish tasks, promoting autonomy and problem-solving skills.
- *A Defined Outcome Beyond Language Itself:* Unlike traditional methods that focus solely on language accuracy, TBLT tasks have a tangible, task-related outcome. The goal is effective communication and task completion rather than just grammatical correctness.

These principles ensure that TBLT remains interactive, meaningful, and aligned with real-life language use, making it an effective approach to language learning. In sum, these characteristics make TBLT an engaging and practical approach to language teaching, helping learners develop real-world communication skills while maintaining motivation and interest in the learning process.

5.3. Techniques of Utilizing Task-Based Language Teaching (TBTL) in Language Classroom

Adopted from multiple sources, Task-Based Language Teaching (TBLT) employs various techniques to facilitate meaningful language learning through real-world tasks. Some of the most effective techniques include:

- ***Information Gap Activities:*** Students work in pairs or groups where each participant has different pieces of information. They must communicate to exchange details and complete a task, such as filling out a missing part of a map, solving a puzzle, or gathering personal details from classmates.
- ***Problem-Solving Tasks:*** Learners are given a real-world problem to discuss and solve collaboratively. For example, they may need to plan a trip with a limited budget, come up with solutions for an environmental issue, or organize an event. These tasks encourage critical thinking and negotiation of meaning.

- **Role-Plays and Simulations:** Students take on specific roles in realistic scenarios, such as ordering food in a restaurant, conducting a job interview, or handling a customer complaint. This technique helps them practice functional language in context.
- **Opinion-Gap Tasks:** These tasks require learners to express personal views on a given topic, compare opinions, and justify their choices. Examples include debates, discussions on social issues, or ranking preferences (e.g., "What are the top five qualities of a good leader?").
- **Jigsaw Tasks:** Each student or group is given a different part of a story, text, or case study, and they must collaborate to piece together the full picture. This encourages listening, speaking, and summarizing skills.
- **Project-Based Learning:** Students work on long-term projects that require research, collaboration, and presentation. Examples include creating a travel brochure, designing a marketing campaign, or making a class newspaper. This method integrates multiple language skills and promotes creativity.
- **Storytelling and Narrative Tasks:** Learners create and share personal or fictional stories, helping them practice sequencing, coherence, and vocabulary use in a meaningful way. They may use visual aids, such as pictures or storyboards, to support their storytelling.
- **Task-Cycling (Pre-Task, Task, and Post-Task Phases):** A structured approach where students first receive instructions and prepare for a task (Pre-Task), then engage in the task with minimal teacher guidance (Task Phase), and finally reflect, analyse, or refine their language use (Post-Task).

By implementing these techniques, TBLT ensures that language learning is *dynamic, engaging, and closely linked to real-world communication*.

5.4. The Advantages & Disadvantages of Task-Based Language Teaching (TBTL)

I argue that task-Based Language Teaching (TBLT) is a contemporary approach to language instruction that emphasizes tasks and activities rather than focusing solely on grammar and vocabulary. A major benefit of this method is that it fosters learner independence.

Through engaging in meaningful tasks, students can develop their own language comprehension and build confidence in their communication skills. Additionally, TBLT enhances student engagement by making the learning process more dynamic and enjoyable, which helps maintain motivation.

However, there are potential drawbacks to this approach. If tasks are not well-structured or lack clear objectives, students may struggle to grasp the language effectively. Furthermore, a lack of variety in activities could lead to reduced interest and engagement in learning.

To conclude, Task-Based Language Teaching (TBLT) is an approach that emphasizes meaningful communication and real-world language use through interactive tasks. Unlike traditional methods that focus on grammar drills or rote memorization, TBLT engages learners in authentic activities such as problem-solving, discussions, and collaborative projects. By placing language learning in context, this approach enhances both fluency and accuracy while fostering student engagement and autonomy. TBLT is widely used in modern language classrooms as it reflects how language is naturally acquired through meaningful interaction.

3

TEACHING ENGLISH GRAMMAR EFFECTIVELY

1. Why Grammar Matters in ELT

Grammar is the backbone of any language, offering the structure and guidelines required for clear communication. In English Language Teaching (ELT), both students and teachers benefit greatly from a solid understanding of grammar. It helps learners build well-structured sentences, express their thoughts clearly, and understand both written and spoken language (Jafaripour & Salehi, 2020). Additionally, mastering grammar not only improves accuracy but also supports learners in becoming more fluent and confident in using the language effectively (Tiana et al., 2023).

1.1 The Role of Grammar in Communication

Grammar provides the essential framework for effective communication, ensuring that ideas are conveyed accurately and clearly. Without a proper understanding of grammar, language learners may find it challenging to express their thoughts, which can lead to confusion or misinterpretation (Normawati, 2023). For example, mistakes in using tenses or structuring sentences can change the intended meaning of a message. However, by gaining a strong grasp of grammar, learners can construct sentences that are not only accurate but also convey meaning with precision.

Additionally, grammar plays a key role in resolving ambiguities in language. For instance, the sentence "Let's eat, grandma" has a completely different meaning from "Let's eat grandma," where the placement of a comma drastically changes the message. This illustrates how grammar helps maintain clarity and prevents miscommunication in both written and spoken forms of communication.

1.2 Grammar as a Tool for Critical Thinking

Learning and teaching grammar goes beyond merely memorizing rules; it requires analyzing language structures and making deliberate, informed decisions about their use (Aisyiyah et al., 2024). This process enhances critical thinking, as learners evaluate language structures and determine their appropriate usage (Ja'far, 2018). For example, distinguishing between "I have eaten" and "I had eaten" requires an awareness of context and time relationships, prompting analytical thinking about how language conveys meaning.

In addition, grammar supports the development of problem-solving skills. When learners encounter unfamiliar structures, they often need to infer meanings or rules based on context. This deductive reasoning not only sharpens cognitive abilities but also equips learners to tackle more complex linguistic and intellectual challenges effectively.

1.3 Supporting Language Skills Development

Grammar is closely connected to the four main language skills: listening, speaking, reading, and writing (Handoyo, 2006). In listening and reading, grammar aids learners in interpreting complex sentences and grasping meaning. In speaking and writing, it enables them to communicate clearly and effectively. For example, understanding connectors such as "however," "therefore," and "although" helps learners create cohesive arguments in essays or discussions.

Moreover, grammar serves as a foundation for creative language use (Ismail & Dedi, 2021). By mastering grammatical structures, learners can explore stylistic techniques, such as varying sentence lengths or incorporating rhetorical devices, to achieve specific effects and enhance their communication.

1.4 Grammar and Cultural Awareness

Grammar embodies cultural norms and communication practices, making it a vital component of language learning (Mashudi et al., 2022). For instance, the use of polite expressions, such as modal verbs ("could you," "would you"), illustrates the connection between grammar and cultural expectations. In English Language Teaching (ELT), teaching grammar also involves fostering an understanding of these cultural subtleties, enabling learners to navigate social interactions more effectively.

Additionally, grammar provides valuable insights into cultural values and priorities. For example, some languages emphasize hierarchical relationship, which is reflected in their grammatical structures. Recognizing these cultural nuances allow learners to appreciate the deeper cultural significance embedded within the language.

1.5 The Importance of Grammar in Academic and Professional Settings

In both academic and professional settings, a solid grasp of grammar is crucial for success (Cafe, 2024). Accurate and clear communication is fundamental in academic tasks such as writing essays, delivering presentations, and drafting formal correspondence. For professionals, the ability to craft precise emails, reports, and proposals is equally important. As such, grammar serves as a key tool for helping learners meet these expectations and achieve their objectives.

Employers often regard grammatical accuracy as an indicator of professionalism and meticulousness. Errors in professional communication can diminish credibility and lead to missed

opportunities. Therefore, grammar is not just an academic competency but also a practical skill essential for workplace success

1.6 Addressing the Misconceptions about Grammar

Some learners perceive grammar as tedious or overly complicated (Fujino, 2021). Others may believe that focusing on grammar hinders fluency. It is essential in English Language Teaching (ELT) to address these misconceptions by highlighting how grammar enhances, rather than hinders effective communication. When presented in an engaging and contextual manner, grammar transforms into a useful tool rather than a source of frustration.

One effective way to challenge these beliefs is by demonstrating the practical benefits of grammar mastery. For example, role-playing activities or writing tasks based on real-life scenarios can help learners see how grammar improves their ability to communicate confidently and effectively (Moosa et al., 2025).

1.7 Grammar as a Lifelong Skill

Unlike vocabulary, which can evolve and grow over time, the core principles of grammar remain largely consistent. This stability makes grammar a lifelong skill that learners can depend on as they advance their language proficiency. By developing a strong foundation in grammar, students acquire a lasting asset that equips them to navigate various linguistic challenges throughout their lives.

Furthermore, a solid understanding of grammar facilitates the learning of other languages. Many grammatical concepts are transferable, allowing learners to build on their existing knowledge

when acquiring new linguistic skills. This multilingual benefit highlights the enduring value of grammar proficiency.

In summary, grammar matters in ELT because it underpins effective communication, supports critical thinking, and enhances cultural and professional competence. By emphasizing its importance, educators can inspire learners to appreciate grammar as a vital component of language mastery.

2. Approaches to Teaching Grammar

Effective grammar instruction involves understanding and applying a range of approaches that are adapted to different learning contexts and the specific needs of students (Nur, 2020; Rizoqulovna, 2023). Over time, language educators have explored and debated various methods for teaching grammar, resulting in the development of diverse instructional strategies. Each method offers unique advantages and challenges, and effective grammar teaching often requires combining several approaches to achieve the best outcomes.

2.1 The Deductive Approach

The deductive approach involves teaching grammar explicitly by explaining rules and then applying them to examples (Thornburry, 1999). This traditional method is teacher-centered and focuses on clear, concise rule presentation before engaging students in practice activities. For instance, a teacher might explain the formation of the present perfect tense and then provide exercises for students to practice.

Advantages:

- Clear and straightforward: Students receive direct instruction, which can save time.
- Effective for learners who prefer structured, rule-based learning.

Disadvantages:

- May feel monotonous or abstract for some learners.
- Limited opportunities for meaningful, communicative use of grammar.

2.2 The Inductive Approach

The inductive approach, in contrast, encourages students to discover grammar rules through observation and guided discovery. In this learner-centered approach, the teacher provides examples, and students analyze patterns to infer the rules themselves (Thornbury, 1999). For example, students might examine sentences like "She is running" and "They are playing" to deduce the structure of the present continuous tense.

Advantages:

- Promotes active engagement and critical thinking.
- Makes grammar learning more interactive and memorable.

Disadvantages:

- Time-consuming, especially for complex grammar points.
- Requires careful planning and scaffolding by the teacher.

2.3 The Communicative Approach

The communicative approach integrates grammar teaching into meaningful communication. Rather than focusing solely on rules, this approach emphasizes using grammar to convey messages effectively (Roeder et al., 2020). For example, students might participate in role-

plays or discussions where they naturally use targeted grammatical structures.

Advantages:

- Develops fluency alongside accuracy.
- Engages students in real-world language use.

Disadvantages:

- May lead to fossilization of errors if accuracy is not monitored.
- Requires a balance between fluency-focused activities and explicit instruction.

2.4 Task-Based Language Teaching (TBLT)

Task-based language teaching involves using tasks as the primary focus of instruction (Van den Branden, 2016). Grammar is taught implicitly as students complete tasks that require specific language forms (Ji & Pham, 2020). For example, a task to plan a trip might naturally involve using future tense constructions like "will" and "going to."

Advantages:

- Provides contextualized grammar practice.
- Encourages collaboration and problem-solving.

Disadvantages:

- May not provide enough explicit focus on form.
- Can be challenging to design tasks that target specific grammar points.

2.5 Blended Approaches

Many educators find success by blending multiple approaches to suit their students' needs and learning goals. For instance, a teacher might use the deductive approach for introducing complex rules,

followed by communicative activities for practice and reinforcement. This flexibility allows for a more holistic and adaptable grammar teaching experience.

Advantages:

- Combines the strengths of various approaches to address different learning styles.
- Allows teachers to tailor lessons to specific grammar points and student needs.

Disadvantages:

- Requires careful planning to balance approaches effectively.
- May overwhelm students if too many methods are used simultaneously.

2.6 The Role of Technology in Grammar Teaching

Modern technology offers innovative ways to teach grammar effectively (Bikowski, 2018). Interactive apps, online exercises, and virtual learning environments provide learners with engaging and personalized grammar practice. Also, tools like automated feedback systems and gamified platforms can motivate students while reinforcing grammar skills (Mishchuk et al., 2024).

Advantages:

- Offers interactive and engaging learning experiences.
- Provides instant feedback, enabling learners to identify and correct errors immediately.

Disadvantages:

- May not be accessible to all learners due to technological or financial constraints.

- Overreliance on technology can reduce opportunities for face-to-face interaction and discussion.

2.7 Contextualizing Grammar Instruction

Regardless of the chosen approach, contextualizing grammar instruction is essential. Grammar should be taught in a way that relates to students' real-life experiences and language use (Ly, 2020). For example, instead of teaching the past simple tense in isolation, a teacher might incorporate storytelling activities where students recount personal events.

Advantages:

- Makes grammar relevant and meaningful to learners.
- Encourages the application of grammar in authentic contexts.

Disadvantages:

- May require additional effort to design context-specific activities.
- Could be less effective for teaching abstract or highly technical grammar concepts.

In conclusion, approaches to teaching grammar are diverse and adaptable, catering to various learning styles and objectives. By combining these methods thoughtfully, educators can create dynamic and effective grammar instruction tailored to their students' needs

3. Effective Grammar Teaching Techniques

Teaching grammar effectively involves using techniques that engage learners, reinforce understanding, and promote application in meaningful contexts. The following techniques have been proven effective in various classroom settings and can be adapted to suit different learning levels and goals.

3.1 Contextualized Grammar Practice

Contextualizing grammar lessons within real-life situations helps learners see the relevance of grammar in communication (Jandildinov & Yersultanova, 2023). For example, teaching modal verbs for making requests (e.g., "could," "would") can be integrated into role-play scenarios like ordering at a restaurant or asking for directions.

Implementation Strategies:

- Use authentic materials such as news articles, advertisements, or dialogues.
- Create activities that reflect everyday interactions, encouraging students to use grammar naturally.

3.2 Scaffolded Practice

Scaffolding involves gradually increasing the complexity of grammar activities (Abdelaziz & Al Zehmi, 2021). This approach helps learners build confidence and competence step by step. For instance, students can begin with controlled practice (e.g., fill-in-the-blank exercises) before progressing to free writing or speaking tasks.

Implementation Strategies:

- Provide clear examples and guided practice before independent work.
- Offer feedback and support to address challenges at each stage.

3.3 Gamification and Interactive Activities

Games and interactive activities make grammar learning enjoyable and engaging (Ardi & Rianita, 2022). Quizzes, board games, and online platforms can motivate students while reinforcing grammar concepts.

Implementation Strategies:

- Design competitive or collaborative games that require correct grammar usage.
- Incorporate technology, such as grammar apps or online quizzes, to enhance engagement.

3.4 Error Analysis and Peer Feedback

Encouraging students to analyze errors and provide feedback fosters critical thinking and collaborative learning. For example, teachers can present common grammar mistakes and discuss corrections as a class.

Implementation Strategies:

- Use anonymized examples from students' work for error analysis.
- Train students to give constructive feedback during peer review sessions.

3.5 Integrating Grammar with Other Skills

Combining grammar instruction with listening, speaking, reading, and writing activities ensures comprehensive language development. For instance, students can practice past tense forms by writing a story or recounting a personal experience in a speaking activity.

Implementation Strategies:

- Design integrated tasks that require the use of targeted grammar points.
- Encourage students to reflect on grammar usage in their speaking and writing.

3.7 Using Visual Aids and Graphic Organizers

Visual aids like charts, diagrams, and timelines can clarify complex grammar points. For example, a timeline can help students understand the difference between past simple and present perfect tenses.

Implementation Strategies:

- Create visually appealing materials to illustrate grammar rules.
- Encourage students to develop their own graphic organizers as study aids.

3.8 Focus on Form and Meaning

Balancing the focus on grammatical form and meaning ensures that learners understand both the structure and communicative purpose of grammar (Keck & Kim, 2014). For example, teaching conditional sentences should include both their formation and their use in expressing hypothetical situations.

Implementation Strategies:

- Highlight key forms in context during lessons.
- Provide opportunities for students to use grammar in meaningful ways.

In summary, effective grammar teaching techniques emphasize engagement, contextualization, and integration with language skills. By adopting a variety of strategies, educators can create dynamic and effective grammar lessons that cater to the diverse needs of their students.

4. Learning Strategies for Students of Various Levels

Language learners come from diverse backgrounds and possess varying levels of proficiency. To teach grammar effectively, educators must understand the unique needs of beginner, intermediate, and advanced learners, and provide appropriate strategies to support their learning journeys. Tailored approaches enable students to build their grammatical competence progressively and effectively.

4.1 Strategies for Beginners

Beginners often grapple with fundamental grammar concepts, and their learning process requires clear explanations, repetition, and visual support. Introducing grammar through simple structures and everyday vocabulary allows beginners to build a strong foundation (Larsen-Freeman & DeCarrico, 2019).

Effective Techniques:

- **Visual Aids:** Use charts, pictures, and videos to explain basic grammar concepts. For example, visualizing subject-verb agreement with images can simplify the concept for beginners.
- **Repetition and Drills:** Encourage repeated practice through drills and exercises to reinforce grammatical patterns.
- **Simple Contexts:** Use short, meaningful sentences or dialogues for practice, such as introducing the present simple tense with daily routines.
- **Interactive Games:** Gamify grammar learning to make lessons engaging, such as using matching exercises or role-playing activities.

Challenges and Solutions:

- Beginners may feel overwhelmed by new concepts. Teachers should pace lessons appropriately and provide ample opportunities for review.
- Mistakes are common. Teachers should offer constructive feedback and positive reinforcement to encourage improvement.

4.2 Strategies for Intermediate Learners

Intermediate learners often transition from focusing solely on accuracy to developing fluency. At this stage, they benefit from tasks that integrate grammar into broader communicative activities (Kakharova, 2024).

Effective Techniques:

- **Contextualized Practice:** Use authentic materials, such as news articles or videos, to teach grammar in real-world contexts. For example, teach reported speech through news reports.
- **Collaborative Activities:** Encourage group work, such as peer editing or collaborative writing tasks, to practice grammar interactively.
- **Problem-Solving Tasks:** Challenge students with activities that require critical thinking, such as analyzing sentences to identify errors or improving written texts.
- **Focused Grammar Points in Discussion:** Incorporate specific grammar structures into speaking activities, such as debates or role plays, allowing students to practice accuracy and fluency simultaneously.

Challenges and Solutions:

- Intermediate learners may struggle to balance fluency and accuracy. Teachers should provide targeted feedback and focus on persistent errors during practice.
- Motivation can wane at this stage. Including engaging, real-world applications can help maintain student interest.

4.3 Strategies for Advanced Learners

Advanced learners aim for mastery, focusing on nuanced grammar use and stylistic variations. At this stage, instruction should highlight complex structures, register differences, and advanced syntax (McCarthy, 2016).

Effective Techniques:

- **Error Analysis and Self-Editing:** Encourage learners to identify and correct their own mistakes in writing and speaking. Provide tools such as checklists to help them evaluate grammatical accuracy.
- **Authentic Materials:** Use complex texts, such as academic articles, literature, or professional documents, to expose learners to advanced grammatical structures.
- **Exploring Stylistic Variation:** Teach formal versus informal grammar usage and register-appropriate choices. For example, advanced learners can analyze how modal verbs convey varying degrees of certainty or politeness.
- **Grammar in Writing:** Assign advanced writing tasks, such as essays or reports, where students must demonstrate precision in grammar.

Challenges and Solutions:

- Advanced learners may experience fossilized errors. Teachers should provide consistent corrective feedback and push learners to refine their accuracy.
- Overemphasis on perfection can lead to reluctance in communication. Teachers should encourage risk-taking and experimentation with language.

4.4 General Strategies for Mixed-Level Classes

In mixed-level classes, teachers must balance the needs of learners at varying proficiency levels. Differentiation and inclusive activities are key to addressing these challenges (Al-Subaiei, 2017).

Effective Techniques:

- **Differentiated Instruction:** Design tasks with varying levels of complexity. For instance, beginners can complete fill-in-the-blank exercises while advanced learners write full sentences using the same grammar point.
- **Group Work:** Pair or group students of different levels, enabling stronger learners to support weaker ones through peer teaching.
- **Scaffolded Learning:** Provide tiered activities that gradually increase in difficulty, allowing students to progress at their own pace.
- **Use of Technology:** Incorporate adaptive learning platforms that offer personalized grammar practice based on individual proficiency levels.

Challenges and Solutions:

- Managing diverse needs can be overwhelming. Teachers should plan lessons with flexible activities that cater to a range of abilities.

- Ensuring engagement for all levels requires creativity. Using a variety of materials and techniques can keep all students involved.

In summary, effective grammar teaching for students of various levels requires a tailored approach that considers their unique needs and proficiency stages. By implementing level-specific strategies and fostering an inclusive learning environment, educators can help students achieve grammatical competence and confidence in their language use.

5. Common Mistakes in Teaching Grammar

Teaching grammar, while essential in English language instruction, is fraught with potential pitfalls. These mistakes can hinder learners' progress and undermine their confidence. Identifying and addressing these common issues is crucial for ensuring effective instruction and fostering a supportive learning environment.

5.1 Overemphasis on Rules without Context

One of the most frequent mistakes in grammar teaching is focusing excessively on grammatical rules without demonstrating their practical applications. While understanding rules is important, learners need to see how these rules function in real-life communication.

Consequences:

- Learners may struggle to transfer theoretical knowledge to practical use.
- Students often lose interest due to the lack of meaningful connections to language use.

Solutions:

- Integrate grammar lessons with communicative activities, such as role plays or writing tasks.

- Use authentic materials to illustrate grammar in real-world contexts.

5.2 Neglecting Communicative Competence

Another common mistake is prioritizing grammatical accuracy at the expense of fluency. While precision is important, it should not overshadow the goal of effective communication.

Consequences:

- Students may become overly self-conscious and hesitant to speak or write.
- Learners might develop an incomplete understanding of how grammar supports communication.

Solutions:

- Encourage learners to experiment with language use, even if errors occur.
- Balance accuracy-focused activities with tasks that promote fluency, such as discussions or storytelling.

5.3 Lack of Differentiation in Instruction

Using a one-size-fits-all approach in grammar teaching often fails to address the diverse needs of learners at different proficiency levels.

Consequences:

- Advanced learners may feel underchallenged, while beginners may become overwhelmed.
- Mixed-ability classrooms might experience disengagement and frustration.

Solutions:

- Differentiate instruction by offering tiered activities that cater to various skill levels.
- Provide individualized feedback to address specific learner needs.

5.4 Overloading Lessons with Grammar

Cramming too many grammar topics into a single lesson can overwhelm learners and dilute their understanding of each concept.

Consequences:

- Learners may fail to retain information due to cognitive overload.
- Students might feel discouraged and disengaged.

Solutions:

- Focus on one or two grammar points per lesson to allow for deeper exploration and practice.
- Use spaced repetition techniques to revisit previously taught concepts over time.

5.5 Insufficient Practice Opportunities

Some grammar lessons emphasize explanation over practice, leaving students with limited chances to internalize the material.

Consequences:

- Learners may forget grammatical rules or fail to apply them correctly.
- Students often lack confidence in using grammar independently.

Solutions:

- Incorporate a variety of practice activities, such as drills, games, and writing exercises.
- Encourage students to use grammar actively in speaking and writing tasks.

5.6 Ignoring Learner Errors

While it is important to create a supportive learning environment, failing to address errors can lead to fossilized mistakes.

Consequences:

- Persistent errors may hinder students' progress and lead to misunderstandings.
- Learners might feel unsure about their accuracy if feedback is lacking.

Solutions:

- Provide constructive feedback that focuses on improvement rather than criticism.
- Use error correction techniques, such as recasting or elicitation, to guide learners toward self-correction.

5.7 Overcorrecting Learners

Conversely, excessive error correction can discourage learners and reduce their willingness to participate.

Consequences:

- Students may become overly dependent on teacher feedback.
- Overcorrection can lead to a fear of making mistakes, stifling creativity and risk-taking.

Solutions:

- Strike a balance by focusing on errors that impede communication or are related to the target grammar point.
- Use positive reinforcement to encourage effort and improvement.

5.8 Relying Solely on Traditional Methods

Exclusive reliance on traditional methods, such as rote memorization and grammar-translation, can limit learners' engagement and fail to develop their communicative competence.

Consequences:

- Students may perceive grammar as dull and irrelevant.
- Traditional methods often neglect the integration of grammar into meaningful contexts.

Solutions:

- Incorporate modern teaching approaches, such as task-based learning or content-based instruction.
- Use technology and multimedia resources to create dynamic and interactive grammar lessons.

In conclusion, recognizing and addressing common mistakes in grammar teaching is essential for improving instructional effectiveness. By adopting a balanced and learner-centered approach, educators can help students develop both grammatical accuracy and communicative competence, ensuring long-term success in language learning.

TEACHING ENGLISH VOCABULARY

Vocabulary is the foundation of language learning, acting as the building blocks through which students' express ideas, comprehend texts, and communicate successfully. For educators, teaching vocabulary entails not just presenting new words, but also providing with techniques for comprehending, remembering, and applying these terms in meaningful contexts. In this chapter, we will look at the fundamental concepts of vocabulary training, highlighting the necessity of choosing appropriate words, designing engaging activities, and establishing an environment in which students feel driven to develop their vocabulary. Educators may create a solid foundation for their students' overall language ability by knowing how vocabulary acquisition works and adapting classes to match the needs of different learners. Teaching vocabulary needs more than just rote memorization or drills; it also necessitates creativity, adaptability, and a thorough understanding of how words interact within larger linguistic frameworks. This chapter discusses practical ways that educators can use to make vocabulary learning entertaining and productive.

1. Understanding the Importance of Vocabulary in Language Learning

Vocabulary is the foundation of language learning, providing the building blocks for learners to express themselves and understand others. Even the most comprehensive comprehension of grammar or syntax is rendered ineffective in real-world communication if one lacks an adequate vocabulary. Wilkins (1972) stated, without

grammar, very little can be conveyed; without vocabulary, nothing can be conveyed. This emphasizes the critical role vocabulary plays in facilitating meaningful connections. According to Notion (2001), students who have a larger vocabulary outperform their peers in reading comprehension, writing, and speaking skills. Furthermore, Schmitt (2008) highlights that vocabulary knowledge is more than just recognizing words; it is also about comprehending their subtleties, collocations, and contextual usage, all of which are necessary for fluency. Thus, educators must identify vocabulary education as a critical component of English language teaching, ensuring that students have the tools they need to effectively navigate both academic and everyday communication.

Apart from its practical significance, vocabulary development has a direct effect on ' self-esteem and drive. Students feel more equipped to comprehend difficult books, participate in discussions, and express themselves clearly when they have a strong vocabulary. Laufer and Ravenhorst-Kalovski (2010) discovered a substantial correlation between vocabulary size and total language ability, indicating that increasing one's vocabulary is a means of achieving higher communicative competence rather than merely being an academic exercise. Furthermore, as students classify, associate, and retrieve words during language use, vocabulary learning promotes cognitive development by improving memory retention and critical thinking abilities. Teachers create the foundation for comprehensive language development by emphasizing vocabulary instruction, giving students the linguistic tools, they need to succeed in a variety of communicative contexts.

2. Principles of Effective Vocabulary Instruction

2.1 Contextualized Learning Enhances Vocabulary Retention

One of the fundamental principles of effective vocabulary learning is to introduce words in meaningful settings. When students encounter new language in isolation, they frequently struggle to understand its meaning or how it might be used in real-world circumstances. This is why incorporating terminology into authentic settings is critical to retention and comprehension. According to Nation (2001), words taught in isolation are less likely to be retained compared to those learned in context. Educators can help students understand the practical application of language by embedding it in stories, conversations, or problem-solving assignments. Instead of merely offering a list of food-related vocabulary, teachers can design a role-playing session in which students purchase meals from a restaurant, allowing them to practice using the phrases naturally.

Furthermore, students can derive the meaning of unknown words from contextualized learning by using information from their surroundings. In addition to increasing vocabulary, this method improves critical thinking abilities. A word's nuances and numerous meanings become clear to students as they encounter it in various circumstances. Depending on the situation, the term "bank" may refer to a bank or the bank of a river. Teachers give students the tools they need to use language responsibly and flexibly by exposing them to such variances. At the end of the day, contextualized learning turns learning vocabulary from a passive memory exercise into an engaging, active process that mimics language use in everyday situations.

2.2 Repetition and Multiple Exposures Are Essential

In order for students to learn new words and incorporate them into their linguistic repertoire, repetition is essential to vocabulary development. Repeated encounters with target words across different tasks and modalities help solidify understanding and retention (Beck et.al. 2002) are more likely to recall and apply a term correctly when they come across it repeatedly in a variety of circumstances, including speaking, writing, listening, and reading. For example, teachers can use follow-up activities like group discussions, quizzes, or creative writing assignments to reinforce new words they have introduced during a lecture. Instead of the word remaining a transient idea, this recurrent exposure guarantees that it becomes a part of the student's active vocabulary.

Learning results are improved not only by repetition but also by changing up how students engage with new terminology. Teachers can introduce kids to the same term in a variety of formats by using multimedia resources including games, music, and movies. For example, a term that was first used in a reading passage may subsequently be used in a vocabulary game or listening exercise. This multimodal method maintains student engagement while accommodating a variety of learning styles. Additionally, it has been demonstrated that spaced repetition, in which words are reviewed periodically over time, improves long-term memory. Teachers can make sure that retain vocabulary beyond short-term memory and use it with confidence in future communication by carefully organizing classes to include frequent review sessions.

2.3 Active Engagement Promotes Deeper Understanding

Deeper comprehension and long-term memory are fostered by active vocabulary engagement are more likely to internalize new terms meanings and usage when they are given meaningful opportunities to connect with them. Graves (2006) pointed out that engagement strategies like semantic mapping, word sorting, and collaborative dialogue encourage to process vocabulary at a deeper cognitive level. Semantic mapping, for example, helps students understand the relationships between ideas by visually grouping related words into clusters. Similar to this, word sorting exercises ask to group words according to common traits such as parts of speech, synonyms, or antonyms. Learning vocabulary becomes more engaging and memorable with these practical techniques.

Another important factor in encouraging active engagement is collaborative activities. Through debates, role-playing, and group discussions, students can practice applying new words in real-world situations while getting peer feedback. They can have the opportunity to meaningfully employ terms like "sustainability," "pollution," and "conservation" during a debate on environmental issues in the classroom. Additionally, students can customize their learning experience through creative assignments like storytelling or presentation creation. Students who actively use vocabulary in these diverse contexts gain a greater sense of control over the language and become more capable of applying it in everyday situations. This proactive method promotes confidence and conversational fluency in addition to improving vocabulary development.

3. Strategies for Introducing New Vocabulary

3.1 Contextualization of Vocabulary

Contextualization, which includes placing terms in relevant sentences or real-world situations, is a useful technique for teaching new vocabulary. This method aids in comprehending the word's definition as well as how it is used in various settings. Nation (2001) asserted that giving students context helps them understand a word's subtleties, including its register, meanings, and collocations. Rather than just providing a definition for the word "run," teacher could use it in a variety of ways, like "She runs every morning" or "The river runs through the valley." are more likely to remember words and utilize them correctly in their own speech when they are embedded in relatable contexts. Additionally, contextualization lessens the cognitive load on students by allowing them to correlate terms with well-known frameworks rather than memorizing isolated words.

Contextualization not only improves retention but also encourages more in-depth language use. Schmitt (2008) highlighted that learners are encouraged to derive meaning from the surrounding text when they come across new terminology in a conversation or story, which encourages active learning. Words like "enclosure," "habitat," and "species" can be introduced in a story about a visit to the zoo in a way that is interesting and feels natural. Additionally, this approach enables teachers to incorporate speaking, listening, and reading into vocabulary training. Teachers can foster meaningful interactions with new vocabulary and help students become more fluent and confident in their use of English by immersing them in rich linguistic contexts.

3.2 Use of Visual Aids and Multimedia

Multimedia and visual aids are another effective way to introduce new vocabulary since they improve understanding and accommodate different learning styles. According to Mayer (2005), memory recall and comprehension are much enhanced when spoken information is combined with visual representations. Learners can gain a better understanding of abstract concepts by using tools like infographics, movies, photos, and flashcards. When teaching weather-related vocabulary, displaying pictures of sunny skies, rainstorms, and snow-covered landscapes might help students in making the connection between the words and real-world situations. Additionally, visual aids function as mnemonic devices, helping students remember words by connecting them to vivid imagery.

Moreover, introducing multimedia materials into vocabulary courses can boost student engagement and participation. Plass and Jones (2005) stressed that interactive resources, such as educational apps and online games, provide dynamic ways for students to practice new vocabulary in a pleasant and low-pressure context. A virtual tour of a city can introduce words like "landmark," "avenue," and "subway" while retaining students' interest through investigation and learning. Multimedia also facilitates differentiated instruction, which enables teachers to work with students of different skill levels in the same classroom. Teachers can create a stimulating and inclusive atmosphere that meets the needs of all students by using technology and visual aids.

3.3 Repetition and Recycling of Vocabulary

Repeated exposure to words in various contexts strengthens neural connections and promotes automaticity in language production (Webb, 2007). Teachers can use repetition by revisiting important vocabulary in later lessons, quizzes, and activities. After introducing food-related terms in a single session, educators can reinforce these words by role-playing restaurant scenarios, creating menus, or talking about cultural dishes. This regular reinforcement helps make the words permanent in students' mental lexicon, making them easily accessible for future use.

Additionally, reusing vocabulary in different contexts avoids boredom and enhances comprehension. According to Ellis (2008), use flexibility is increased when a term is encountered in several grammatical structures or thematic units. For example, the word "delicious" may be used once in a lecture on meals and then again in conversations about recipes or travel. This kind of repetition emphasizes the word's adaptability while simultaneously reinforcing its meaning. Teachers should create activities such as gap-fill exercises, matching games, or group discussions that call for active vocabulary processing in order to maximize student performance. Teachers can guarantee that new words become an essential component of their students' linguistic repertoire by combining methodical repetition with imaginative recycling.

4. Assessing Vocabulary Knowledge

4.1 Importance of Assessing Vocabulary Knowledge

Assessing vocabulary knowledge is an important part of English language instruction since it gives educators information into

students' lexical development and comprehension abilities. According to Nation (2001), vocabulary evaluation helps teachers uncover gaps in students' word knowledge, allowing them to personalize education to individual requirements. Educators can assess learners' receptive and productive vocabulary to see whether they can detect words in context (receptive) and apply them correctly in speaking or writing (productive). This dual focus guarantees that vocabulary education is consistent with learners' competency levels and communication goals. Furthermore, Read (2000) argued that effective vocabulary assessment should go beyond just word identification and measure depth of knowledge, including collocations, synonyms, and usage in different contexts. Such comprehensive assessments enable educators to develop targeted treatments that improve students' overall verbal proficiency.

In addition to finding gaps, measuring vocabulary knowledge acts as a motivator for students. Students who receive feedback on their achievement are more likely to participate actively in vocabulary-building activities (Schmitt, 2010). Diagnostic tests at the start of a course can help set realistic learning objectives, whereas recurring assessments allow for reflection and development. Furthermore, Webb and Nation (2017) believed that vocabulary tests should be included into the curriculum as a formative tool rather than a summative one. This strategy develops a growth mentality in students, encouraging them to see vocabulary learning as an ongoing process. Educators can construct a balanced evaluation system that mimics real-world language use by using a variety of assessment tools, including quizzes, vocabulary journals, and contextualized assignments.

4.2 Methods of Vocabulary Assessment

There are several ways for testing vocabulary knowledge, each addressing a distinct component of lexical competence. The vocabulary size test is a popular method for determining how many words a student understands receptively. Laufer and Nation (1999) recommended using yes/no exams in which students indicate whether they know a specific word. While this method is effective for measuring vocabulary breadth, it may fail to reflect depth of knowledge, such as the ability to apply terms correctly in context. To solve this constraint, educators can use multiple-choice examinations that ask students to choose the correct definition or usage of a term. These assessments provide a more sophisticated view of vocabulary knowledge and are especially useful when evaluating academic or specialized vocabulary.

Another useful way is to assign productive vocabulary assignments, such as writing or speaking exercises, that require students to demonstrate their ability to use target terms correctly. According to Meara (1996), these exercises demonstrate how well learners have internalized new vocabulary and can use it in meaningful communication. For example, assigning students to compose a short essay using a list of recently learned terms might demonstrate their understanding of collocations and grammatical precision. Furthermore, dynamic assessment strategies, as proposed by Poehner (2008), include scaffolding during the assessment process to measure learners' potential for progress. This approach not only assesses existing knowledge but also highlights areas where learners can benefit from guidance. By integrating these methods, instructors

can acquire a holistic view of learners' vocabulary growth and change their teaching practices accordingly.

5. Integrating Technology and Digital Tools in Vocabulary Teaching

5.1 Gamification and Interactive Learning Platforms

Gamification has been developed as an effective approach for vocabulary teaching, using game-like aspects to increase student involvement and motivation. Platforms like Kahoot, Quizlet, and Duolingo include elements like points, leaderboards, and awards to encourage students to actively participate in vocabulary acquisition (Godwin-Jones, 2019). These platforms not only make studying more enjoyable, but they also offer immediate feedback, helping students to identify areas for development. For example, Quizlet's flashcard function allows instructors to construct personalized word lists, and its matching games encourage memory retention through repetition and association. Research indicates that gamified learning settings can greatly improve word recall and long-term retention when compared to traditional techniques (Plass et al., 2020).

Gamification has the added benefit of encouraging collaborative learning. Many digital platforms enable students to compete or cooperate in teams, which promotes peer engagement and communication. For example, Kahoot's live quiz component fosters group engagement by allowing students to collaborate in real time to answer vocabulary tasks (Hanus & Fox, 2015). This collaborative method not only improves social learning but also boosts confidence when employing new words in context. Furthermore, gamified tools frequently contain progress-tracking features, allowing teachers and

students to track progress over time. Such transparency allows students to set attainable goals and celebrate successes, which motivates them to broaden their lexical range. Educators can use gamification to make vocabulary education more entertaining and meaningful.

52. Multimedia Resources and Visual Aids

Multimedia materials are important in vocabulary education because they provide visual and audio stimuli that appeal to a variety of learning styles. YouTube, TED-Ed, and educational podcasts all provide rich information that contextualizes new terms within real-life circumstances, making them more accessible and memorable to learners (Warschauer & Liaw, 2018). For example, seeing a brief film clip about environmental challenges can expose children to terms like "sustainability" and "ecosystem" in a real-world context, improving comprehension and usage. Additionally, visual aids such as infographics and mind maps made with programs like Canva or MindMeister assist students in organizing material methodically, allowing for greater learning and retention. According to studies, mixing text and images increases language learners' cognitive processing and retention rates (Mayer, 2020).

Beyond videos and images, multimedia resources also include interactive simulations and virtual reality (VR) applications, which immerse students in lifelike environments where they can practice vocabulary naturally. For example, VR platforms like Google Expeditions enable learners to explore virtual settings such as markets, museums, or historical sites, encouraging them to use relevant vocabulary in simulated conversations (Chen, 2021). This

immersive approach bridges the gap between theoretical knowledge and practical application, empowering students to use new words confidently in various contexts. Moreover, multimedia tools are highly adaptable, allowing educators to tailor lessons according to individual proficiency levels and interests. By incorporating multimedia resources into vocabulary instruction, teachers can create a stimulating and inclusive learning environment that maximizes student engagement and achievement.

5

TEACHING PRONUNCIATION AND LISTENING SKILLS

The primary means of communication that people use to exchange thoughts, emotions, and information is language. Pronunciation and hearing are two abilities that frequently present significant difficulties for individuals learning English as a second or foreign language. These two abilities serve as the cornerstone for general speaking proficiency and comprehension and are crucial for guaranteeing communication clarity.

The key to getting our messages understood by others is how we pronounce them. However, a lot of students experience anxiety or lack confidence when they have to pronounce words in English to avoid being perceived as incorrect or not sounding "native-like." Conversely, listening is a passive ability that necessitates a high degree of focus and flexibility in response to different accents, speaking tempos, and linguistic styles—all of which are frequently absent from formal educational settings. Students who lack effective listening abilities will struggle to comprehend spoken material in both academic and real-world contexts.

1. Theoretical Foundations

1.1 Definition of Pronunciation

The way a language's sounds, stress, rhythm, and intonation are created during speech is referred to as pronunciation. It has a

direct impact on how well a speaker's message is understood by others, making it an essential part of oral communication. Celce-Murcia, Brinton, and Goodwin (2010) assert that pronunciation includes suprasegmental elements like stress, rhythm, and intonation in addition to individual sounds, all of which enhance overall intelligibility. Similarly, Gilbert (2012) highlights that pronunciation is a multidimensional talent that requires mastery of both segmental (such as vowels and consonants) and prosodic (such as pitch and tempo) elements. Additionally, Kelly (2000) highlights the practical relevance of pronunciation in everyday communication by arguing that effective pronunciation instruction should concentrate on assisting students in achieving "listener-friendly" speech rather than aiming for native-like accuracy.

1.2 Definition of Listening

Listening is an active process that involves receiving, interpreting, and responding to spoken information. Listening is a sophisticated cognitive process that demands focus, comprehension, and assessment of the message being sent. It is not merely a passive activity in which one merely hears sounds. According to Vandergrift (2007), hearing is a talent that entails two different processing styles: top-down processing, which uses context, experience, and prior knowledge to interpret meaning, and bottom-up processing, which decodes individual sounds, words, and language structures.

Furthermore, Richards (2008) highlights that as listening is the primary means by which language learners receive input from their surroundings, it is one of the most crucial receptive skills in language learning. Listening comprehension is crucial for understanding

conversations, directions, stories, and other oral information in the context of daily communication.

Additionally, Field (2009) clarifies that listening also entails being able to identify differences in spoken language, including accent, speech rate, and speaking style. Because students frequently need to adjust to different linguistic forms that don't always match the formal model they learned in class, listening becomes difficult for many foreign language learners.

1.3 The Relationship Between Pronunciation and Listening

Research shows that listening and pronunciation skills have a strong reciprocal relationship. Rost (2011) states that effective oral comprehension depends on the ability to recognize sound patterns and the prosodic structure of language. When learners have a better understanding of pronunciation features such as stress, intonation, and connected speech, they more easily understand spoken conversation in the target language.

For example, in English, many words are linked when spoken in natural conversation, such as "going to" which is often heard as "gonna". If students are not familiar with these pronunciation patterns, they may have difficulty understanding rapid spoken speech. Therefore, including aspects of listening comprehension in pronunciation training can help improve their understanding of spoken English.

2. Challenges in Teaching Pronunciation and Listening

2.1 Phonetic Differences between L1 and L2

The phonetic distinctions between the target language (L2) and the native language (L1) present one of the biggest obstacles to teaching pronunciation and listening. Many students find it difficult to make or understand sounds that are absent from their mother tongue. The original language's phonological system frequently affects how people perceive and make sounds in a second language (Celce-Murcia, Brinton, & Goodwin, 2010). Additionally, Derwing & Munro (2015) underline that because students prefer to apply the prosodic principles of their native language to the target language, discrepancies in intonation patterns, stress, and rhythm between L1 and L2 can result in less effective listening comprehension. Field (2008) notes that learners frequently encounter additional obstacles due to phonetic variations in the target language, such as accents, elision (omission of sounds), and weak forms (reduced forms of function words), particularly if they are unfamiliar with the phenomenon of connected speech.

2.2 Fast Speech and Connected Speech Challenges

It can be difficult to teach pronunciation and listening to foreign language learners, especially when dealing with native speakers' rapid speech. Because their brains need more time to absorb linguistic information in real-time, learners may find it challenging to comprehend and effectively reproduce correct pronunciation patterns when speaking at high speech rates (Derwing & Munro, 2015). Furthermore, native speakers frequently employ contractions, elisions, and sound reductions in casual discussions,

which makes comprehension even more difficult for novices (Grant et al., 2020).

In order to overcome this problem, Levis (2018) suggests using "shadowing" strategies or technology-assisted repeated listening exercises, such as audio playback programs that can reduce recording speeds without changing intonation. These difficulties can be turned into chances to improve language proficiency in general.

2.3 Anxiety and Motivation in Learning

Learners' lack of confidence and motivation is one of the biggest obstacles to teaching pronunciation and listening. Because they fear making mistakes or being made fun of by others, many language learners are hesitant or ashamed to speak (Nation & Newton, 2020). Their ability to practice listening and speech successfully is frequently hampered by this dread. A major contributing element is also a lack of motivation, particularly if students do not immediately perceive the advantages of practicing their pronunciation and listening skills in their day-to-day activities (Dörnyei, 2019). Baker (2018) argues that encouraging a supportive learning atmosphere where mistakes are accepted as a normal part of learning, giving constructive criticism, and praising their efforts can all help students become more confident and motivated. With the correct strategy, educators can assist pupils in overcoming these mental obstacles.

3. Practical Techniques for Teaching Pronunciation

3.1 Building Awareness

Awareness is a crucial first step in teaching pronunciation. At this stage, students are invited to realize the importance of

pronunciation skills in effective communication and understand the key elements that influence the pronunciation of the target language. Without adequate awareness, students may not realize their mistakes or underestimate aspects of pronunciation such as phonetic sounds, intonation, and stress. According to Kelly (2016), awareness helps students recognize the differences between the sounds of their mother tongue and the target language so they can better focus on areas that need improvement. In addition, Underhill (2019) emphasizes that awareness also includes understanding how language sounds are physically produced through the position of the mouth, tongue, and airflow. By increasing awareness, students will be better prepared to practice pronunciation techniques effectively.

3.1.1 Minimal Pairs

One effective technique for increasing students' awareness of pronunciation is the use of minimal pairs. Minimal pairs are words that differ only in one phonetic sound but have different meanings, such as "ship" vs. "sheep". This technique helps students realize how important each sound is in the target language because a small error in pronunciation can change the meaning completely. For example, mispronouncing /ɪ/ as /i:/ can lead to misunderstandings in communication. By introducing minimal pairs, teachers can train students to listen and produce sounds more accurately. According to Gilakjani (2017), minimal pairs are very effective for training sound discrimination skills, especially for learners of English as a second language. This technique not only increases sensitivity to differences in sound but also helps students understand the relationship between sound and meaning, so they can be more confident in speaking.

3.1.2 Phonemic Chart (IPA)

Using a phonemic chart or IPA (International Phonetic Alphabet) is an important step to help students understand the sound system of a language systematically. Each phonetic symbol in IPA represents a particular sound, so students can learn to recognize and produce target language sounds more accurately. For example, the symbol /θ/ in IPA represents the sound in the word "think," which is often difficult for English learners. By introducing phonemic charts, teachers can provide visual guidance on how to produce certain sounds through the position of the mouth, tongue, and airflow. According to Baker (2018), phonemic charts help students identify sounds that are not in their native language, so they can focus on areas that need improvement. In addition, the use of phonemic charts also facilitates understanding of pronunciation variations in the target language, which ultimately improves students' overall pronunciation abilities.

3.1.3 Listening Discrimination

The listening discrimination technique involves listening practice to differentiate sounds or intonation in a real context. Students are trained to listen to audio recordings of native speakers and identify differences in pronunciation, such as stress, rhythm, and intonation. According to Grant et al. (2020), intensive listening discrimination training can help students differentiate difficult sounds and improve their understanding of target language pronunciation patterns. This technique also helps students reduce confusion when hearing native speakers' speaking speed, so they can be more

confident in communicating. By improving active listening skills, students can more easily understand pronunciation variations in everyday communication situations.

3.2 Practice

To improve pronunciation skills, systematic repetitive practice is needed. One of the main strategies in learning pronunciation is practice or exercises that focus on active sound production. This exercise aims to strengthen correct articulation habits so that students can speak more clearly and naturally. Some techniques that are often used in pronunciation training are drills and repetitions, tongue twisters, and shadowing.

3.2.1 Drills and Repetition

Drills and repetition are the most basic methods in pronunciation training. This technique involves repeating certain words or phrases over and over again to help students form the habit of pronouncing the sounds correctly. One form is listen-and-repeat, where the teacher or a recording of a native speaker pronounces words or sentences, and students imitate by emphasizing the accuracy of pronunciation, stress, and intonation. This exercise is effective in helping students improve individual sounds as well as suprasegmental patterns such as rhythm and intonation in English.

The repetition technique is also often used in combination with choral drilling, where a group of students repeat a word or phrase together before doing it individually. This gives students feel more confidence before they say it themselves. This repetition exercise is effective in

building muscle memory in the articulatory organs so that correct pronunciation becomes more automatic for the learner (Jenkins, 2021)

3.2.2 Tongue Twist

Tongue twisters are pronunciation exercises that involve phrases or sentences with similar sounds that are difficult to pronounce quickly and correctly. This exercise is useful in improving pronunciation clarity, speaking fluency, and strengthening the muscles used in the production of English sounds. Through these exercises, students learn to better coordinate the movements of the tongue, lips, and jaw, ultimately improving speaking accuracy and fluency. Apart from that, tongue twisters also help hone students' ability to differentiate consonant sounds that are often confused, such as /s/ and /ʃ/ in she vs. sea, or /p/ and /b/ in Peter vs. beater (Rogerson-Revell, 2018).

3.2.3 Shadowing

Shadowing is a pronunciation technique in which students listen to audio recordings of native speakers and immediately imitate the speech as quickly and accurately as possible. This technique emphasizes direct imitation without pauses so that students practice capturing intonation, rhythm, and stress patterns in speaking. Shadowing was first introduced in the context of interpreter training but is now widely used in pronunciation learning because it has been proven effective in improving speaking fluency (Lambert, 2019).

Several studies show that regular shadowing practice can increase speaking fluency while improving intonation and speaking

rhythm because students are exposed to suprasegmental patterns naturally (Hamada, 2021). With shadowing, students not only practice pronunciation, but also listening and speaking skills simultaneously, making it a very holistic technique in teaching pronunciation (Murphy, 2020).

3.3 Feedback

Feedback is an important component in learning pronunciation because it helps students understand their mistakes and correct pronunciation more effectively. In the context of teaching pronunciation, feedback must be direct, constructive, and continuous so that students can increase their accuracy and confidence in speaking.

3.3.1 Immediate correction

Immediate correction or direct correction is a strategy where the teacher immediately provides feedback when students make pronunciation errors. This technique allows students to realize their mistakes instantly and correct them immediately, thereby preventing the formation of incorrect pronunciation habits. Immediate correction can be done in various ways, such as explicit correction, where the teacher directly mentions the error and provides the correct form of pronunciation, or recasting, namely repeating the wrong word or phrase with the correct pronunciation naturally without interrupting the flow of communication (Lyster & Saito, 2019).

3.3.2 Record and Reflect

The record and reflect technique involve recording students' voices as they speak or read a particular text, which they then listen to again to evaluate their pronunciation. One of the main benefits of this technique is that it helps students develop their phonological awareness, which is an important step in improving independent pronunciation. Several studies show that students who regularly record and evaluate their pronunciation tend to improve more quickly than those who rely solely on teacher feedback (Thomson, 2021).

3.3.3. Peer Feedback

Peer feedback or feedback from peers is a strategy where students provide corrections and suggestions regarding each other's pronunciation. This technique can increase students' involvement in the learning process and build their linguistic awareness. In practice, peer feedback can be carried out in various formats, such as small group discussions, partner practice, or using a pronunciation evaluation rubric that has been prepared by the teacher (Tajeddin & Alemi, 2020).

3.3.4 Positive Reinforcement

Positive reinforcement refers to giving appreciation, praise, or incentives to students who show improvement or effort in their pronunciation. This technique is important for building students' self-confidence and motivating them to continue practicing. Research shows that students who receive positive reinforcement tend to be more confident and more motivated to practice pronunciation than

those who only receive negative correction without appreciation (Ellis, 2021).

4. Developing Listening Skills

4.1 Scaffolded Listening activities

Scaffolded Listening Activities is an approach to learning listening that aims to provide gradual support to students in understanding spoken text. This concept comes from the scaffolding theory introduced by Vygotsky (1978), which states that students can learn more effectively with assistance tailored to their needs. In the context of listening, scaffolding means providing temporary support, which is gradually reduced until students can understand spoken text independently.

4.1.1 Pre-Listening

The pre-listening stage aims to prepare students cognitively and linguistically before listening to spoken texts. This activity includes activating schemata by generating background knowledge, introducing key vocabulary, and providing clear listening goals. Some activities that can be carried out include a brief discussion about the topic, predicting the content of the text based on the title or image, and brainstorming words or phrases that might appear. This approach helps students connect new information with previous knowledge, so they are better prepared and focused on understanding spoken text.

4.1.2 While-Listening

The While-Listening stage focuses on the gradual processing and comprehension of spoken text. At this stage, students listen to

texts with a clear purpose, either to understand the main idea or to detect specific information. This process can be carried out through several screenings with different focuses, such as the first listening to understand the gist of the conversation, the second listening to identify important details, and the third listening to interpret the implied meaning. Activities that can be implemented include answering comprehension questions, recording important information, and completing *gap-fill* exercises or diagrams. With this strategy, students not only understand the content of the text more deeply but also develop active and analytical listening skills.

4.1.3 Post-Listening

The post-listening stage aims to strengthen students' understanding of spoken texts and connect them with other language skills, such as speaking, reading, and writing. At this stage, students reflect on the information they have heard, discuss deeper meaning, and evaluate their understanding. Some activities that can be carried out include summarizing the contents of the text orally or in writing, discussing opinions related to the topics heard, and carrying out role plays or simulations based on the information in the text. Additionally, advanced exercises such as writing responses or analyzing text structures can also help students develop critical thinking skills. Thus, this stage not only ensures deeper understanding but also encourages active and contextual use of language.

4.2 Developing Listening through Authentic Materials

The use of authentic materials in listening lessons allows learners to be exposed to the language as it is used in real life, thereby

improving their listening comprehension skills and communication abilities (Berardo, 2006). Authentic materials, such as interviews, podcasts, news broadcasts, or film dialogue, help students become accustomed to natural speech rates, accent variations, and complex language structures, which are often not found in materials created for language learners (Mishan & Timmis, 2015). Additionally, the use of authentic materials provides a richer cultural context, which is important in understanding implicit meanings and idiomatic expressions (Guariento & Morley, 2001). However, the main challenge in using authentic materials is the complexity of the language which can be difficult for beginning level learners. To overcome this, educators can select material appropriate to students' proficiency levels, provide transcripts, or use staged listening techniques, such as playback of certain passages with a focus on particular vocabulary or structures (Rogers & Medley, 1988). With the application of appropriate strategies, authentic materials can improve students' listening skills more effectively and help them adapt to real communication situations.

4.3 Using Technology to Enhance Listening Skills

Technology has opened up new opportunities for improving listening skills by providing digital resources such as podcasts, learning videos, and interactive applications. These materials allow learners to expose themselves to a variety of accents, speaking rates, and more authentic communication contexts (Vandergrift & Goh, 2012). In addition, features such as closed captions and audio slowdown options help improve comprehension by providing visual support for spoken information (Winke, Gass, & Sydorenko, 2010).

The development of artificial intelligence (AI) also contributes to listening learning through virtual assistants and chatbots based on NLP (natural language processing), which enables more realistic and adaptive interactions according to learner needs (Godwin-Jones, 2018). While technology offers many benefits, its effectiveness depends on integration with appropriate teaching strategies to truly support the long-term development of listening skills.

DEVELOPING SPEAKING AND WRITING SKILLS

Due to the widespread worldwide impact of English, competency in both oral and written communication is becoming increasingly essential today. Proficiency in English enhances engagement in multiple fields of activity. Consequently, teachers play an essential role in developing this competence in students. To achieve instructional efficacy, teachers need beneficial strategies that mirror the evolving nature of real interaction. Moreover, modern students must be prepared to meet many communicative requirements, encompassing formal presentations, academic discussions, and casual internet exchanges.

This chapter delves into the rationale for prioritizing strong English language skills in the class, especially the productive skills in English which are speaking and writing. Furthermore, it provides teachers with several practical, easily implementable strategies to improve their students' productive skills. Executing interactive exercises and collaborative projects is frequently more efficacious than dependence on conventional lecture-based instruction (Brown, 2001). The primary aim is to support teachers in developing proficient, confident, and adaptive English users who are fully equipped to participate in a globally interconnected society.

1. Foundational Principles: Understanding Speaking and Writing Development

1.1 Interconnectedness and Differences: Speaking vs. Writing

Speaking and writing are fundamental skills associated with English language proficiency. Each has distinct elements that facilitate overall language acquisition. Speaking, frequently the initial language skill acquired, is essential (Meisel, 2011). It allows students to participate and improve their vocabulary and grammar in a dynamic atmosphere.

Speaking and writing both require basic cognitive skills, including audience consideration and idea organization. This link

suggests that one ability might be improved by means of another. Speaking regularly helps one improve clarity in writing and vice versa. Their ways of expression, however, are somewhat different (Zarina & Rashidovna, 2023). Speech is mostly aural and transient and depends much on intonation, tone, and body language. Conversely, writing is permanent and visual. It requires more clarity and explicitness.

These vary, too, in their implementation. Often casual and simple, spoken English makes use of colloquialisms and lets disruptions be accepted. Writing usually requires sophisticated sentence construction and grammatical accuracy to express complicated thoughts. Speaking provides instantaneous engagement and feedback; writing provides chances for introspection and editability. English teachers must acknowledge these differences to design successful instructional plans. Understanding the special qualities and connectivity of writing and speaking helps teachers create a comprehensive language education strategy, raising student competency (Dewi & Muslim, 2024).

1.2 Key Elements of Effective Speaking and Writing

Effective teaching strategies that enhance comprehension and engagement among learners in a variety of situations require a thorough understanding of the basic elements and linkages between spoken and written communication. Speaking is mostly an open and dynamic process (Burns, 2016) . Excellent speaking requires a speaker's ability to control actual interactions. Speakers who have accuracy and fluency can communicate their ideas in aligning with grammatical rules. Correct grammar and a strong vocabulary let one exactly convey challenging thoughts; pronunciation and intonation are therefore essential for clarity and efficient delivery of ideas.

Discourse management, or the ability to rationally organize spoken conversation, is still another essential element. Nonverbal clues like body language and facial expressions help understand verbal communication. Effective communication requires audience awareness—adjusting one's language to the environment and speaker. It means changing communication strategies for superiors rather than peers.

When compared to other forms of expression, writing affords the opportunity for intentional thought and revision. Clarity and coherence are key. The reader must easily perceive the logical development of concepts. Grammar and mechanics (punctuation, spelling, and syntactic structure) are essential for precise and intelligible written communication. An extensive vocabulary and a suitably adjusted stylistic register improve the effectiveness of written communication. Effective organization — the planned arrangement of paragraphs, topic sentences, and transitional phrases — helps the reader understand the writer's intended message. The thorough analysis of the intended audience and purpose is an essential element of effective writing, significantly influencing all facets of the writing process. The writing process, which includes planning, drafting, revising, and editing, is an essential skill set for creating polished and influential written works (Din et al., 2021; Graham & Sandmel, 2011) .

Despite their differences, both writing and speaking are comparable. Both skills depend on vocabulary learning to provide the lexicon needed for conveyed accuracy (Dhuli et al., 2023; Fajri Nugraheni et al., 2024; Khan, 2024; Ratnaningsih & Clara, 2021). Although grammar differs in speech and writing, it serves as the fundamental structural foundation for both forms of communication.

Furthermore, consistent and well-organized written and spoken communication are essential for delivering an effective message. By designing integrated learning experiences that acknowledge these common language and cognitive basis, teachers may improve these important skills in both areas (Hubert, 2011; Usman & Mahmud, 2024).

The responsibilities of language teachers go beyond only teaching vocabulary and grammatical rules. The development of confident and skilled oral and written English communicators should be our educational goal. By placing focus on the basic elements listed above—fluency, accuracy, clarity, organization, and audience awareness—the teachers can design interesting and successful instructional interventions. This approach goes beyond a prescriptive emphasis on linguistic structures, allowing students to use the English language competently across a variety of communication contexts and preparing them for academic and professional success.

1.3 Common Challenges

1.3.1 Typical learner challenges in speaking and writing

English learners have a complex set of difficulties reaching mastery in both spoken and written English. The learner's first language (L1), background, past educational experiences, age of acquisition, and personal learning style all play roles in these difficulties. Although each student has unique challenges, several trends and common impediments show up across many degrees of skill. Effective language instruction depends on proactively addressing these shared difficulties and helping English learners successfully fit into social and academic settings.

Speaking-wise, one of the most common difficulties is reaching fluency and preserving a natural flow of speech (Chin et al., 2024; Wahyudin et al., 2024). Often from limited vocabulary, trouble quickly retrieving words or fear of making grammatical mistakes, many English learners battle with hesitancy, repeated stops, and self-corrections. Another important obstacle is pronunciation. Even with perfect grammatical construction, interference from the L1 sound system can cause mispronunciations that compromise intelligibility. Also, many students might not have easy access to the experience and practice they need to learn idioms, phrasal verbs, and colloquial language, all of which are necessary for speaking naturally. Lastly, it can be hard to take part in group discussions or give presentations because students need to be able to quickly process information, come up with natural responses, and change to different speaking styles.

Writing offers different difficulties that sometimes reflect and magnify those faced in speaking. Typical areas of difficulty are grammatical precision, especially in verb tense, subject-verb agreement, article use, and preposition choice (Bulqiyah et al., 2021; Demneri, 2024; Sa'adan et al., 2024). In longer, more complex phrases, students may struggle to apply advanced grammatical rules. A small vocabulary can limit speaking and lead to repeated or inaccurate terminology. Students with different first language writing systems find it challenging to meet academic writing requirements in English. Students with various first-language writing systems struggle most. Topic sentences, essay or report logic, and transitions are covered.

Cultural differences affect writing and speaking beyond language and grammar (Ali et al., 2015; Tang, 2019). Cultures differ in directness, indirectness, formality, and rhetorical approaches.

Accidental pragmatic English infractions might cause miscommunication or unwanted behavior. A student from an indirect culture may struggle with English-speaking academic settings' directness. Cultural differences in reasoning or persuasive strategies may affect writing style, resulting in essays that English-speaking educators find unsuitable.

Overcoming these obstacles requires a multifarious strategy, including specific instruction, lots of practice chances, helpful comments, and exposure to actual language use. While creating a motivating learning environment, teachers must also provide particular instruction in grammar, vocabulary, pronunciation, and discourse strategies. To enable English learners' linguistic and academic accomplishment, it is critical to first recognize their common challenges and then provide training to their specific requirements.

1.3.2 Educator challenges in teaching effectively (time, class size, levels, assessment)

Although English language learners have many obstacles to reach competency, teachers themselves find great difficulty imparting speaking and writing abilities. These difficulties are sometimes complicated and result from limitations in the educational system, the different requirements of students, and the natural difficulty of evaluating communicative competency. Understanding these pedagogical obstacles is crucial for developing effective teacher training programs, designing appropriate curricula, and advocating for supportive educational policies.

A predominant limitation is restricted teaching time (Sukyadi & Hakim, 2023). Language acquisition is a progressive process that involves much practice and tailored feedback. Educators usually

confront the issue of completing an assigned curriculum within a limited time, which often leaves insufficient time for focused speaking and writing tasks. This is especially troublesome in instances when English is taught as a foreign language (EFL) and there is limited exposure outside the classroom. A predilection for receptive skills over productive ones is often the result of the challenge of reconciling the necessity of cultivating productive skills such as speaking and writing with the difficulty of reconciling grammar instruction, vocabulary enhancement, reading comprehension, and listening abilities.

The class size presents even another major obstacle (Nurfadila et al., 2024). Large class numbers seriously affect the ability to provide individualized attention and feedback, which are absolutely essential for improving writing and speaking abilities. Having all students participate in meaningful speaking events causes logistical challenges; offering thorough written remarks on several essays or articles is somewhat time-consuming. This frequently results in dependence on less effective, whole-class activities or rudimentary evaluation techniques that may not correctly represent individual student development or requirements. The substantial workload can also lead to teacher burnout and diminished efficacy.

The diversity of student levels within a same classroom hampers instruction (Shanta, 2014). Students may exhibit significantly varied levels of proficiency in speaking and writing, from novices with restricted vocabulary and grammatical accuracy to advanced learners aiming to enhance their fluency and stylistic sophistication. Adapting instruction to accommodate the requirements of all learners necessitates much planning, ingenuity,

and pedagogical proficiency. Designing activities that are both demanding and accessible for all students, while offering suitable scaffolding for those who are struggling and while engaging advanced learners, is an ongoing balancing act.

There are some problems with accuracy and judging speech and writing skills (Rasyid et al., 2023). Standard ways of testing, like grammar quizzes and multiple-choice tests, don't always take into account how complex communicative ability is. Real assessment tasks, like essays and public presentations, need to be carefully planned out and graded using clear, objective criteria. It is hard to make sure that subjective skills like fluency, coherence, and proper language use can be measured in a way that is both reliable and valid. Also, giving students immediate and helpful comments that helps them improve, rather than just giving them a grade, takes a lot of time and work, which adds to the already heavy workload of teachers.

2. Developing Speaking Skills: Strategies and Techniques for the Classroom

2.1 Creating a Supportive and Engaging Speaking Environment

Creating a classroom atmosphere that actively promotes and supports spoken English growth is crucial to the success of English language learners. A supportive environment goes beyond merely being nice and needs consciously planning encounters and activities to eliminate fear, encourage engagement, and facilitate meaningful communication. This requires a deliberate effort by the teachers to develop standards, identify appropriate resources, and execute realistic teaching methodologies. The goal is to shift the classroom from an environment of possible anxiety and hesitancy to one in which

students feel comfortable taking risks and actively participating in spoken English.

A comfortable speaking setting requires little anxiety. Many Learners have great anxiety when speaking in a foreign language, since they are afraid of criticism, humiliation, or inadequacy. Teachers may reduce this fear by setting clear goals, promoting effort and improvement above perfection, and encouraging student support and respect. A teaching environment that regards mistakes as learning opportunities rather than guilt-inducing incidents is crucial (Köpfer, 2022). This may involve openly discussing language acquisition errors and showing positive responses from teachers and students.

Specific teaching methods increase student confidence and interest. Collective pair work and small group exercises are especially effective because they make speaking English less intimidating than whole-class interactions (Fitrianti, 2021; Rianti et al., 2022). These smaller groups allow more individual speaking opportunities and reduce the burden of larger audiences. Sensitive and encouraging feedback is essential. Concentrating on progress and celebrating triumphs boosts student confidence and skill development. Error correction should prioritize communication over grammatical correctness, especially in early language acquisition. More subtle, indirect adjustments can be more effective than disruptive ones.

Using real-world projects and resources is a great way to boost interest and motivation (Mokalu, 2022; Shin, 2018). Bringing classroom activities to life by relating them to students' interests and lifestyles makes learning more meaningful and applicable. Using realia—daily objects—such as news stories, podcasts, movies, and real-life events—students can practice interacting in settings outside

of the classroom. When given assignments that reflect real-life events—such as ordering a dinner, seeking directions, or attending a job interview—students can engage in meaningful and deliberate language practices.

Finally, a thoughtfully designed speaking space has been put in place. Teachers can make their classrooms more welcoming and interesting places to learn by reducing students' fear, using proven strategies, and relating classroom material to real-world events. As a result, students will be able to participate more actively, build their skills, and become better communicators.

2.2 Practical Strategies to develop students' speaking skills

2.2.1 Information gaps activities

Participating in information gap activities is a great way for students to practice speaking in front of others. Students are motivated to engage in the discussion by creating a sense that they are truly required to speak up, rather than merely listening and taking notes. The activities enable teachers to focus on teaching specific skills such as explaining, clarifying, and negotiating meaning throughout class (Kaur & Chan, 2022).

Teachers have a vital role in ensuring that students benefit from information gap activities (Almziad, 2020). Making adjustments based on students' individual interests and language skills should be the primary objective. Giving students specific instructions and setting a good example can help them grasp the role and its requirements. Teachers might circulate among students as they complete the activity, offering praise and suggestions. Teachers might use this information to focus on students' areas of weakness or often made mistakes in future classes. Teachers can also encourage student

agency in the classroom by stressing the importance of self-reflection and peer criticism.

Even though information gap activities have great benefits, some challenges must be expected. Some students might, for instance, dominate the conversation while others stay silent. Teachers can address this by implementing techniques such as assigning specific assignments or putting time constraints to ensure equitable participation. Another potential problem is that the work's linguistic requirements can be too much for some students. In order to help their students, teachers could give them sentence starters or teach them crucial vocabulary words ahead of time. Moreover, by letting students review and edit their works before speaking presentation, online collaborative papers may help to lower anxiety. Teachers who anticipate such problems and apply appropriate solutions might create an interesting and motivating classroom where students might develop their speaking skills.

2.2.2 Role play

Through role-plays that simulate real-world scenarios, students possess an effective method for enhancing their speaking skills (Neupane, 2019; Pinatih, 2021). By adopting diverse roles, they can practice functional language and enhance their confidence in speaking English for practical purposes such as ordering food, asking directions, or attending job interviews. This active participation helps students to use their language knowledge in a more real-world setting and surpass mere memorization. For shy students especially, the contemporary adaption of including technology—such as online video conferences for role-plays—can be quite helpful since it offers a less threatening setting to practice speaking. Moreover, basing scenarios

on present online interactions—such as handling customer service or engaging in online forums—helps students to become more relevant and involved.

Maximizing the results of role-plays depends much on teachers. Particularly important are careful preparation and planning. Teachers should choose or design situations that fit the language level and life of their students, therefore modeling desired conduct and provide precise directions. Students must be free to take chances and make mistakes in a safe and encouraging classroom. Following the role-play, teachers can also offer comments with an eye toward both areas of strength and development. By use of peer observation and comments, students may learn from one another, therefore improving the educational process.

For all the good things about role-plays, there could be some problems. Some students might feel shy or nervous when they have to perform in front of their peers. Teachers might start with easier activities like pair projects and then move on to bigger group role-plays. Another issue that can arise is certain students could find it difficult to apply the required vocabulary from the scenarios.

Teachers might teach critical words and phrases ahead of time or assign sentence starters and other useful resources to assist students develop scaffolding. Online role-playing can help reduce students' anxiety and enable students practice without being with others (Satria & Herumurti, 2021). Anticipating issues and planning how to address them help teachers ensure that every student has a suitable space to learn.

2.2.3 Discussions & Debates

Effective strategies for increasing students' speaking skills are organized discussions and debates. They let students share ideas, voice opinions, and practice critical thinking (Naqia et al., 2023). Particularly debates help students to develop arguments, defend their points of view, and challenge those of others. This demanding process encourages them to speak well and think critically. Including online forums for asynchronous conversations gives students time to create thoughtful remarks and accommodate different learning environments, therefore adding a modern element. This also produces a record of the conversation that might be helpful for review and introspection.

Teachers are essential to make sure the debates and discussions run well. Topics must be interesting and relevant to engage students. Debate topics related to current events make it more relevant to students. Teachers should also set explicit participation regulations, including respectful conversation (Darby, 2007; Uwamariya & Ngoboka, 2024). Using varied discussion styles, like the "fishbowl debate," helps keep things lively. Teachers should moderate the discussion or debate to ensure that all students speak and keep the conversation on track. They can evaluate students speaking, reasoning, and critical thinking.

Implementing structured conversations and debates might be challenging. Some students may be hesitant to speak in front of their classmates, but others may dominate the discussion. To address these difficulties, teachers can foster a friendly and inclusive classroom environment in which students feel free to share their thoughts. They can also employ techniques like think-pair-share or small group

conversations to gain confidence before going on to bigger group debates. Another potential issue is that some students may struggle to build arguments or provide evidence to back up their ideas. Teachers can give scaffolding by teaching argumentation skills, demonstrating excellent arguments, and giving research resources.

Teachers can create a beneficial learning experience by predicting probable problems and using suitable tactics to improve students' speaking and critical thinking skills. Some students may also struggle with the situations' language demands. In order to build scaffolding, teachers can provide students with sentence starters and other resources, such as pre-teaching key words and phrases. Additionally, online role-playing provides opportunities for asynchronous practice and aids in anxiety reduction. When teachers are able to anticipate problems and implement appropriate solutions, they provide an optimal learning environment for all students.

2.2.4 Storytelling

A time-honored tradition, storytelling offers students an interesting approach to increase their fluency and inventiveness. Picture prompts give students a visual basis for their ideas, therefore enabling them to structure their stories and express themselves more confidently. The contemporary form of digital storytelling tools enhances this practice by allowing students create multimedia presentations integrating spoken language with visual and auditory elements (Khotimah & Ningrum, 2022). This multimodal approach enhances participation and allows students to cultivate essential digital literacy skills. Incorporating elements of modern digital culture, such as meme-based storytelling, aligns with students' current interests and enhances the relevance and enjoyment of the

activity. In the classroom, cooperative storytelling—where students help one other to develop a narrative—fosters community and teamwork.

Effective storytelling events depend much on teachers' ability to organize. They can start by carefully choosing relevant and interesting visual prompts for students' language level and interests. It's imperative to offer precise rules and models of successful narrative. Teachers can also expose their students to several digital storytelling tools and assist in their application. It is of the utmost importance that a classroom be maintained in a helpful and encouraging manner so that students are encouraged to freely share their stories and take risks. Teachers should focus on the delivery of the stories as well as the content of the stories when providing constructive critique (Kurniawan, 2021). Students have the opportunity to learn from one another and refine their narrative skills through the use of peer comments, which contribute to an even more improved learning experience.

Storytelling has many benefits but also challenges. Some students struggle to generate or organize thoughts. Teachers might handle this by setting up visual organizers and narrative prompts or by helping students to brainstorm in class. One such problem is some students' resistance to tell their story in front of their peers. Teachers can design the classroom such that it supports diversity and safety, therefore motivating students to express themselves without restrictions. Small group storytelling or letting students record their stories privately could start confidence building. Teachers and students alike find technical problems with digital storytelling tools to be frustrating. Should technological problems arise, teachers could

offer substitute plans or technical assistance. By foreseeing possible difficulties and putting suitable answers into action, teachers can build a good and rich story experience for every student.

2.2.5 Presentations

Presentations play a crucial role in developing students' communication skills. They teach students how to structure and convey information effectively, focusing on essential aspects such as public speaking, engaging the audience, and incorporating interactive elements. Students enhance their organizational skills by designing and delivering captivating presentations and learning to articulate their thoughts clearly. This experience boosts their confidence and prepares them for future professional interactions (Indriyani et al., 2024; Pathak & Vasan, 2015), where effective communication is vital.

Teachers can assist students in order to have good presentations. Beginning with the foundations, students can learn how to organize material, use images, and speak using pictures. Well-defined test guidelines and rubrics enable students to understand expectations. Teachers can also offer useful notes and demonstrate exceptional presenting techniques. In the classroom, a safe setting for youngsters to present is vital. Motivational students should encourage others to get peer comments and consider themselves to grow personally.

Even though talk is a great way to learn, they can also be challenging. When students have to present in front of a group, some may get anxious or develop stage fright. Teachers can help with this by providing students with techniques to deal with anxiety, like deep breathing exercises or imagining peaceful scenarios. It can also build confidence by giving short speeches in a less formal context. Another

issue that could arise is that students may find it difficult to arrange their ideas or create intriguing materials. Giving students graphic organizers or templates for presentation planning will benefit them. They can also provide study and writing tools. It can also be frustrating when there are technical problems with presentation software or tools. Should technological issues arise, teachers should have backup plans ready to assist their students through them. Anticipating these issues and applying the appropriate strategies will help teachers ensure that every one of their students presents well.

2.3 The Use of Technology In Developing Speaking Skills

Speaking English well is important in today's world because everything is linked. However, traditional ways of teaching languages, which often focus on memorization and grammatical exercises, may not really help people develop their ability to communicate. Luckily, technology has made it possible to change the way people learn English from passively taking it in to actively participating and interacting with others. By using technology, teachers can go beyond the limits of traditional methods and help all of their students reach their full speaking potential.

Voice Recording and feedback, enabled by accessible technology such as cell phones and speech recording applications, provide a potent dual strategy. In the first place, it encourages reflection. Students can quickly evaluate themselves when they record themselves (Zulhermindra & Hadiarni, 2020). They actively listen to their own speech and mark the parts of their language, fluency, intonation, and grammar that need work. Teachers could make this process easier by giving clear criteria for self-evaluation. It also makes it easier for teachers to give each student specific comments. A lot of

the time, teachers can give more thorough and interesting feedback over the phone than in writing. They can show how to say words properly, give specific ways to get better, and praise skills in a way that is more personal and encouraging. Voice-to-text software can also connect spoken and written language, which helps students understand how their speech fits (or doesn't fit) with standard forms.

Creating videos takes this idea further by combining spoken and seen conversation. Students improve their speaking skills and important digital skills by making short videos, like vlogs about their daily lives, lessons on their favorite topics, mock interviews, or short plays (Menggo et al., 2022). This is especially important in today's world, where videochatting is becoming more and more common. Teachers can encourage students to use well-known platforms like TikTok or Instagram Reels while following privacy and safety rules. This will make the activity more interesting and relevant. Planning, scripting, filming, and editing help to enhance organizing and problem-solving skills, therefore producing a complete learning experience. The teacher's responsibilities include organizing (such as topic suggestions, time restrictions, and language goals), providing comments on both content and delivery, and establish a motivating environment in which students feel free to test their language ability.

Online language exchange programs, such as HelloTalk and Tandem, provide numerous opportunities for meaningful connection. Thus, speaking practice moves beyond the artificial constraints of the classroom and into genuine debates (Zhou, 2023). Students can participate in dialog with native English speakers, gaining exposure to diverse dialects, colloquialisms, and cultural subtleties that are difficult to recreate in a typical classroom context. Teachers can teach

their students how to start and keep conversations going, ask for explanations, and deal with interruptions in communication.

Interactive whiteboards and online equivalents like Jamboard and Miro are potent tools for converting conventional classroom activities into dynamic, interactive experiences. Instead of just sitting there and listening to lectures, students can take part in brainstorming sessions, exercises that help them learn new words, interactive presentations, and group stories. The visual and interactive features of these tools work with a variety of learning styles and keep students interested (Pratama et al., 2024). An educator could use a Jamboard to help students work together to make a mind map of terms related to a certain topic. The educator could then ask the students to use that word in short conversations. The most important thing is to use technology to improve active participation and group learning, which makes it easier for students to talk to each other.

Podcasts and other audio content, like news clips and interviews, let students hear real people using language in real life situations. This gives great examples of how to pronounce words, change accent, and speak naturally (Fachriza et al., 2023). Teachers can pick podcasts based on their students' interests and level of skill, and then they can plan tasks that get students talking. Students can think about what they've read, pick out the most important ideas, talk about different points of view, or make podcast episodes as a response. This improves speaking skills while also building critical listening and comprehension skills that are essential for good communication.

When teachers utilize the internet to "visit" intriguing areas, they can embark on virtual field trips that are ideal for practicing

**UNTUK PEMESANAN BUKU INI BISA MENGHUBUNGI
PIHAK PENERBIT (PT AKSELERASI KARYA MANDIRI)
MELALUI:**

Website:

www.fill.my.id

publisher.fill.my.id

Informasi Penerbitan Buku dan HKI:

Group Kolaborasi Menulis:

s.id/AKM_Group

Pengiriman Naskah:

s.id/PT-AKM

Contact:

Email: official.fill.my.id@gmail.com

WA: 08-2323-7246-71

Call Only: 08-2242-6626-04

References

- Abdelaziz, H. A., & Al Zehmi, O. (2021). E-cognitive scaffolding: does it have an impact on the English grammar competencies of middle school underachieving students? *Open Learning: The Journal of Open, Distance and e-Learning*, 36(1), 5–28. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1080/02680513.2020.1774356>
- AbdulRab, H. (2023). *Teacher Professional Development in the 21st Century*. African Journal of Education and Practice, 9(4), 39–51.
- Abourehab, M. A., & Azaz, A. M. (2023). The effectiveness of the direct method in teaching English as a foreign language. *International Journal of English Linguistics*, 13(2), 1-10.
- Adeoye, M and Adong, C. (2023). The Power of Precision: Why Your Research Focus Should be SMART? *Journal of Education Action Research*, 7 (4), 569-577.
- Agbevivi, S. L. G. (2024). Innovative Strategies for Addressing Diverse Learning Needs in Ghanaian English Language Classrooms. *ELE Reviews: English Language Education Reviews*, 4(2), 162-180.
- Aisyiyah, S., Novawan, A., Dewangga, V., & Binarkaheni, S. (2024). Teaching grammar by using technologies: unlocking language pedagogical potential. *Journal of English in Academic and Professional Communication JEAPCO*, 10(1), 36–45. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.25047/jeapco.v10i1.4615>
- Ali, S., Kazemian, B., & Hussain Mahar, I. (2015). Culture in Second Language Learning The Importance of Culture in Second and Foreign Language Learning. *Dinamika Ilmu*, 15(1), 1–10.
- Almziad, A. (2020). The Reviewing of Benefits of Information Gap Activities in Teaching Second Language Situation. In *International Journal of Management and Human Science (IJMHS)* (Vol. 4, Issue 4).
- Al-rawahi, N. M., & Al-balushi, S. M. (2015). The Effect of Reflective Science Journal Writing o n Students ' Self-Regulated Learning

Strategies. *International Journal of Environmental & Science Education*, 10(3), 367–379.
<https://doi.org/10.12973/ijese.2015.250a>

Al-Subaiei, M. S. (2017). Challenges in mixed ability classes and strategies utilized by ELI teachers to cope with them. *English Language Teaching*, 10(6), 182.
<https://doi.org/10.5539/elt.v10n6p182>

Andrade, H. G. (2005). Teaching with Rubrics: The Good, the Bad, and the Ugly. *College Teaching*.

Angraini, D., Sulistiyo, U., Haryanto, E., & Riady, Y. (2024). Integration of Technology in EFL Writing Instruction: A Systematic Review of Insights from SIELE Journal Articles. *PPSDP International Journal of Education*, 3(2), 302–320.

Ardi, P., & Rianita, E. (2022). Leveraging gamification into EFL grammar class to boost student engagement. *Teaching English with Technology*, 22(2), 90–114.
<https://www.ceeol.com/search/article-detail?id=1063525>

Ariesta, F. W., Maftuh, B., Sapriya, & Syaodih, E. (2024). The Effectiveness of Virtual Tour Museums on Student Engagement in Social Studies Learning in Elementary Schools. *Jurnal Ilmiah Sekolah Dasar*, 8(1), 45–53.
<https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.23887/jisd.v8i1.67726>

Aslanoglu, A. E. (2022). Examining the effect of peer and self-assessment practices on writing skills. *International Journal of Assessment Tools in Education*, 9, 179–196.
<https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.21449/ijate.1127815>

Aziz, F. I. A., & Ahmad, U. K. (2017). Persuasive Writing: How Students Argue. *Sains Humanika*, May, 19–32.
<https://doi.org/10.11113/sh.v9n4-2.1356>

Bacca, J., Baldiris, S., Fabregat, R., Graf, S., & Kinshuk. (2020). Augmented Reality Trends in Education Revisited: A Systematic Review. *IEEE Access*, 8, 165943–165957.

- Bachman, L. F., & Palmer, A. S. (1996). *Language testing in practice: Designing and developing useful language tests* (Vol. 1). Oxford University Press.
- Bates, A. W. (2015). *Teaching in a Digital Age: Guidelines for Designing Teaching and Learning*. Vancouver, BC: Tony Bates Associates Ltd.
- Baker, W. (2015). *Culture and identity through English as a lingua franca: Rethinking concepts and goals in intercultural communication* (Vol. 8). Walter de Gruyter GmbH & Co KG.
- Baker, J. (2018). *Motivation and the psychology of language learning: A guide for teachers*. Routledge.
- Banks, J. A. (2015). *Cultural diversity and education: Foundations, curriculum, and teaching*. Routledge.
- Beck, I. L., McKeown, M. G., & Kucan, L. (2002). *Bringing words to life: Robust vocabulary instruction*. Guilford Press.
- Benson, P. (2013). *Teaching and researching: Autonomy in language learning*. Routledge.
- Berardo, S. A. (2006). The Use of Authentic Materials in the Teaching of Reading. *The Reading Matrix*, 6(2), 60-69.
- Black, P., & Wiliam, D. (1998). Inside the Black Box: Raising Standards Through Classroom Assessment. *Phi Delta Kappan*.
- Bikowski, D. (2018). Technology for teaching grammar. *The TESOL Encyclopedia of English Language Teaching*, 6, 1-7. <https://doi.org/DOI: 10.1002/9781118784235.eelt0441>
- Black, P., & Wiliam, D. (2018). Classroom assessment and pedagogy. *Assessment in education: Principles, policy & practice*, 25(6), 551-575.
- Borg, M. (2001). Key concepts in ELT. Teachers' beliefs. *ELT Journal*, 55(2), 186-188.

- Boud, D. (1995). Enhancing Learning Through Self-Assessment. Kogan Page.
- Brandt, C. (2006). Success On Your Certificate Course In English Language Teaching a Guide to Becoming a Teacher In ELT/TESOL. SAGE Publication.
- Brandão, S., Sousa, S., & Oliveira, I. (2021). Teaching English as a foreign language: A case study on the direct method. *Education Sciences*, 11(12), 803.
- Brookhart, S. M. (2017). How to Give Effective Feedback to Your Students*. ASCD.
- Brown, H. D., & Abeywickrama, P. (2010). Language Assessment: Principles and Classroom Practices. Pearson Education.
- Brown, H. D. (2001). *Teaching by principles: An interactive approach to language pedagogy* (2nd ed.). Longman.
- Brown, H. D. (2001). Teaching by Principles an Interactive Approach to Language Pedagogy (2nd ed., Vol. 9). Longman.
- Brown, H. D. (2014). *Principles of language learning and teaching: A course in second language acquisition*. Pearson.
- Brown, H. D., & Abeywickrama, P. (2019). *Language assessment: Principles and classroom practices*. Pearson.
- Brown, H. D., & Lee, H. (2015). *Teaching by principles: An interactive approach to language pedagogy*. Pearson.
- Brown, J., Thomas, M., & Wang, Y. (2020). Digital Assessment in Language Education: Opportunities and Challenges. *Journal of Language Teaching and Research*, 11(3), 45-56.
- Bulqiyah, S., Mahbub, Moh. A., & Nugraheni, D. A. (2021). Investigating writing difficulties in essay writing: Tertiary students' perspectives. *English Language Teaching Educational Journal*, 4(1), 61. <https://doi.org/10.12928/eltej.v4i1.2371>

- Burns, A. (2016). Teaching speaking: Towards a holistic approach. <https://www.researchgate.net/publication/314545785>
- Cafe, E. F. L. (2024). *Mastering English grammar: a comprehensive guide for learners and teachers*. <https://eflcafe.net/mastering-english-grammar-a-comprehensive-guide-for-learners-and-teachers/>
- Canagarajah, S. (2006). Changing communicative needs, revised assessment objectives: Testing English as an international language. *Language Assessment Quarterly: An International Journal*, 3(3), 229-242.
- Canagarajah, S. (2012). *Translingual practice: Global Englishes and cosmopolitan relations*. Routledge.
- Canagarajah, S. (Ed.). (2017). *The Routledge handbook of migration and language*. Taylor & Francis.
- Cai, Y., & Hwang, G. J. (2020). Effects of augmented reality-based language learning on learners' motivation and learning achievement. *Interactive Learning Environments*, 28(7), 830-842.
- Cambridge University Press. (2023). DELTA handbook for tutors and candidates.
- Cambridge University Press. (2024). Syllabus and assessment guidelines
- Celce-Murcia, M., Brinton, D. M., & Goodwin, J. M. (2010). Teaching Pronunciation: A Course Book and Reference Guide. Cambridge University Press.
- Celce-Murcia, M., Brinton, D. M., & Goodwin, J. M. (2010). *Teaching pronunciation: A course book and reference guide* (2nd ed.). Cambridge University Press.
- Chapelle, C., & Shannon, S. (2017). The handbook of technology and second language teaching and learning.

- Chapelle, C. A., & Voss, K. (2019). *Technology for L2 Assessment: Insights from Research and Practice*. New York: Routledge.
- Chen, B. (2021). Virtual reality in language education: Opportunities and challenges. *Journal of Educational Technology Systems*, 49(3), 278–295. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0047239520963544>
- Chen, X., Wang, Y., & Zhang, L. (2021). Virtual Reality in Language Learning: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *Computers & Education*, 160, 104025.
- Chen, Y., Wang, J., & Jiang, S. (2020). Digital Storytelling as a Tool for Language Learning and Assessment. *Journal of Educational Technology Systems*, 48(3), 365-382.
- Chen, X. (2024). Fostering Excellence in Language Education Through Teacher Professional Development. In *SHS Web of Conferences* (Vol. 187, p. 02012). EDP Sciences.
- Chico, T. L. L. (2024). Considerations on competencies in teaching and learning the English language. *Revista Científica de Innovación Educativa y Sociedad Actual" ALCON"*, 4(3), 145-156.
- Chin, P., Ban, T., Em, S., & Ork, Y. (2024). Factors causing students' challenges in learning English speaking skills: A review. *Cambodian Journal of Educational and Social Sciences (CJESS)*, 1(1), xx-xx. <https://doi.org/10.13140/RG.2.2.31342.63040>
- Cook, G. E. (1981). Collaboration, Change and Concern: Professional Development through teacher centers. *English education*, 13 (2), 97-104.
- Crisp, G. T. (2021). Assessment Practices in Digital Learning Environments. *Educational Technology Research and Development*, 69(4), 123-135.
- Crystal, D. (2018). *The Cambridge encyclopedia of the English language*. Cambridge university press.
- Darby, M. (2007). Debate: A Teaching-Learning Strategy for Developing Competence in Communication and Critical Thinking. *Journal of Dental Hygiene*, 81(4), 1–12.

- Darling-Hammond, L. (2017). Effective teacher professional development. *Learning Policy Institute*.
- Demneri, E. (2024). Students' Difficulties in Writing in English Language. *Proceedings of The International Conference on Modern Research in Education, Teaching and Learning*, 3(1), 35-41. <https://doi.org/10.33422/icmetl.v3i1.290>
- Derwing, T. M., & Munro, M. J. (2015). Pronunciation fundamentals: Evidence-based perspectives for L2 teaching and research. John Benjamins Publishing Company.
- Deterding, S., Dixon, D., Khaled, R., & Nacke, L. (2011). From Game Design Elements to Gamefulness: Defining "Gamification" . *Proceedings of the 15th International Academic MindTrek Conference: Envisioning Future Media Environments*, 9-15.
- Dewi, M., & Muslim, A. B. (2024). LET: Linguistics, Literature and English Teaching Journal Integrated Skills Approach in Teaching EFL Writing and Speaking as Productive Skills: A Case Study in Indonesian Context (Vol. 14, Issue 1). <http://jurnal.uin-antasari.ac.id/index.php>
- Dhuli, R., Lamo, P., & Larsari, V. N. (2023). An Analysis of the Significance of Vocabulary in Fostering ESL/EFL Students' Writing Skills: An Empirical Study. *International Journal Of Contemporary Studies In Education*, 26-33. <https://doi.org/10.30880/ijcse.v2i1.252>
- Din, W. A., Swanto, S., Abd Latip, N. A., & Ismail, I. H. (2021). The Process Approach to The Teaching Of Writing: Focus On Process Rather Than Product. *Journal of Information System and Technology Management*, 6(23), 63-71. <https://doi.org/10.35631/jistm.623005>
- Dörnyei, Z. (2001). *Motivational Strategies in the Language Classroom*. Cambridge University Press.
- Dörnyei, Z. (2001). New themes and approaches in second language motivation research. *Annual review of applied linguistics*, 21, 43-59.

- Dörnyei, Z. (2019). *The psychology of language learning: A comprehensive guide*. Cambridge University Press. <https://doi.org/10.1017/9781108652470>
- Dörnyei, Z. (2021). *Teaching and researching language learning strategies: Self-regulation in context*. Routledge.
- Robinson & N. C. Ellis (Eds.), *Handbook of Cognitive Linguistics and Second Language Acquisition* (pp. 372–405). Routledge.
- Ellis, R. (2015). *Understanding second language acquisition 2nd edition*. Oxford university press.
- Ellis, R. (2017). Task-based language teaching. In *the Routledge handbook of instructed second language acquisition* (pp. 108-125). Routledge.
- Ellis, R. (2021). *Understanding Second Language Acquisition*. Oxford University Press.
- Fachriza, A., Virgiyanti, D. F., Nuraini, E. I., Dipta, D., & Luthfi, M. (2023). The significance of Podcasting for EFL learning. *Journal of English Language Teaching and Learning*, 5(1), 60–75.
- Fajri Nugraheni, A., Fadhilia Arvianti, G., & Arochman, T. (2024). Scope of English Language Teaching, Literature and Linguistics Program Studi Pendidikan Bahasa Inggris FKIP Universitas Muslim The Correlation between The Vocabulary Mastery and Writing Skills of the Ninth Graders of SMP Negeri 1 Bandungan. 7(1), 35–45. <https://ejournals.umma.ac.id/index.php/seltics>
- Ferris, D. R. (2002). *Treatment of Error in Second Language Student Writing*. University of Michigan Press.
- Field, J. (2008). *Listening in the language classroom*. Cambridge University Press.
- Field, J. (2009). *Listening in the language classroom*. Cambridge University Press.

- Fitria, T. N. (2024). Creative Writing Skills in English: Develop Students' Potential and Creativity. 4(1), 1–17.
<https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.37304/ebony.v4i1.10908>
- Fitrianti, E. K. (2021). Teachers' Perceptions Toward Cooperative Learning Implementation In Efl Classrooms. JELLT (Journal of English Language and Literature Teaching), 6(1), 151–163.
- Freeman, D. (2024). Rethinking Teacher Professional Development: Designing and Researching How Teachers Learn. Routledge.
- Fries, C. C. (1945). *Teaching and learning English as a foreign language*. University of Michigan Press.
- Fujino, H. (2021). L2 learners' perceptions of grammar: the case of JFL learners in the UK. *The Language Learning Journal*, 49(3), 343–357.
<https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1080/09571736.2019.1578399>
- Fullan, M., & Quinn, J. (2015). *Coherence: The right drivers in action for schools, districts, and systems*. Corwin Press.
- Gagne, R. M. (1985). *The Conditions of Learning and Theory of Instruction*. New York: Holt, Rinehart and Winston.
- Garrison, D. R., & Vaughan, N. D. (2008). *Blended Learning in Higher Education: Framework, Principles, and Guidelines*. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass.
- Galloway, N. (2015). *Introducing Global Englishers*. Routledge.
- Gay, G. (2018). *Culturally responsive teaching: Theory, research, and practice*. Teachers college press.
- Gee, J. P. (2007). *Good Video Games and Good Learning: Collected Essays on Video Games, Learning, and Literacy*. New York: Peter Lang Publishing.
- Genesee, F., & Upshur, J. A. (1996). *Classroom-Based Evaluation in Second Language Education*. Cambridge University Press.

- Gilakjani, A. P. (2017). A review of the literature on listening comprehension strategies. *Theory and Practice in Language Studies*, 7 (1), 1-12. <https://doi.org/10.17507/tpls.0701.01>
- Gilbert, J. B. (2012). *Clear speech: Pronunciation and listening comprehension in North American English* (4th ed.). Cambridge University Press.
- Godwin-Jones, R. (2011). Emerging Technologies: Mobile Apps for Language Learning. *Language Learning & Technology*, 15(2), 2-11.
- Godwin-Jones, R. (2018). Second Language Listening: Prescriptions, Practices, and Processes. *Language Learning & Technology*, 22(2), 1-8.
- Godwin-Jones, R. (2019). Mobile-assisted language learning: Emerging trends and future directions. *Language Learning & Technology*, 23 (3), 1-13. <https://doi.org/1002/l5528>
- González-Lloret, M. (2020). *A practical guide to integrating technology into task-based language teaching*. Georgetown University Press.
- Gorzky, R. (n.d.). *Advantages and disadvantages of Grammar-Translation Method*.
- Grant, L., Bremner, S., & MacLeod, A. (2020). The role of connected speech in listening comprehension: Challenges for second language learners. *Journal of Second Language Pronunciation*, 6 (2), 157-182. <https://doi.org/10.1075/jslp.19015.gra>
- Graham, S., & Sandmel, K. (2011). The process writing approach: A meta-analysis. *Journal of Educational Research*, 104(6), 396-407. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00220671.2010.488703>
- Guariento, W., & Morley, J. (2001). Text and Task Authenticity in the EFL Classroom. *ELT Journal*, 55(4), 347-353.
- Guskey, T. R. (2000). *Evaluating Professional Development*. Corwin Press.

- Graddol, D. (2008). Why global English may mean the end of 'English as a foreign language'. ULIS.
- Graves, M. F. (2006). *The vocabulary book: Learning and instruction*. Teachers College Press.
- Gulo, F. A. (2024). Teachers' Role and the Development of Curriculum. *Sintaksis: Publikasi Para Ahli Bahasa Dan Sastra Inggris*, 2(1), 226-230.
- Halliday, M. A. K., & Hasan, R. (1976). *Cohesion in English*. Longman.
- Hamada, Y. (2021). *Shadowing as a Practice in Second Language Acquisition: Connecting Input, Process, and Output*. Routledge.
- Hamlaoui, S. (2021). Teachers' resistance to educational change and innovations in the Middle East and North Africa: A case study of Tunisian universities. *Re-Configurations*, 171.
- Handoyo, P. W. (2006). Approaches and procedures for teaching grammar. *English Teaching: Practice and Critique*, 5(1), 122-141. <http://education.waikato.ac.nz/research/files/etpc/2006v5n1nar1.pdf>pp.122-141
- Hanus, M. D., & Fox, J. (2015). Assessing the effects of gamification in the classroom: A longitudinal study on intrinsic motivation, social comparison, satisfaction, effort, and academic performance. *Computers & Education*, 80, 152-161. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2014.08.019>
- Harmer, J. (2015). *The Practice of English Language Teaching* (5th ed.). Pearson Education.
- Harper, H. (2012). Teachers' emotional responses to new pedagogical tools in high challenge settings: illustrations from the Northern Territory. *The Australian Educational Researcher*, 39, 447-461.
- Hattie, J., & Timperley, H. (2007). *The Power of Feedback*. Review of Educational Research.

- Heacox, D. (2012). *Differentiating instruction in the regular classroom: How to reach and teach all learners (Updated anniversary edition)*. Free Spirit Publishing.
- Henderson, C., & Dancy, M. H. (2007). Barriers to the use of research-based instructional strategies: The influence of both individual and situational characteristics. *Physical Review Special Topics—Physics Education Research*, 3(2), 020102.
- Heritage, M. (2021). *Formative assessment: Making it happen in the classroom*. Corwin Press.
- Hermansyah, S. (2023). Investigating Difficulties Faced by Lecturers in Teaching General English. *Journal of English Education and Teaching*, 7(3), 499-509.
- Hismanoglu, M. (2010). *Effective professional development strategies of English language teachers*. Social and Behavioral Sciences, 2, 990-995.
- Hossain, K. I., Ahmed, M. K., & Mahmud, M. S. (2024). A Comprehensive Review on the Impact of Teacher Feedback in Language Development for ESL/EFL Learners. *IUBAT Review*, 7(1), 218-229.
- Horn, M. B., & Staker, H. (2015). *Blended: Using Disruptive Innovation to Improve Schools*. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass.
- Holmes, W., Bialik, M., & Fadel, C. (2021). *Artificial Intelligence in Education: Promises and Implications for Teaching and Learning*. Center for Curriculum Redesign.
- Howatt, A. P. R. (1997). *A history of English language teaching* (2nd ed.). Oxford University Press. (As cited in Tetzner, 2004)
- Hubert, M. D. (2011). The Speaking-Writing Connection: Integrating Dialogue into a Foreign Language Writing Course. In *Electronic Journal of Foreign Language Teaching* (Vol. 8, Issue 2). <http://e-flt.nus.edu.sg/>
- Hughes, A. (2003). *Testing for Language Teachers*. Cambridge University Press.

- Hughes, J. (2005). The role of teacher knowledge and learning experiences in forming technology-integrated pedagogy. *Journal of technology and teacher education*, 13(2), 277-302.
- Hughes, R. (2003). *Teaching and Research Speaking*. Harlow: Pearson Education.
- Hyland, K. (2003). *Second Language Writing*. Cambridge University Press.
- Indriyani, V., Jasmienti, & Fendi, H. (2024). Asynchronous vs Synchronous: Effects of Online Learning on Students' Oral Presentation Skills. *Journal of Education Technology*, 8(1), 165–174. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.23887/jet.v8i1.67113>
- Iskandarova, G. (2024). Current issues in language assessment and language assessment research and its implication. *Baltic Journal of Legal and Social Sciences*, (3), 228-231.
- Ismail, I., & Dedi, D. (2021). Grammar learning strategies practice: an investigation of strategies-based instruction effect on grammatical competence. *ELS Journal on Interdisciplinary Studies in Humanities*, 4(3), 260–265. <https://doi.org/10.34050/elsjish.v4i3.17784>
- Ismail, S. M., Rahul, D. R., Patra, I., & Rezvani, E. (2022). Formative vs. summative assessment: impacts on academic motivation, attitude toward learning, test anxiety, and self - regulation skill. *Language Testing in Asia*, 12(1), 1–23. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s40468-022-00191-4>
- Ja'far, F. (2018). *Teaching grammar to promote critical thinking in efl classrooms*. 23(2), 15–26. <https://doi.org/10.9790/0837-2302061526>
- Jafaripour, S., & Salehi, H. (2020). Status of Grammar in English Language Teaching. *8th National Congress of New Finds in English Language Studies, Tehran*. <https://Civilica.Com/Doc/1128994>. https://research.iaun.ac.ir/pd/hadisalehi/pdfs/PaperC_3327.pdf

- Jandildinov, M. K., & Yersultanova, G. T. (2023). The role of contextualization in teaching grammar. *Bulletin of LN Gumilyov Eurasian National University. Pedagogy. Psychology. Sociology Series.*, 145(4), 86–100.
- Janssen, S., Kreijns, K., Bastiaens, T., Stijnen, S., & Vermeulen, M. (2012). Teachers' professional development: an analysis of the use of Professional Development Plans in a Dutch School. *Professional Development in Education*, 38(3), 453-469.
- Jenkins, J. (2021). *English as a Lingua Franca: Attitude and Identity*. Oxford University Press.
- Jenkins, J. (2014). *Global Englishes: A resource book for students*. Routledge.
- Ji, Y., & Pham, T. (2020). Implementing task-based language teaching (TBLT) to teach grammar in English classes in China: using design-based research to explore challenges and strategies. *Innovation in Language Learning and Teaching*, 14(2), 164–177.
- Kakharova, N. (2024). Teaching grammar for intermediate level learners with modern technologies. *Oriental Renaissance: Innovative, Educational, Natural and Social Sciences*, 4(10), 219–223.
- Kagan, D. M. (1992). Implication of research on teacher belief. *Educational Psychologist*, 27(1), 65-90.
- Kaur, P. K. S., & Chan, X. W. (2022). Information Gap Tasks: Motivating And Encouraging Talk In English Classrooms. *Practitioner Research*, 4, 21–34. <https://doi.org/10.32890/pr2022.4.2>
- Keck, C., & Kim, Y. (2014). *Pedagogical grammar*. 255.
- Kelly, G. (2000). *How to teach pronunciation*. Pearson Education.
- Kelly, G. (2016). *How to teach pronunciation*. Pearson Education Limited.
- Kessler, G. (2009). *Collaborative Language Learning in Online Environments*. *CALICO Journal*, 27(1), 36-48.

- Kessler, G. (2010). *Technology and the Future of Language Teaching*. In N. Arnold & L. Ducate (Eds.), *Language Teacher Education and Technology* (pp. 242-263). San Marcos, TX: CALICO.
- Khan, A. U. R. (2024). The Importance of Vocabulary Learning to Improve Speaking Skills among English Language Undergraduates in a Public University in Pakistan. *Pakistan Journal of Life and Social Sciences (PJLSS)*, 22(2). <https://doi.org/10.57239/PJLSS-2024-22.2.001667>
- Khotimah, L. M., & Ningrum, A. S. B. (2022). The Effectiveness of Using Digital Storytelling Towards Students' Speaking Ability Based on Gender Classification. *Journal of English Teaching and Research*, 7(2), 138-150.
- Kirby, M., Spencer, T. D., & Chen, Y. I. (2021). Oral Narrative Instruction Improves Kindergarten Writing Megan. *Reading & Writing Quarterly*, 0(0), 1-20. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10573569.2021.1879696>
- Kiwan, D., & Rod, E. (2024). Integrating cultural aspects into ELT classrooms: A pedagogical shift. *Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies*, 50(7), 1-10. <https://doi.org/10.9734/ajess/2024/v50i71438>
- Koh, K., Burke, L. E. C. A., Luke, A., Gong, W., & Tan, C. (2018). Developing the assessment literacy of teachers in Chinese language classrooms: A focus on assessment task design. *Language teaching research*, 22(3), 264-288.
- Köpfer, P. (2022). Teachers' perspectives on dealing with students' errors. *Frontiers in Education*, 7, 1-17. <https://doi.org/10.3389/feduc.2022.868729>
- Korsager, M., Reitan, B., Dahl, M., Skar, A., Froyland, M., (2024). The art of designing a professional development programme for teachers, *Professional Development in Education*, 50 (6), 1286-1300.
- Kumaravadivelu, B. (2006). Understanding language teaching: From method to postmethod.

- Kurniawan, M. (2021). Digital Storytelling: Teachers' Guide To Attract Children's Interest And Motivation In Kindergarten ' S English Language Learning. *Satya Widya*, 38(1), 16–24.
- Kusmayanthi, S. (2019). Writing and Publishing A Magazine. *The Journal of English Language Teaching, Literature, and Applied Linguistics [JELA]*, 1(1), 6–14.
- Laksmi, E. D. (2006). Scaffolding Students Writing in EFL Class: Implementing Process. *TEFLIN Journal*, 17(2), 144–156. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.15639/teflinjournal.v17i2/152-165>
- Lambert, S. (2019). *Training Interpreters: Techniques and Practices*. John Benjamins Publishing.
- Larsen-Freeman, D. (2006). *Techniques and principles in language teaching* (2nd ed.). Oxford University Press.
- Larsen-Freeman, D., & DeCarrico, J. (2019). *Grammar* In *An introduction to applied linguistics* (pp. 19–34). Routledge.
- Laufer, B., & Nation, P. (1999). A vocabulary-size test of controlled productive ability. *Language Testing*, 16 (1), 33–51. <https://doi.org/10.1177/026553229901600103>
- Laufer, B., & Ravenhorst-Kalovski, G. C. (2010). Lexical threshold revisited: Lexical text coverage, learners' vocabulary size and reading comprehension. *Reading in a Foreign Language*, 22 (1), 15–30.
- Levis, J. (2018). *Intelligibility, oral communication, and the teaching of pronunciation*. Cambridge University Press. <https://doi.org/10.1017/9781108333434>
- Long, P., & Siemens, G. (2020). Penetration of Learning Analytics in Education: Challenges and Opportunities. *British Journal of Educational Technology*, 51(4), 1207–1221.
- Luckin, R., Holmes, W., Griffiths, M., & Forcier, L. B. (2016). *Intelligence Unleashed: An Argument for AI in Education*. Pearson Education.

- Li, H. H., Zhang, L. J., & Parr, J. M. (2020). Small-Group Student Talk Before Individual Writing in Tertiary English Writing Classrooms in China: Nature and Insights. *Front. Psychol*, 11, 1–14. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2020.570565>
- Lyster, R., & Saito, K. (2019). Corrective feedback, individual differences, and second language learning. *Studies in Second Language Acquisition*, 41(1), 111-133.
- Liddicoat, A. J., & Scarino, A. (2013). *Intercultural language teaching and learning*. John Wiley & Sons.
- Little, J. W. (2012). Professional community and professional development in the learning-centered school. In *Teacher learning that matters* (pp. 22-43). Routledge.
- Littlewood, W. (2014). Communication-oriented language teaching: Where are we now? Where do we go from here?. *Language Teaching*, 47(3), 349-362.
- Ly, K. C. (2020). The importance of grammar in language teaching and learning. *Workshop on Innovation in Language Teaching and Learning*, 186–195.
- Macalister, J., & Nation, I. P. (2019). *Language curriculum design*. Routledge.
- Mageira, K., Pittou, D., Papasalouros, A., Kotis, K., Zangogianni, P., & Daradoumis, A. (2022). Educational AI chatbots for content and language integrated learning. *Applied Sciences*, 12(7), 3239.
- Mashudi, M., Nurmansyah, A., Saenko, N. R., Nurjain, A., & Sharifullina, S. R. (2022). The impact of English cultural awareness on Indonesian advanced efl learners' grammar knowledge. *International Journal of Society, Culture and Language*, 10(1), 99–108. <https://doi.org/10.22034/ijscsl.2021.246709>
- Mayer, R. E. (2005). *Cognitive theory of multimedia learning*. In R. E. Mayer (Ed.), *The Cambridge Handbook of Multimedia Learning* (pp. 31–48). Cambridge University Press.

- Mayer, R. E. (2020). *Multimedia learning* (3rd ed.). Cambridge University Press.
- Meara, P. (1996). The dimensions of lexical competence. In G. Brown, K. Malmkjaer, & J. Williams (Eds.), *Performance and Competence in Second Language Acquisition* (pp. 35–53). Cambridge University Press.
- Mayer, R. E. (2017). Using Multimedia for Data-Driven Instruction in Education. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 109(3), 456–465.
- McCarthy, M. J. (2016). Teaching grammar at the advanced level. In *Teaching English grammar to speakers of other languages* (pp. 203–221). Routledge.
- Meisel, J. M. (2011). First and Second Language Acquisition. <https://www.scribd.com/document/395234017/First-and-Second-Language-Acquisition-pdf>
- Menggo, S., Basir, A. A., & Halum, Y. S. (2022). Video-Based Tasks in Strengthening Speaking Skills of EFL College Students. *Indonesian Journal of EFL and Linguistics*, 7(2), 279–297. <https://doi.org/http://dx.doi.org/10.21462/ijefl.v7i2.510>
- Mishan, F., & Timmis, I. (2015). *Materials Development for TESOL*. Edinburgh University Press.
- Mishchuk, I. M., Halapchuk-Tarnavska, O. M., & Yushak, V. M. (2024). The role of innovative technologies in teaching grammar to students. *Transcarpathian Philological Studies*, 2(33), 63–68. <https://doi.org/10.32782/tps2663-4880/2024.33.2.11>
- Mokalu, P. V. V. (2022). The Use of Realia to Improve Young Learners' Vocabulary. *JELTEC: Journal of English Language Teaching, Literature and Culture*, 1(1), 46–53. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.53682/jeltec.v1i1.3724>
- Moon, J. A. (2006). *Learning Journals: A Handbook for Reflective Practice and Professional Development*. Routledge.
- Moosa, S., Shareefa, M., & Hammad, A. (2025). Boosting fluency and confidence: the impact of role-play activities on speaking skills

of esl learners. *International Journal of Literacies*, 32(1), 21–34.
<https://doi.org/10.18848/2327-0136/CGP/v32i01/21-34>

- Muhaimin, M. R., & Widyaningsih, T. L. (2024). Applying Peer Feedback to Enhance High School EFL Students' Writing Skills In State High School 1 Rejotangan Tulungagung. *Morfologi: Jurnal Ilmu Pendidikan, Bahasa, Sastra Dan Budaya*, 2(5), 209–219.
<https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.61132/morfologi.v2i5.950>
- Mukramah, C., Mustafa, F., & Sari, D. F. (2023). The Effect of Picture and Text Prompts on Idea Formulation and Organization of Descriptive Text. *Indonesian Journal of English Language Teaching and Applied Linguistics*, 7(2), 325–341.
- Mercer, S., & Dörnyei, Z. (2020). *Engaging language learners in contemporary classrooms*. Cambridge University Press.
- Murphy, J. (2020). *Teaching the Pronunciation of English: Focus on Whole Courses*. University of Michigan Press.
- Naqia, D., As'ari, A., & Suaidi, A. (2023). Students' Critical Thinking Skills Perform in Debate Activities. *Journal of English Language Teaching and Cultural Studies*, 6(1), 55–64.
<https://doi.org/10.48181/jelts.v6i1.17491>
- Nation, I. S. P., & Newton, J. (2020). *Teaching ESL/EFL listening and speaking*. Routledge. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9781003023306>
- Nation, I. S. P. (2001). *Learning Vocabulary in Another Language*. Cambridge University Press.
- Neupane, B. (2019). Effectiveness of Role Play in Improving Speaking Skill. *Journal of NELTA Gandaki (JoNG)*, 1, 11–18.
- Nicol, D. (2010). From Monologue to Dialogue: Improving Written Feedback Processes in Mass Higher Education. *Assessment & Evaluation in Higher Education*.
- Normawati, A. (2023). How EFL learners perceive grammar in speaking and writing. *Journal of English Educational Study (JEES)*, 6(1), 23–30.
<https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.31932/jees.v6i1.2208>

- Nunan, D. (2004). *Task-based language teaching*. Cambridge university press.
- Nunan, D. (2015). *Teaching English to speakers of other languages: An introduction*. Routledge.
- Nur, S. (2020). Students' perception toward the use of deductive and inductive approaches in teaching English grammar. *TESOL International Journal*, 15(1), 6–19.
- Nurfadila, S., Eryansyah, & Amrullah. (2024). English Teaching Practices at Local Primary Schools: Teachers' Problems and Solutions. *IRJE | Indonesian Research Journal in Education* | [Vol. 8] No. 1|June|Year 2024| Indonesian Research Journal in Education |IRJE|, 8(1), 207–220. <https://doi.org/10.22437/irje>
- Nkhoma, M., Thomas, S., & Le, N. Q. (2020). The Role Of Rubrics In Learning And Implementation Of A Uthentic A Ssessment : A Literature Review. *Proceedings of InSITE 2020: Informing Science and Information Technology Education Conference*, 4606, 237–276. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.28945/4606>
- Nova, M. (2024). Esp Students' Self-Reflection On English Speaking Anxiety : An Investigation On Gender ' S Perspectives. *SAGA: Journal of English Language Teaching and Applied Linguistics*, 5(2), 161–176. <https://doi.org/10.21460/saga.2024.52.210>
- Onesi-Ozigagun, O., Ololade, Y. J., Eyo-Udo, N. L., & Ogundipe, D. O. (2024). Revolutionizing education through AI: a comprehensive review of enhancing learning experiences. *International Journal of Applied Research in Social Sciences*, 6(4), 589–607.
- Ortega, D. P., & Minchala, O. E. (2017). Assessing students in an authentic and ongoing manner in the English classroom. *Theory and Practice in Language Studies*, 7(3), 159.
- Owan, V. J., Abang, K. B., Idika, D. O., Etta, E. O., & Bassey, B. A. (2023). Exploring the potential of artificial intelligence tools in educational measurement and assessment. *Eurasia Journal of Mathematics, Science and Technology Education*, 19(8), em2307.

- Pan, Y. J., Liu, Y., Yang, Q. Q., Zheng, X. L., Wu, X., & Song, L. Q. (2020). Interactive effects of kindergarten organizational resources on classroom quality in an era of universalizing ECE in China. *International Journal of Educational Research*, 102, 101587.
- Paris, D. (2021). Culturally sustaining pedagogies and our futures. In *the Educational Forum* (Vol. 85, No. 4, pp. 364-376). Routledge.
- Pennycook, A. (2017). *The cultural politics of English as an international language*. Routledge.
- Prensky, M. (2001). *Digital Natives and Digital Immigrants*. On the Horizon, 9(5), 1-6.
- Qi, J. (2024). Research on the Construction of Teachers' Lifelong Learning Mechanism Based on the Concept of Quality Education. *Transactions on Comparative Education*, 6(3), 21-25.
- Rachmania, R. (2018). Peer and Self-assessment for Learners in Higher Level of Education. *Academic Journal Perspective: Education, Language, and Literature*, 6(1), 1-9.
- Radianti, J., Majchrzak, T. A., Fromm, J., & Wohlgenannt, I. (2020). A Systematic Review of Immersive Virtual Reality Applications for Higher Education: Design Elements, Lessons Learned, and Research Agenda. *Computers & Education*, 147, 103778
- Richards, J. C., & Rodgers, T. S. (2014). *Approaches and methods in language teaching*. Cambridge university press.
- Richards, J. C. (2008). Teaching listening and speaking: From theory to practice. Cambridge University Press.
- Richards, J.C., and T. S. C. Farrell (2005) Professional Development for Language Teachers: Strategies for Teacher Learning. Cambrid
- Rizoqulovna, N. D. (2023). Learning grammar through context: enhancing language proficiency. *International Scientific Journal «MODERN SCIENCE AND RESEARCH»*, 2(7), 349-351. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.8187333>

- Roblyer, M. D., & Doering, A. H. (2013). *Integrating Educational Technology into Teaching (6th ed.)*. Boston: Pearson.
- Rogers, C. V., & Medley, F. W. (1988). Language with a Purpose: Using Authentic Materials in the Foreign Language Classroom. *Foreign Language Annals*, 21(5), 467-478.
- Rogerson-Revell, P. (2018). *English Phonology and Pronunciation Teaching*. Bloomsbury Academic.
- Rost, M. (2011). *Teaching and Researching Listening* (2nd ed.). Pearson.
- Roeder, R., Araujo-Jones, D., & Miller, E. R. (2020). Grammar in Communicative Language Teaching: Teacher Beliefs About Theory Versus Practice. *International Journal of English Language Teaching*, 8(4), 45–64.
- Rose, H., McKinley, J., & Galloway, N. (2021). Global Englishes and language teaching: A review of pedagogical research. *Language Teaching*, 54(2), 157-189.
- Pathak, A., & Vasan, M. Le. (2015). Developing Oral Presentation Competence in Professional Contexts: A Design-Based Collaborative Approach. *International Journal of Evaluation and Research in Education (IJERE)*, 4(4), 179–184.
- Pitukwong, K., & Saraiwang, S. (2024). Exploring the effectiveness of digital writing tools on Thai EFL students' writing. *Contemporary Educational Technology*, 16(3), 1–17. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.30935/cedtech/14808>
- Pinatih, I. G. A. D. P. (2021). Improving Students' Speaking Skill through Role-Play Technique in 21st Century. *Journal of Educational Study*, 1(2), 95–100. <https://doi.org/10.36663/joes.v1i2.159>
- Plass, J. L., & Jones, L. C. (2005). *Multimedia learning in second language acquisition*. In R. E. Mayer (Ed.), *The Cambridge Handbook of Multimedia Learning* (pp. 467–488). Cambridge University Press.

- Plass, J. L., Homer, B. D., & Kinzer, C. K. (2020). Foundations of game-based learning. *Educational Psychologist*, 55 (4), 258–283. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00461520.2020.1773376>
- Poehner, M. E. (2008). *Dynamic Assessment: A Vygotskian Approach to Understanding and Promoting L2 Development*. Springer.
- Pratama, A., Rozal, E., Andriani, R., Sumardi, M. S., & Putri, R. (2024). Smart interactive whiteboard in EFL class at Islamic university. *English Learning Innovation*, 5(2), 223–235. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.22219/englie.v5i2.35010>
- Prator, C. H., & Celce-Murcia, M. (1979). An outline of language teaching approaches. In M. Celce-Murcia & L. McIntosh (Eds.), *Teaching English as a second or foreign language* (pp. 3–17). Newbury House. (As cited in Brown, 2001, p. 19)
- Prahbu, N. S. (1987). *Second language pedagogy*. Oxford University Press.
- Rachmawati, D. L., & Hastari, S. (2022). Formative Assessment as an Innovative Strategies to Develop ESP Students' Writing Skills. *Voices of English Language Education Society*, 6(1), 78–90. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.29408/veles.v6i1.5174>
- Rahmawati, L., Ruminda, & Juhana. (2023). Empowering Students' Integrated Language Skills Through The Use Of Teachers' Learning Videos In Blended Learning Class. *Indonesian EFL Journal (IEFLJ)*, 9(1), 83–94. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.25134/ieflj.v9i1.7577>
- Rakasiwi, R., & Listyani. (2020). STUDENTS' PERCEPTIONS TOWARD THE EFFECTIVENESS OF COLLABORATIVE BRAINSTORMING. *SAGA: Journal of English Language Teaching and Applied Linguistics*, 1(2), 109–118. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.21460/saga.2020.12.13>
- Rasyid, M. N. A., Alfian, A., Djamereng, J., & Nurwahida, N. (2023). English language assessment in Indonesian senior high schools: Perceived challenges and prospective solutions. *Journal on English as a Foreign Language*, 14(1), 1–25. <https://doi.org/10.23971/jefl.v14i1.6963>

- Ratnaningsih, P. W., & Clara, C. (2021). The Effect of Vocabulary Towards Writing Skill with Reading Skill As Moderating Effect. *JOALL (Journal of Applied Linguistics and Literature)*, 6(2), 228–245. <https://doi.org/10.33369/joall.v6i2.13670>
- Rianti, R., Syahid, A., & Qamariah, Z. (2022). The Effectiveness of Pair Work Activities on Students' Speaking Anxiety and Speaking Ability. *Jurnal Educatio FKIP UNMA*, 8(4), 1471–1477. <https://doi.org/10.31949/educatio.v8i4.3760>
- Styati, E. W., & Irawati, L. (2020). The Effect of Graphic Organizers on ELT Students' Writing Quality. *Indonesian Journal of EFL and Linguistics*, 5(2), 279–293. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.21462/ijefl.v5i2.283>
- Razmjoo, S. A. (2011). A critique of the Grammar Translation Method and the communicative approach. *Journal of Teaching Language Skills*, 3(1), 185–209.
- Read, J. (2000). *Assessing Vocabulary*. Cambridge University Press.
- Richards, J. C., & Rodgers, T. S. (2001). *Approaches and methods in language teaching* (2nd ed.). Cambridge University Press.
- Sa'adan, N., Noorezam, M., Taib, S. A., Jenal, N., & Yusuf, M. H. (2024). A Study of Writing Difficulties and Writing Stages among Undergraduates. *International Journal of Academic Research in Business and Social Sciences*, 14(7). <https://doi.org/10.6007/ijarbss/v14-i7/21988>
- Sari, S.S., et. al. (2020). Teacher Professional Development: The Story of English Teacher's Beliefs and Practices. *ELS Journal on Interdisciplinary Studies in Humanities*, 3(1), 150–157.
- Sari, Y. (2024). Swot Analysis in Preparing Strategic Plans for Improving the Quality Of Education, *Jurnal Tinta*, 6 (1), 157–164.
- Schön, D. A. (1983). *The Reflective Practitioner: How Professionals Think in Action*. Basic Books.
- Shanta, S. A. (2014). Reality of EFL Classes: With Students of Varied Proficiency Levels. *ASA University Review*, 8(2), 217–225. <https://www.researchgate.net/publication/385464974>

- Shin, M.-H. (2018). Effects of Project-based Learning on Students' Motivation and Self-efficacy. *English Teaching*, 73(1), 95–114. <https://doi.org/10.15858/engtea.73.1.201803.95>
- Shute, V. J. (2017). Focus on Formative Feedback. *Review of Educational Research*, 87(2), 25-45.
- Siemens, G. (2005). Connectivism: A Learning Theory for the Digital Age. *International Journal of Instructional Technology and Distance Learning*, 2(1), 3-10.
- Singh, H., & Reed, C. (2001). *A White Paper: Achieving Success with Blended Learning*. Centra Software.
- Skehan, P. (1998). *A Cognitive Approach to Language Learning*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Skinner, B. F. (1954). The Science of Learning and the Art of Teaching. *Harvard Educational Review*, 24(2), 86-97.
- Styati, E. W., & Irawati, L. (2020). The Effect of Graphic Organizers on ELT Students' Writing Quality. *Indonesian Journal of EFL and Linguistics*, 5(2), 279–293. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.21462/ijefl.v5i2.283>
- Sukyadi, D., & Hakim, L. (2023). Investigating the relationship between the English instruction time decrease and English learning achievements. *Indonesian Journal of Applied Linguistics*, 13(1), 35–47. <https://doi.org/10.17509/ijal.v13i1.58255>
- Sung, H.-Y. (2024). Cultural competence in ELT: A global perspective. *Educational Technology & Society*, 24(3), 1–14.
- Schmitt, N. (2008). Review article: Instructed second language vocabulary learning. *Language Teaching Research*, 12 (3), 329-363. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1362168808089921>
- Schmitt, N. (2010). *Researching Vocabulary: A Vocabulary Research Manual*. Palgrave Macmillan.
- Schwartz, K., Cappella, E., Aber, J. L., Scott, M. A., Wolf, S., & Behrman, J. R. (2019). Early childhood teachers' lives in context:

Implications for professional development in under-resourced areas. *American Journal of Community Psychology*, 63(3-4), 270-285.

Selwyn, N. (2021). *Education and technology: Key issues and debates*. Bloomsbury Publishing.

Steinert Y et al. (2016) A systematic review of faculty development initiatives designed to enhance teaching effectiveness: A 10-year update; BEME Guide No.40, Medical Teacher

Skinner, B. F. (1957). *Verbal behavior*. Appleton-Century-Crofts.

Tajeddin, Z., & Alemi, M. (2020). Peer feedback in pronunciation learning: Does proficiency matter? *Applied Linguistics*, 41(4), 743-764.

Tang, Y. (2019). Analysis of the Influencing Factors of Cultural Differences on Second Language Acquisition. *International Journal of Languages, Literature and Linguistics*, 5(4), 274-278. <https://doi.org/10.18178/IJLLL.2019.5.4.241>

Tawali. (2020). The Effect Of Know, Want, Learn (KWL) Strategy Towards Students' Motivation And Writing Achievement. *Cordova Journal Language and Culture Studies*, 10(1), 27-46. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.20414/cordova.v10i1.2226>

Triassanti, R., & Tumiar, C. I. (2023). Peer-feedbacks based application in teaching speaking and s tudents ' perception towards peer-feedbacks. *Journal of Applied Studies in Language*, 7(1), 99-106.

Thornbury, S. (1999). *How To Teach Grammar*, pearson Education Limited. *Pearson Logman*, 1-128. <https://jonturnerhct.files.wordpress.com/2014/09/how-to-teach-grammar-scott-thornbury.pdf>

Thomson, R. I. (2021). *Improving Spoken English: A Guide to Second Language Pronunciation*. Cambridge University Press.

Tiana, D. M., Jimmi, J., & Lestari, R. (2023). The effect of grammar mastery and self-esteem towards students' speaking skill. *Scope: Journal of English Language Teaching*, 7(2), 157-164.

<https://journal.lppmunindra.ac.id/index.php/SCOPE/article/view/15343>

- Tomlinson, C. A. (2001). *How to Differentiate Instruction in Mixed-Ability Classrooms*. ASCD.
- Tomlinson, C. A. (2014). *The differentiated classroom: Responding to the needs of all learners*. Ascd.
- Tomlinson, C. A. (2017). *How to differentiate instruction in academically diverse classrooms*. Ascd.
- Trinity College London. (n.d.). CertTESOL FAQs. Trinity College London. Retrieved February 25, 2025, from <https://www.trinitycollege.com/qualifications/teaching-english/CertTESOL/FAQs>
- Underhill, A. (2019). *Sound foundations: Learning and teaching pronunciation*. Macmillan Education.
- Tetzner, H. (2004). *The history of language teaching methods*. Cambridge University Press.
- Usman, A. H., & Mahmud, A. F. (2024). Addressing Low Speaking Proficiency in EFL Students: The Impact of Integrated Teaching Strategies in an Islamic Education Setting. *International Journal of Language Education*, 8(3), 503–519. <https://doi.org/10.26858/ijole.v8i3.66493>
- Uwamariya, J., & Ngoboka, J. P. (2024). Investigating Teachers and Students' Views on Debate Activities as a Tool to Improve English Speaking Skills: A Case of Rulindo District, Rwanda. *Journal of Education and Social Studies*, 5(2018), 869–880.
- Vadivel, B., Namaziandost, E., & Saeedian, A. (2021). Progress in English language teaching through continuous professional development—Teachers' self-awareness, perception, and feedback. *Frontiers in Education*, 6, 757285.
- Vaishnav, P. (2024). Current Trends and Future Prospects in English Language Teaching (ELT). *Journal of Education and Social Studies*, 50(7), 1-10.

- Van den Branden, K. (2016). Task-based language teaching. In *The Routledge handbook of English language teaching* (pp. 238–251). Routledge.
- Vandergrift, L., & Goh, C. C. M. (2012). *Teaching and Learning Second Language Listening: Metacognition in Action*. Routledge.
- Vandergrift, L. (2007). Recent developments in second and foreign language listening comprehension research. *Language Teaching*, 40 (3), 191-210.
<https://doi.org/10.1017/S0261444807004328>
- Viberg, O., Hatakka, M., Bälter, O., & Mavroudi, A. (2018). *The Current Landscape of Learning Analytics in Higher Education*. *Computers in Human Behavior*, 89, 98-110.
- Vygotsky, L. S. (1978). *Mind in Society: The Development of Higher Psychological Processes*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.
- Wagner, M., Perugini, D. C., & Byram, M. (Eds.). (2017). *Teaching intercultural competence across the age range: From theory to practice* (Vol. 32). Multilingual Matters.
- Wahyudin, A. Y., Aminatun, D., Mandasari, B., Sari, F. M., Hamzah, I., Ayu, M., Oktaviani, L., & Alamsyah, R. (2024). Basic Principles of
- Wang, S., & Winstead, L. (2022). Mobile-assisted language learning: A review of current trends. *Language Learning & Technology*, 26(2), 1–25.
- Warschauer, M., & Liaw, M. L. (2018). *Emerging technologies for autonomous language learning*. In F. Farr & L. Murray (Eds.), *The Routledge Handbook of Language Learning and Technology* (pp. 274–288). Routledge.
- Warschauer, M. (1996). *Computer-Assisted Language Learning: An Introduction*. In S. Fotos (Ed.), *Multimedia Language Teaching* (pp. 3-20). Tokyo: Logos International.
- Warschauer, M. (2000). *Technology and Social Inclusion: Rethinking the Digital Divide*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.

- Warschauer, M. (2004). *Technology and Social Inclusion: Rethinking the Digital Divide*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press
- Webb, S. (2007). The effects of repetition on vocabulary knowledge. *Applied Linguistics*, 28 (1), 46–65. <https://doi.org/10.1093/applin/aml048>
- Webb, S., & Nation, P. (2017). *How vocabulary is learned*. Oxford University Press.
- Widodo, S. (2014). Professional development programs for teachers of English. *Jurnal Ilmu Pendidikan*, 21(3), 243–249.
- Wiggins, G. (2005). Understanding by design. *Association for Supervision and Curriculum Development*.
- Willis, J. (1996). *A Framework for task-Based Learning*. Harlow: Longman.
- Wilkins, D. A. (1972). *Linguistics in Language Teaching*. Edward Arnold.
- Wei, Y., & Liu, D. (2024). Incorporating peer feedback in academic writing: a systematic review of benefits and challenges. *Front. Psychol*, 1–14. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2024.1506725>
- W. Simbala, M. N. A.-B., Syatriana, E., & Firman. (2022). The Assessment-For-Learning To Enhance Speaking Fluency At The Fourth Semester Students Of Muhammadiyah University Of Makassar. *Journal of Language Testing and Assessment*, 2(2), 123–131. <https://doi.org/10.56983/jlta.v2i2>
- Wiggins, G. (1998). *Educative Assessment: Designing Assessments to Inform and Improve Student Performance*. Jossey-Bass.
- Willis, J. (2013). *Doing Task-Based Teaching: Oxford Handbooks for Language Teachers*. Oxford University Press.
- Winke, P., Gass, S., & Sydorenko, T. (2010). The Effects of Captioning Videos on Second Language Listening Comprehension. *Language Learning & Technology*, 14(1), 65–86.

- Winstone, N. E., & Boud, D. (2022). The need to disentangle assessment and feedback in higher education. *Studies in higher education*, 47(3), 656-667.
- Xia, Y., Shin, S. Y., & Kim, J. C. (2024). Cross-cultural intelligent language learning system (CILS): Leveraging AI to facilitate language learning strategies in cross-cultural communication. *Applied Sciences*, 14(13), 5651.
- Yang, M., & Shadiev, R. (2019). Review of research on technologies for language learning and teaching. *Open Journal of Social Sciences*, 7(3), 171-181.
- Yang, Y., Gamble, M., & Tang, S. (2017). E-Portfolios in Language Learning: A Review of the Literature. *Computer Assisted Language Learning*, 30(5), 456-478.
- Yoon, K. S., Duncan, T., Lee, S. W. Y., Scarloss, B., & Shapley, K. L. (2007). Reviewing the evidence on how teacher professional development affects student achievement. Institution of education science.
- Zebua, E. N. K., & Zebua, N. (2024). Analisis Prinsip dan Peran Asesmen Autentik pada Proses dan Hasil Belajar Peserta Didik. *Edukasi Elita: Jurnal Inovasi Pendidikan*, 1(2), 128-136.
- Zein, S. (2019). *Teacher education for English as a lingua franca*. Routledge.
- Zhou, A. (2023). Investigating the impact of online language exchanges on second language speaking and willingness to communicate of Chinese EFL learners: a mixed methods study. *Front. Psychol*, May, 1–11. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2023.1177922>
- Zimmerman, B. J. (2000). Self-Efficacy: An Essential Motive to Learn. *Contemporary Educational Psychology*.
- Zulhermindra, & Hadiarni. (2020). Improving Students' Public Speaking Skills through the Use of Videotaped Feedback. *JURNAL TA'DIB*, 23(1), 75–86.

Glossaries

1. **A KWL chart:** A graphic organizer that helps students activate prior knowledge, set learning goals, and track acquired information by recording what they "Know," "Want" to know, and "Learned" about a topic.
2. **Audio-Lingual Method (ALM):** A pedagogical approach to language instruction that prioritizes repetitive exercises and the establishment of language habits, grounded in behaviorist principles.
3. **Accuracy:** The correctness of grammar, vocabulary, and pronunciation in language use.
4. **Authentic Materials** – Real-life language resources, such as news articles or dialogues, used in teaching.
5. **Automaticity:** The ability to use language without conscious effort.
6. **Backward Build-Up Drill:** Breaking down long sentences into segments and building them up from the end.
7. **Blended Approaches:** Combining multiple teaching methods to improve grammar instruction.
8. **Chain Drill:** A conversational activity where students respond to and address each other.
9. **Cognates:** Words in different languages that share a similar origin, spelling, and meaning.
10. **Cognitive Skills:** Mental processes such as analyzing and reasoning that help in learning grammar.
11. **Collaborative brainstorming:** Technique where a group of people come together to generate ideas and potential solutions to a problem by collectively contributing their thoughts and perspectives
12. **Complete the Dialogue:** Filling in missing words in a memorized dialogue.
13. **Communicative Approach** – A teaching method that prioritizes meaningful communication over memorizing grammar rules.

14. **Contextualized Grammar Practice** – Teaching grammar within relevant, real-life language contexts.
15. **Communicative Language Teaching (CLT)**: A methodology in English Language Teaching (ELT) that highlights the importance of authentic communication and the practical application of language within social interactions.
16. **Connected Speech** - The way words in spoken language are naturally linked or reduced.
17. **Critical Thinking**: The ability to analyze and evaluate language structures for better understanding.
18. **Cultural Awareness**: The recognition and comprehension of diverse cultures in the context of language education, encompassing the norms, values, and traditions of a linguistic community.
19. **Deductive Approach** – A method where grammar rules are explained first, followed by application in exercises.
20. **Dialogue Memorization**: Committing dialogues to memory through practice and role-playing.
21. **Differentiated Instruction**: An approach to teaching that involves tailoring lessons to meet the diverse needs of learners, considering factors such as age, cultural background, and learning styles.
22. **Direct Method**: A teaching strategy that employs exclusively the target language throughout the learning experience, avoiding any translation into the learners' native language.
23. **Elision**: The omission of one or more sounds in the pronunciation of words or phrases, such as "going to" becoming "gonna".
24. **E-learning**: Using digital tools and online platforms to facilitate language learning.
25. **English as a Lingua Franca (ELF)**: The notion of English serving as a universal medium of communication among individuals who speak different native languages.
26. **Error Analysis** – The study of common mistakes in grammar to help learners improve.

27. **Error-Tolerant Environment:** Creating a classroom atmosphere where mistakes are seen as part of learning.
28. **Expansion Drill:** Gradually building longer sentences by adding elements.
29. **Explicit Instruction :** Directly teaching grammar rules with clear explanations.
30. **Equitable Learning Experience:** A learning environment that ensures fairness and accessibility for all students, regardless of their individual differences.
31. **Flipped Classroom:** An educational model that inverts conventional teaching methods by providing instructional materials prior to class, allowing class time to be dedicated to discussions and hands-on activities.
32. **Formative Assessment:** Continuous evaluation conducted during the educational process to offer feedback that enhances students' comprehension.
33. **Fluency:** The ability to communicate smoothly and naturally in speech and writing.
34. **Gamification:** Using games and interactive activities to make grammar learning more engaging.
35. **Grammar Games:** Contextual exercises that integrate grammatical structures.
36. **Gymnasiums:** National high schools in Germany where GTM was first implemented.
37. **Grammar-Translation Method (GTM):** A language instruction technique that focuses on literal translation and grammatical structures, with limited emphasis on oral communication skills.
38. **Habit Formation:** The process of learning through repeated practice until a skill becomes automatic.
39. **Inductive Approach:** A method where students discover grammar rules through examples and patterns rather than direct instruction.
40. **Interactive Activities:** Exercises that involve active student participation, such as discussions or role-plays.
41. **Intercultural Communicative Competence (ICC):** The capability to engage effectively with individuals from various cultural backgrounds, taking into account social and pragmatic dimensions.

- 42. **Language Acquisition:** The inherent process of learning a language, whether as a first or second language, through experiential interaction.
- 43. **Language Proficiency:** The degree of skill a person possesses in utilizing a language across different competencies, including reading, writing, listening, and speaking.
- 44. **Learner-Centered Approach:** An educational strategy that prioritizes the needs and experiences of students, adapting teaching methods accordingly.
- 45. **Lexical Competence:** The knowledge and skills required to understand and use words appropriately in various contexts, including depth and breadth of vocabulary.
- 46. **Listening Discrimination:** The ability to distinguish different sounds in speech.
- 47. **L1 Interference:** The influence of a learner's first language on their acquisition of a second language.
- 48. **Meaning over Form:** Emphasizing the communication of meaning rather than strict grammatical accuracy.
- 49. **Minimal Pairs:** A pair of words that differ by only one sound, such as "ship" and "sheep".
- 50. **Minimal Pairs Practice:** Distinguishing between words that differ by one phoneme.
- 51. **Misconceptions about Grammar:** False beliefs about grammar learning, such as the idea that it hinders fluency.
- 52. **Modal Verbs** – Auxiliary verbs (e.g., can, could, would, should) that express ability, permission, or obligation.
- 53. **Multiple-Slot Substitution Drill:** Replacing multiple elements within a sentence.
- 54. **Native Language:** The learner's first language.

55. **Online language exchange programs:** Platforms that connect individuals who want to learn different languages, facilitating mutual practice through online communication, where participants typically teach their native language in exchange for learning their partner's.
56. **Oral Proficiency:** Skill in speaking and understanding a language.
57. **Paradigms:** Patterns of grammatical forms, such as verb conjugations.
58. **Pattern Practice:** The repetition of grammatical structures to reinforce learning.
59. **Pedagogy:** The systematic study and application of teaching practices, encompassing various methods, strategies, and educational approaches.
60. **Phonemic Chart (IPA):** A system of phonetic symbols used to represent sounds in a language.
61. **Problem-Solving Skills** – The ability to analyze and correct grammar issues in language use.
62. **Question-and-Answer Drill:** Rapid responses to teacher-posed questions.
63. **Realia:** Real-life objects used in educational settings to make lessons more concrete and relatable to students.
64. **Repetition Drill:** A technique where students imitate the teacher's model as precisely and swiftly as possible.
65. **Reflective Practice:** The process of teachers and students reflecting on their learning or teaching experiences to improve future performance.
66. **Rote Memorization:** Memorization through repetition without understanding.
67. **Scaffolding:** Supportive teaching strategies that gradually help learners become more independent.
68. **Scaffolded Listening:** A listening learning approach with gradual support to help students understand spoken text.

69. **Segmental Features:** Pronunciation elements consisting of consonants and vowels in a language.
70. **Semantic Mapping:** A visual strategy that organizes related words into clusters, helping learners understand relationships between concepts and improve retention.
71. **Shadowing:** A technique of immediate repetition after hearing speech in the target language to improve pronunciation and rhythm.
72. **Single-Slot Substitution Drill:** Replacing a single word or phrase within a sentence.
73. **Summative Assessment:** A comprehensive evaluation conducted to measure students' comprehension following a designated learning period, such as a final examination.
74. **Suprasegmental Elements:** Elements in pronunciation such as stress, rhythm, and intonation that affect listener comprehension.
75. **Spaced Repetition:** A learning technique where information is reviewed at increasing intervals over time to enhance long-term memory retention.
76. **Tongue Twisters:** Phrases or sentences with similar sounds that are difficult to pronounce quickly and correctly.
77. **Target Language:** The language being learned.
78. **Task-Based Language Teaching (TBLT):** An instructional approach that emphasizes the use of authentic communication tasks to enhance learners' language proficiency.
79. **Teacher as Facilitator:** The function of an educator in English Language Teaching (ELT) as a mentor in the educational journey, rather than simply a provider of knowledge.
80. **Teacher Talking Time (TTT):** The amount of time a teacher spends speaking during a lesson.
81. **Technology-Enhanced Language Learning (TELL):** The integration of technological tools, such as applications and online platforms, to improve the language acquisition process.

82. **Technology in Grammar Teaching** – The use of digital tools, apps, and online exercises to support grammar learning.
83. **Transformation Drill**: Changing sentences into different forms (e.g., affirmative to negative).
84. **Virtual Reality (VR)**: Immersive technology that simulates real-life environments, enabling learners to practice vocabulary in contextually rich and interactive settings.
85. **Visual Aids** – Charts, diagrams, and timelines that help explain grammar structures.
86. **Weak Forms**: Words in English that are pronounced in a weaker form in fast speech.

Index

A

Accuracy · 19, 20, 18, 150, xl
ALM · 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 21, xl
Audio-Lingual Method · 4, 9, 10, 11, 12, 16, 21, xl
Authentic Materials · 43, 77, xi, xxx, xl
Automaticity · xl

B

Backward Build-Up Drill · 13, xl
Blended Approaches · 35, xl

C

Chain Drill · 13, xl
CLT · 4, 10, 11, 1, 6, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, xli
Cognates · 3, xl
Cognitive Skills · xl
Collaborative brainstorming · 104, xl
Communicative Approach · 34, 180, xl
Communicative Language Teaching · 4, 10, 11, 1, 6, 16, 17, 19, 20, 21, xxx, xli
Complete the Dialogue · 14, xl
Connected Speech · 68, xli
Contextualized Grammar Practice · 38, xli

Glossaries

Critical Thinking · 29, xv, xxvii, xli
Cultural Awareness · 31, xli

D

Deductive Approach · 33, xli
Dialogue Memorization · 14, xli
Differentiated Instruction · 44, xli
Direct Method · 9, 1, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, xli

E

E-learning · xli
ELF · xli
Elision · xli
English as a Lingua Franca · xxii, xli
Equitable Learning Experience · xlii
Error Analysis · 39, 43, xli
Error-Tolerant Environment · 18, xlii
Expansion Drill · 13, xlii
Explicit Instruction · xlii

F

Flipped Classroom · xlii
Fluency · 19, 18, 150, xxxvii, xlii
Formative Assessment · 140, 148, xxxi, xlii

G

Gamification · 38, 61, 161, xv, xlii
Grammar Games · 14, xlii
Grammar-Translation Method · 4,
8, 9, 1, 2, 3, 5, 12, 21, xviii, xlii
GTM · 1, 2, 3, 4, 21, xlii
Gymnasiums · 2, xlii

H

Habit Formation · 16, xlii

I

ICC · 5, xlii
Inductive Approach · 34, xlii
Interactive Activities · 38, xlii
**Intercultural Communicative
Competence** · xlii
IPA · 71, xlv

K

KWL chart · 106, xl

L

L1 Interference · xliii
Language Acquisition · 9, xvi, xix, xxv,
xxvi, xxxiv, xliii
Language Proficiency · xliii
Learner-Centered Approach · xliii
Lexical Competence · xliii
Listening Discrimination · 71, xliii

M

Meaning over Form · 17, xliii
Minimal Pairs · 14, 70, xliii
Minimal Pairs Practice · 14, xliii
Misconceptions about Grammar · 32,
xliii
Modal Verbs · xliii
Multiple-Slot Substitution Drill ·
13, xliii

N

Native Language · 15, xliii

O

**Online language exchange
programs** · 98, xlv
Oral Proficiency · xlv

P

Paradigms · xlv
Pattern Practice · xlv
Pedagogy · xii, xxii, xlv
Phonemic Chart · 71, xlv
Problem-Solving Skills · xlv

Q

Question-and-Answer Drill · 13, xlv

R

Realia · xxvi, xlv
Reflective Practice · xxvi, xlv

Repetition Drill · 13, xlv
Rote Memorization · xlv

S

Scaffolded Listening · 76, xlv
Scaffolding · 38, xxiv, xlv
Segmental Features · xlv
Semantic Mapping · xlv
Shadowing · 73, xix, xlv
Single-Slot Substitution Drill · 13, xlv
Spaced Repetition · xlv
Summative Assessment · 142, xlv
Suprasegmental Elements · xlv

T

Target Language · 6, xlv
Task-Based Language Teaching · 4, 1, 6, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 35, 164, xlv

TBLT · 4, 1, 6, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 35, 164, xxii, xlv
Teacher as Facilitator · xlv
Teacher Talking Time · xlv
Technology in Grammar Teaching · 36, xlv
Technology-Enhanced Language Learning · xlv
TELL · 6, xlv, l
Tongue Twisters · xlv
Transformation Drill · 14, xlv
TTT · 18, xlv

V

Virtual Reality · 171, xiv, xxix, xlv
Visual Aids · 6, 40, 41, 57, 62, xlv
VR · 62, 171, xlv

W

Weak Forms · xlv

Authors' Biography

Aqzhariady Khartha, M.Pd.



Author is an accomplished educator and author with a strong academic background in English Language Teaching (ELT). He holds a bachelor's degree (S1) and master 's degree in English Education Program, which has provided him with a solid foundation for his expertise in the field. Currently, he serves as a lecturer in the English Language Education Program at USN Kolaka, where he is dedicated to shaping the next generation of English educators. His professional interests lie in ELT, particularly in the areas of assessment, material development, cross-cultural communication, and technology-enhanced language learning (TELL). These interests are reflected in his written works, including the book titled *Fundamentals of English Language Teaching: A Beginner's Guide for Educators*, which he co-authored with fellow English lecturers. This book serves as a comprehensive resource for novice teachers entering the field of English language education. Through his work, he continues to contribute to the advancement of ELT practices and methodologies, with a special focus on integrating technology to enhance language learning experiences. Authors can be reached via email aqzhariadyk@usn.ac.id.

Syarif Hidayat Nasir, S.Pd., M.Pd., MA.



Syarif Hidayat Nasir earned his bachelor's degree of Education at UIN Alauddin majoring English language and teaching in 2013. In 2015, he then successfully completed his master's degree of education with a particular focus on English Language Education at Graduate Program of Makassar State University. In winter 2015, he flew to the Netherlands to pursue his second master's degree at Radboud University Nijmegen specializing in Linguistics at which he wrote a master dissertation on a Sociolinguistic-related topic, then finished in Summer 2017. The author had attended multiple conferences as a speaker, for instance, in Applied Linguistic Conference in Istanbul Turkiye (2016); Young Linguists' Meeting in Poznan, Polandia (2016); Linguistics Forum in Berlin (2017), The conference of Corpus Linguistics in Wales, UK (2017), etc. He also received grants from Erasmus Foundation to attend Short-Course Summer School on Computational Linguistics at School of Modern Languages of Cardiff University, Wales UK (2017). In 2023, he was awarded a Warwick Research Grant in partnership with Dikti's funding to attend a four-month Summer Research Training in Department of Applied Linguistics, Warwick University UK. Since 2017, he has been a full-time lecturer teaching English Courses and Linguistic Studies at several universities in Indonesia, including Universitas Sembilanbelas November Kolaka at which he is serving as a government employee. His research interests lie on Sociolinguistic, Discourse Analysis, and ESP. Currently, he is a PhD candidate at School of Languages and Cultures (SLC) at The University of Sydney, Australia. Authors can be reached via email syarif_hidayat@usn.ac.id.

Ince Rezky Naing, M.Pd.



Author was born in Pangkep on July 17, 1995. He earned his bachelor's degree in English Education from Muhammadiyah University of Makassar in 2018 and obtained his master's degree from the State University of Yogyakarta in 2022. Currently, he is a full-time lecturer in the English Education Study Program at Cenderawasih University. Beyond teaching, Rezky is passionate about educational research in English Language Teaching areas. This book is a reflection of his extensive experience in English language teaching and learning. He can be reached via email at incerezkyn@gmail.com or on Instagram [@incerezkyy](https://www.instagram.com/incerezkyy).

Muthmainnah Bahri A. Bohang, S.S., M. Hum.



Muthmainnah Bahri A. Bohang is a lecturer in the English Language Education Program at Universitas Sembilanbelas November Kolaka. She earned her Bachelor's degree in Literature (S.S.) and her Master's degree in Humanities (M.Hum) from Hasanuddin University, Indonesia. Muthmainnah specializes in English language skills, particularly in Speaking and other areas of English proficiency. She is passionate about enhancing students' communicative competence and is actively involved in research related to English language learning and teaching. Author can be reached via email muthmainnahb@usn.ac.id.

Litha Nesidekawati Dakka, S.Pd., M. Hum.



Litha Nesidekawati Dakka, S.Pd., M.Hum was born in Pomala on 7 August 1995. She completed her bachelor's degree at Halu Oleo University. Her master's degree was completed at Hasanuddin University. Presently, she serves as a lecturer in the English Education Study Program at Universitas Sembilanbelas November Kolaka. Author can be reached via email lithand@usn.ac.id.

Happy Cruzia Rini, M.Pd.



Author is a dedicated English lecturer at Tadulako University. With a passion for enhancing English language education, her research interests center on English for young learners, student empowerment and motivation, and the integration of technology in teaching. Committed to providing practical resources for educators, her publications aim to address the contemporary needs of teachers. You may reach her at happycruzia11@gmail.com or happycruzia@untad.ac.id

Marhamah, S.Pd., M. TESOL.



Marhamah is a lecturer in the English Language Education Program at Universitas Sembilanbelas November Kolaka. She earned her Bachelor's degree in Education (S.Pd.) and a Master of Teaching English to Speakers of Other Languages (M.TESOL) from Monash University, Australia. Marhamah has a strong interest in the development of English Language Education. She is actively engaged in research in the field of Language Education, particularly in the application of technology in English language learning and Teaching English to Young Learners. She can be contacted via email at marhamahudri@gmail.com, marhamah@usn.ac.id or through Instagram @marhamah.hudri.

St. Hartina, M.Pd.



Author is an English Lecturer at the English Education Study Program of **Institut Agama Islam Negeri (IAIN) Palopo**, where she has been teaching since 2019. She specializes in **English for Young Learners, Language Testing and Assessment, and Interpreting**. She earned her **bachelor's degree** in English Education from **Universitas Islam Negeri Alauddin Makassar** in 2013 and completed her **master's degree** in English Education Studies at **Universitas Negeri Makassar** in 2018. Her research interests focus on **Teaching English as a Foreign Language (TEFL) and Curriculum Development**, and she has published **two Scopus-indexed journal articles** along with several publications in national journals. Author can be reached via email sthartina@iainpalopo.ac.id.

Heri Alfian, S.Pd., M.Pd.



Author was born in Hila-hila on July 9, 1992. He completed his bachelor degree in English Education at Muhammadiyah University of Makassar in 2014. For his master's degree, he pursued his studies at the State University of Makassar. Currently, he is a full-time lecturer in the English Education Study Program at Universitas Sembilanbelas November Kolaka. In addition to teaching, Heri has a keen interest in educational research and the development of study guides. This book is a result of his experience in teaching and learning English for many years. He can be contacted via email at heri_alfian@usn.ac.id or on Instagram @heri_alfian7.

Siti Kiftiah, S.Pd., M.Pd.



Siti Kiftiah is a lecturer at Tadulako University, where she has been teaching since 2023. She earned her bachelor's degree in English Education from Universitas Islam Negeri Alauddin Makassar in 2014 and completed her master's degree in English Education at Universitas Negeri Makassar in 2019. Now, she is actively teaching Morphology, Semantics, and general English in some departments and faculties. Her academic interests include Teaching English as a Foreign Language (TEFL), English for Young Learners and Linguistics. Author can be reached via email sitikiftiah@untad.ac.id.

This book serves as a comprehensive guide for educators who are new to the field of English Language Teaching (ELT). Beginning with an introduction to ELT, it explores foundational concepts and essential teaching methodologies that help educators create effective and engaging learning environments. Through discussions on pedagogical approaches, the book provides insights into various teaching strategies, including communicative language teaching, task-based learning, and the integration of learner-centered techniques to enhance student engagement. Each chapter delves into crucial aspects of ELT, covering key topics such as teaching grammar, vocabulary, pronunciation, and listening skills. The book also emphasizes the importance of classroom management, assessment, and feedback strategies, equipping educators with the tools needed to monitor student progress effectively. Concluding with a focus on professional development, the book encourages educators to continuously refine their teaching skills and stay updated with the latest trends in ELT.

PT Akselerasi Karya Mandiri
St. Jati-Jati, Rimba Jaya, Dist. Merauke, Merauke, Papua Selatan
Phone: 08-2242-6626-04
Email: official.pt.akm@gmail.com
IKAPI: No. 001/PAPUASEL/2024



IKAPI
IKATAN PENERBIT INDONESIA

ISBN 978-623-10-8971-7



9

786231

089717